

Representor ID	Comment ID	Representor's Name / Organisation	Agent's name (if applicable)	Agent's firm (if applicable)	Reg 19 rep made	Main Modification number/ SA	Summary (include legal/test of soundness where specified in the rep)	MBC Response
1098889	235	Natural England	Rebecca Bishop	N/A	Yes	MM (omission)	Comment - Policy DM11 Residential Garden Land should consider the value of gardens for protected species, as wildlife corridors and components in ecological networks.	Policy not subject to a MM
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM (omission)	Object to the omission of planning policies which will achieve Policy SP10 with respect to protecting existing facilities and encouraging the creation of new ones.	This representation does not relate to the Main Modifications. For information, policies DM18 and DM23 in the Regulation 19 Local Plan do deal with the issues raised.
1098518	157	Sport England	Mr Mark Furnish	N/A	N	MM All	OBJECT- States that previous objection to R19 Publication version of MBLP has not been addressed. However, no duly made representation was received at R19 stage.	This representation seeks amendments to Policy DM1 (Design), DM19 (Open Space) and DM20 (Community Facilities) however these policies are not subject to proposed main modifications. The representation therefore does not relate to the Schedule of Main Modifications. Together, policies such as DM19, DM20 and ID1 will ensure that new development is supported by the infrastructure improvements required to make development acceptable, which may include provision of, or contributions towards, sports and recreation facilities.
1098894	237	Hollingbourne Parish Council	Alan Bennett	N/A	Y [under 982559]	MM General	Comment. Raises concerns over how the Council can be sure the housing market will not diminish, and why there was so little information on the precise detail of infrastructure given current traffic problems.	The matters, including those relating to housing need, transport and infrastructure and associated evidence were considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings and have been considered by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The Main Modifications reflect these.
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM General	Comment - how does the Local Plan integrate with a traffic and infrastructure strategy?	The matters of transport and infrastructure and associated evidence (including strategies) were considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings and have been considered by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The Main Modifications reflect these.
3380	243	Boxley Parish Council	Pauline Bowdrey	N/A	N	MM General	Comment. The Main Modifications appear to meet the requirements for soundness and make the Plan sound.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
1093515	6	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM01	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
1093520	19	Tovil Parish Council	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	N	MM01	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
934134	35	Mr & Mrs David & Marian Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; It should be more specific on what constitutes limited housing development for larger villages. Coxheath is expected to expand in excess of 25% this cannot be considered as limited therefore an actual figure should be stated indicating the actual number of new units relative to the existing number of houses in the larger villages.	Larger Villages, including Coxheath, were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC supports the Local Plan allocations at Coxheath due to the village's good range of local services and sustainability credentials.
1096862	41	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM01	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The overall matters raised go beyond the main modifications and relevant topics have been considered at length during Independent Examination Hearings. The Council has had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The main modification is considered appropriate.
970412	76	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - Not positively prepared, justified or effective; housing target remains too high given historic annual completions over last 5 and 10 year periods. Seeks lower housing target figure.	CPRE seeks a reduction in OAN based on past delivery rates. MBC is succeeding in delivering the step change set out in the Local Plan (over 1,100 completions 2016/17), so it does not consider the evidence supports a reduction in OAN.
970412	77	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - not justified or effective; no evidence provided for revised net floorspace requirements for employment	The net additional employment floorspace requirement for the 2014-2031 period was set out in the Council's Employment and Retail Topic Paper (SUB003) (see Table 3, page 6). At the Inspector's request, the requirement was updated for the 2016-2031 period in document ED118 (see page 4), prepared by the Council in response to the Inspector's Interim Findings.
970412	78	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - not justified or effective. No explanation has been given how the office, industry and warehousing floorspace figures in Policy SS1 iii, iv or v relate to, or are based on, the findings set out in table 4.4 Net floorspace requirements for office, industry and warehousing.	The employment floorspace requirements in SS1(1)(iii),(iv) and (v) are not proposed to be altered through the proposed Main Modifications. For clarity, these figures are for the full plan period 2011-31 and stem from ECON001 (see Table 24). The net additional employment floorspace requirement for the 2014-2031 period was set out in the Council's Employment and Retail Topic Paper (SUB003) (see Table 3, page 6). At the Inspector's request, the requirement was updated for the 2016-2031 period in document ED118 (see page 4), prepared by the Council in response to the Inspector's Interim Findings.

