

Maidstone BLP Examination Action Points

Session 9 – Retail and Mixed Development

No.	Issue	Timescale
1	Policy RMX1(1) Newnham Park MBC and Harvestore to agree a Statement of Common Ground as to the amount of existing retail floorspace and whether there are restrictions on its use.	28 Nov 2016
2	MBC to consider merging / rewording of RMX1(1) criteria 7 and 8 (as proposed to be amended) and use 'out of town centre' rather than 'out of town' as wording.	28 Nov 2016
3	MBC to remove reference to leisure uses from the proposed changes in relation to this policy RMX1(1) and the reasoned justification.	28 Nov 2016
4	MBC to provide consents and S106 obligations for relevant sites at MMC and Eclipse Park in relation to highways and transportation improvements.	21 Nov 2016
5	MBC to provide reworded RMX1(1) policy criterion 17 based on the style of EMP1(5) criterion 13 and subsequent transport criteria to be in bullet points or roman numerals list rather than separate criteria; need for measures to be assessed by transport assessment for a particular form of development.	28 Nov 2016
6	Wording to be changed to 'and avoidance of use of light coloured or reflective materials' in RMX1(1) 5.vi	28 Nov 2016
7	Council to delete RMX1(1) criterion 6	28 Nov 2016
8	Area shown in outline planning permission for medical campus to be excluded from development to be referred to in RMX1(1) policy and shown on policies map.	28 Nov 2016
9	Policy RMX1(2) Maidstone East Council to add criterion to require a retail impact assessment to RMX1(2) policy.	28 Nov 2016
10	Policy RMX1(3) King Street additional criterion to be included relating to need for impact assessment for consistency with the RMX1(2) site policy requirements.	28 Nov 2016
11	New Policy RMX1(5) Baltic Wharf Council to draft some wording / new policy criterion and share with Baltic Wharf to aim to reach agreement. Paragraph 5.24 text to form the core of an allocation policy for Baltic wharf that would be supportive of different types of as set out in that paragraph including retail, but without specifying floorspace. A retail impact assessment would be needed for any increase over the 2,600sqm of retail floorspace now on the site. When a planning application is made the owners could make their case for a particular development mix in viability terms. The Policy would resist piecemeal development of different parts of the site which is in several ownerships. All development of the listed building and the other parts of the site should seek to secure its future for its heritage significance. A criterion should refer to securing the missing footpath link along the river.	21 Nov 2016 for draft (28 Nov 2016 for agreed wording)