970412	79	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Objection to reliance on site EMP1(4) for substantive office provision over and above town centre and other sites.	The contribution that Woodcut Farm could and should make to qualitative and quantitative office floorspace requirements was fully discussed at the Examination hearings. The representor's proposed change is not supported. CPRE Kent's alternative assessment of office requirements is addressed in the Council's response to representation R80.
970412	80	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Employment needs have not been revised downward to accurately reflect a lower housing need figure and reduction in market churn.	Employment land requirements were discussed in full at the examination hearings. CPRE Kent has provided an alternative assessment of employment land requirements in its Appendix A based on two factors; 1) the changed housing target and 2) change in the rate of employment floorspace 'churn'. In respect of 1) the proposed adjustment is neither robust nor justified. The starting point of the employment forecast in ECON001 is the capacity of the Maidstone economy to generate additional jobs, including from specific growth sectors, not resident population growth. It is incorrect to apply the percentage reduction in the housing target to the employment requirement. In respect of 2), ECON001 estimates an allowance for market churn based on 4 years of data. CPRE Kent has updated this with a further 2 years data and concluded that the allowance should be different. In response, MBC 's position is that a) 4 years is a reasonable period upon which to estimate an allowance, albeit that there will be year on year fluctuations; b) even under CPRE Kent's approach, the churn allowance for offices does not alter; c) the Woodcut Farm allocation is justified on the grounds of both quantitative and qualitative factors (see paragraph 4.9 and SS1(4) as proposed to be modified under MM1). MBC does not agree that the employment floorspace requirements should change in response to this representation
1098263	109	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM01	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Objection to designation of Sutton Valence as a Larger Village.	Larger Villages, including Sutton Valence, were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC supports the designation of Sutton Valence as a Larger Village due to the village's good range of local services and sustainability credentials.
1098263	121	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM01	COMMENT - Point 8 - strongly agree and hope MBC will adhere to this point	Support welcomed.
979446	160	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Reduction in housing numbers has not been reflected in a lowered employment need calculation. Education floorspace should be included in the totals. MM1 contradicts MM11 and MM12. Proposes adding Syngenta site for employment use	The change to the housing target does not necessitate a revision in the employment land forecast. The starting point of the employment forecast in ECON001 is the capacity of the Maidstone economy to generate additional jobs, including from specific growth sectors, not resident population growth. It would be incorrect to reduce the employment land requirement as a direct result of the housing target change. The representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and the evidence which underpins it. These matters have been fully discussed at the Examination hearings and the results of the hearings are reflected in the Inspector's Interim Findings and the proposed Main Modifications
1097959	180	Luckhurst	N/A	N/A	N	MM01	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Paragraph 9 – protection to be given to protect the rural character of the borough avoiding coalescence between settlements. Any proposed development of 440 homes will effectively join the areas of Downswood, Senacre and Willington Street into one large community, which is something this paragraph is seeking to avoid. Paragraph 11 – there is no policy requirement to provide sufficient infrastructure capacity within this paragraph. With no policy to provide additional infrastructure capacity the current problems faced by existing residents of Downswood will only be exacerbated.	This objection is linked to MM19. The allocation of Church Road, Otham (with supporting infrastructure) was examined during the examination hearings. MBC supports the site's allocation.
980225	233	Mr Nicholas Staddon	N/A	N/A	N	MM01	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. There is already severe congestion on Willington street and surrounding area, the small country lanes will not support this size of development.	Note there are two objections on the same representation (MM1 and MM52). Development proposals in south-east Maidstone (including their impact on highway congestion) were examined during the examination hearings. MBC supports the allocation of sites in the Local Plan for the south-east.
1098887	236	Virginia Darley	N/A	N/A	N	MM01	Object. There is no compulsion under policy SS1(11) for the developer to provide for adequate additional infrastructure.	The Local Plan is to be read as a whole, and individual policies set out the infrastructure requirements for each site. This objection also relates to MM19 - Land at Church Road (with supporting infrastructure) was examined during the hearings. MBC supports the site's allocation

3366	240	Gallagher Properties	Jonathan Buckwell	DHA Planning	Y	MM01	Object to the amended wording of Policy SS1 (4). Concern about the reliance placed on a single site (Woodcut Farm) particularly in the light of Planning Committee decisions. MM1 does not address the need for greater flexibility to give a wider choice of employment sites. MM1 reduces the amount of land available for distribution and industrial uses. The Waterside Park site should be allocated in the Local Plan.	There is no change to the resolved position of the Council that the Local Plan should allocate Woodcut Farm. Indeed, the Strategic Planning, Sustainability and Transport Committee agreed the Main Modifications to Policy EMP1(5) for consultation at its meeting on 14th March 2017. There is a current appeal against the refusal of application 15/503288OUT and an Inquiry is scheduled for October 2017. Assuming the Local Plan (as proposed to be Modified) is adopted by the time of the Inquiry as is hoped, the appeal Inspector can be expected to give full weight to allocation Policy EMP1(5) whilst policies in the adopted Maidstone Borough-wide Local Plan (2000) will have fallen away. Further, a new outline application for the site has been submitted (17/502331OUT) which the applicants propose is fully compliant with the allocation policy (as proposed to be modified). There is the very real prospect that outline consent will be granted for this site either at appeal, through the current application or later in the Plan period. The necessity for additional sites was fully explored at the Examination and the Main Modifications and the Inspector's Interim Findings have found no such requirement.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM01	Support the reduction in housing numbers to 17,660.	Support welcomed
3594	246	Lambert & Foster	Nick Brandreth	N/A	N	MM01	Object. The net employment figures do not include provision for open space storage. This is a specific need and a additional modification should be introduced accordingly.	This representation does not directly relate to the content of the Main Modification. The change proposed is not considered necessary for soundness.
3392	247	Felicity Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	Comment. Reduction suggest flaw in the original objective assessment. Significant step up in completions rates and catch up rate well above OAN 883. Shortage of skilled labour and building materials, OAN by this reasoning is unrealistic and unrealisable. Assess links between needs for dwellings and employment. On further reassessed to a deliverable amount lest the whole plan appear unsound. Brownfield land can accommodate much of the additional employment sites. Very little land for additional warehousing and demand for offices is almost non existent at present. Does not take account of the new IT support and way of operating office space.	The Objector seeks a reduction in OAN based on past delivery rates. MBC is succeeding in delivering the step change set out in the Local Plan (over 1,100 completions 2016/17), so it does not consider the evidence supports a reduction in OAN. The change to the housing target does not necessitate a revision in the employment land forecast. The starting point of the employment forecast in ECON001 is the capacity of the Maidstone economy to generate additional jobs, including from specific growth sectors, not resident population growth. It would be unsound to reduce the employment land requirement as a direct result of the housing target change.
3392	247	Felicity Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	Comment. Brownfield land can accommodate much of the additional employment sites. Very little land for additional warehousing and demand for offices is almost non existent at present. Does not take account of the new IT support and way of operating office space.	This representation does not relate to the specific content of Main Modification MM1. It is challenging the evidence which underpins the Local Plan which has already been tested through the Examination process.
1098860	248	Gleeson Developments Ltd	Emma Wreathall	Barton Willmore	Yes	MM01	Object - 5% uplift should be re-introduced, if not increased. It's removal is not supported by evidence and is therefore unsound. The Council should apply the "Sedgefield" method to deal as soon as possible with past undersupply and should plan for a 20% buffer. The Plan's housing target should be set at 1018 dpa or 20,360 dwellings.	Housing needs and housing land supply were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 25 to 27), and does not support a 10% market signals uplift to OAN. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 97 to 98) and supports a 10-year period within which the past shortfall can be recovered. The methodology is not in conflict with PPG which states: "Local planning authorities should aim to deal with any undersupply within the first 5 years of the plan period where possible" (Paragraph: 035 Reference ID: 3-035-20140306). The Maidstone Hybrid method assists the Council in meeting the step change needed between South East Plan targets of 554dpa to OAN of 883dpa. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 95 to 96) and supports a 5% buffer. This approach is also supported by Inspectors' planning appeal decisions (APP/U2235/W/16/3145575, APP/U2235/W/16/3151144 and APP/U2235/W/16/ 3149542). The Housing Delivery Test is not a matter for MM1. The Housing Topic Paper Update 1 September 2016 (examination ref ED013) demonstrated that many additional windfall sites have materialised since the snapshot date, which will boost the housing trajectory when rolled forward to 1 April 2017 to meet OAN. Based on evidence and examination, MBC supports an OAN of 17,660 dwellings. The omission site Fant Farm was examined during the hearings, and MBC does not support its inclusion as an allocation in the Local Plan.
3799	255	Peter Court for B & D Russell	Peter Court	Peter Court Associates	Y [as 3799(9)]	MM01	Object. Based on information supplied by MBC, there is still a shortfall in housing supply. It is inappropriate to reduce overall provision when a Local Plan Review is soon to commence. The figure of 18,560 should be retained.	MBC acknowledges that the 20-year supply table as at 1 April 2016 shows a shortfall of 0.48% but, as highlighted in the Housing Topic Paper Update 1 September 2016 (examination ref ED013), many additional windfall sites have materialised since the snapshot date which will boost the housing trajectory when rolled forward to 1 April 2017. The omission site promoted by the objector is under consideration in the preparation of the Lenham Neighbourhood Plan.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM01	Support in terms of Springfield Mill continuing to contribute to the revised OAN	Support welcomed

249855	266	House Builders Federation	James Stevens	N/A	Y	MM01	Object to the reduction in the housing requirement to 17,600. This is unsound because it is unjustified and contrary to national policy. There is a housing affordability issue in Maidstone which needs to be addressed. A 10% uplift would be justified in Maidstone. The Government supports housing supply increases as an appropriate response to poor affordability.	Housing needs were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 25 to 27) and does not support a 10% market signals uplift to OAN.
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM01	Support - support reduction in housing target	Support welcomed
983994	274	Wates Developments Ltd	Emma Wreathall	Barton Willmore	Yes	MM01	Object - 5% uplift should be re-introduced, if not increased. Its removal is not supported by evidence and is therefore unsound. The Council should apply the "Sedgefield" method to deal as soon as possible with past undersupply and should plan for a 20% buffer. The Plan's housing target should be set at 1018 dpa or 20,360 dwellings.	Housing needs and housing land supply were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 25 to 27), and does not support a 5% market signals uplift to OAN. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 97 to 98) and supports a 10-year period within which the past shortfall can be recovered. This methodology is not in conflict with PPG which states: "Local planning authorities should aim to deal with any undersupply within the first 5 years of the plan period where possible" (Paragraph: 035 Reference ID: 3-035-20140306). The Maidstone Hybrid method assists the Council in meeting the step change needed between South East Plan targets of 554dpa to OAN of 883dpa. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 95 to 96) and supports a 5% buffer. This approach is also supported by Inspectors' planning appeal decisions (APP/U2235/W/16/3145575, APP/U2235/W/16/3151144 and APP/U2235/W/16/3149542). The Housing Delivery Test is not a matter for MM1. The Housing Topic Paper Update 1 September 2016 (examination ref ED013) demonstrated that many additional windfall sites have materialised since the snapshot date, which will boost the housing trajectory when rolled forward to 1 April 2017 to meet OAN. Based on evidence and examination, MBC supports an OAN of 17,660 dwellings. The omission site Henhurst Farm was examined at during the hearings, and MBC does not support its inclusion as an allocation in the Local Plan.
980698	276	Bovis Homes	Jonathan Lieberman	Boyer Planning	Y	MM01	Object to the reduction in the housing requirement to 17,660. A 10% uplift to address market signals and affordability is justified. The allocation of additional sites should be made now and site at Tongs Meadow, Harrietsham continues to be a suitable site. A revised site layout has been prepared which provides 50 dwellings, ecological mitigation and land for a school expansion.	Housing needs were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 25 to 27), and does not support a 10% market signals uplift to OAN. The omission site at Tongs Meadow was examined during the hearings, and MBC does not support its inclusion as an allocation in the Local Plan.
980698	276	Bovis Homes	Jonathan Lieberman	Boyer Planning	Y	MM01	Object to the proposed Modification to SS1 criterion (10). The requirement to enhance the AONB is contrary to NPPF. Alternative wording is put forward.	Amendments to Policy SP17 (MM11) were examined extensively during the examination hearings, and MBC does not support the Objector's proposed deletion of the word "enhance" in the context of the AONB because the MM is considered to reflect relevant planning guidance, legislation and the adopted AONB Management Plan, which provides a framework for conserving and enhancing the natural beauty of the AONB
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM01	Comment. Housing number has reduced but net employment floorspace has risen. Would like to see transport related evidence as to impact the changes have on the location, timing and impact of either newly added development or development that will no longer take place, on the safety, reliability and effectiveness of the strategic road network and its interaction with the local network. For example will the absence of development mean that likely contributions to mitigations scheme will not be forthcoming, and if so, what evidence is there to either demonstrate that the mitigation is no longer required, or if it is, how will it be delivered. Equally, do any of the new figures and/or locations give rise to additional mitigation requirements that need to be addressed via the Local Plan rather than via individual applications. Therefore we look to the Council/Inspector to ensure that the implications of the proposed changes are reflected in, and supported by, appropriate, robust, up-to-date and timely evidence.	The impacts of MBLP growth on the SRN were assessed in detail through TRA037, the methodology of which was agreed by HE as per the Statement of Common Ground (SUB021). Whilst the requirement figures in Table 4.4 have been updated, Policy SS1 (1) reflects that there are no changes in overall planned employment floorspace. The main modifications do not provide for new or deleted employment allocations. Proposed changes to the spatial distribution of employment floorspace have been discussed at length and agreed during the examination hearings. In the context of TRA037, the changes are not considered proportionate nor significant enough to require additional evidence at this stage, in particular given that individual development sites would be required to comply with the requirements of SP23 and DM21.
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM01	Comment. Do not consider that the language used is strong or clear enough. A) It should reflect that agreed in the Highways England/Maidstone Borough Council Statement of Common Ground in which Maidstone commit to being proactive to secure any and all necessary infrastructure by managing the process. B) It is not purely a matter of ensuring there is sufficient capacity, it is also about ensuring the timeliness of its delivery and that its delivery does not in itself have unacceptable short or longer term impacts. Look to the Council/Inspector to propose more appropriate supporting text to cover these matters.	SS1 (11) sets out a high level strategic approach to the provision of infrastructure to support development. More specific, detailed policies are set out at SP23, DM21 and ID1. Indeed SP23 specifically addresses these points. As a whole therefore, the MBLP adequately sets out the Council's approach to the provision of infrastructure.
980390	282	CPRE Maidstone	G Thomas	N/A	Y	MM01	Object to the employment floorspace figures in MM1. The figures are spurious; the policy should be indicative only.	This representation does not relate to the specific content of Main Modification MM1. It is challenging the evidence which underpins the Local Plan which has already been tested through the Examination process.
980372	284	Helen Grant MP	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	Support the reduction in housing numbers.	Support welcomed

687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	Object ((in part). Welcome the reduction in the housing need figure but consider the figure is still too high.	MBC welcomes the support for a reduction in OAN, and notes the Objector's comment that the figure is still too high. However the Oan has been considered at length in the examination hearing and by the Inspector in his Interim Findings and the MM is considered appropriate.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM01	Object to the reference to a business park at J8 as this is in conflict with Policy SP17.	This representation relates to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm, not to the Main Modification specifically. The justification for the Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings. The Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the discussion and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM01	Object to the wording of the last sentence of paragraph 4.3. The plan should identify sufficient land to meet needs to 2031.	MBC agrees there is a typographical error in the final sentence of paragraph 4.3, which should read: "Any shortfall in supply will be addressed through the Local Plan review, which will be adopted by <u>2021</u> ". Delete "or housing". (Source: examination ref ED115). MBC acknowledges that the 20-year supply table as at 1 April 2016 shows a shortfall of 0.48% but, as highlighted in the Housing Topic Paper Update 1 September 2016 (examination ref ED013), many additional windfall sites have materialised since the snapshot date which will boost the housing trajectory when rolled forward to 1 April 2017. The omission site at Binbury Park was examined during the hearings, and MBC does not support its inclusion as an allocation in the Local Plan.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM01	Object to SS1(4). The council needs to plan for alternative sites in case Woodcut Farm does not come forward. Binbury Park is a sustainable and well-positioned location.	In addition to the hearing on Employment issues, there was a specific Examination hearing on alternative strategic sites at which the promoters of the Binbury Park proposal appeared and presented their case. Alternative sites were fully considered during the evidence gathering stage through the Strategic Housing and Economic Development Land Availability Assessment (HOU007), the Sustainability Appraisal and through the Examination process. It is not considered that this representation provides new evidence or raises new issues.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM01	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: 4.3 no clear objective confirmation of need. The pace of development and the fact that MBC does not control site roll-outs there is a possibility of over-supply in the early years and will give rise to further distortions in population statistical projections. 4.9 Comment that the Borough is not an island, but part of wider economic area. Treating the borough like an island may be motivated by the attraction of additional income. Criterion 4 following recent planning decision the current uncertainty should be recognised. Criterion 11 proposed wording changes to ensure that obliging consultants are not engaged to gainsay those professionally accountable for infrastructure. Proposed wording suggested throughout.	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM01	Object to the number of dwellings quoted in paragraph 4.3; some of these are subject to the completion of s106 agreements which may never be completed. They should be split between sites with consent and those with a resolution to grant.	Although not required for soundness, MBC would be content with a proposed minor change to MM1 should the Inspector be minded to accept it. MBC believes the objection can be met by an amendment to paragraph 4.3 that reads: "and 5,475 have been granted planning permission <u>or have a resolution to grant permission</u> ". A minor change will add clarification.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM01	Object to the stated reliance on windfall office development in paragraph 4.8. There is no evidence of past delivery and it is at question whether the required amount of floorspace can be delivered in this manner, particularly as residential development will be more viable. The provision of suitable employment sites has not been met.	The evidence for the supply of office floorspace on windfall sites is set out in MBC's document ED118. The evidence underpinning the supply of housing in the Town Centre Broad Location is provided in MBC's response to the Action Points from Hearing session R4 (ED092(A)).

934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM01	Object. Reference is made to 6 matters: planning appeal ref APP/U2235/W/16/3151144 Land North of Lenham Road, Headcorn (examination ref ED113); the consultation on South Eastern Rain Franchise; the Housing White Paper; the Headcorn Judicial Review (examination re ED144); Headcorn Neighbourhood Plan update; and MBC's response to the Inspector's request for further employment information (examination ref ED118).. Support for the reduction in OAN to 17,660 dwellings but considers further reductions would be valid. Final sentence of para 4.3 should be amended to read: "Any shortfall in the longer term supply..." to make clear the plan is not referring to a shortfall in 5-year housing land supply. Retain reference to constraints facing Headcorn in para 4.14. Challenge to table 4.4 employment floorspace need figures, and proposed amendments (with reasons) would negate the need for an allocation at Woodcut Farm. Object to the distribution of development because statistical evidence (as provided) demonstrates that the amount of development in rural areas is significantly at odds with existing development patterns. This imbalance also affects the distribution of affordable housing. The distribution of housing development should be reviewed. Further, the 900 dwelling reduction in OAN should be directed towards reducing housing numbers in rural areas: priority should be given to removing H1(37 Ulcombe Road/Mill Bank and H1(39) South of Grigg Lane.	The Headcorn Neighbourhood Plan is not a matter for MM1. Housing needs were examined extensively during the examination hearings. The amendment to paragraph 4.3 is not necessary to make the Local Plan sound. Paragraph 4.3 is referring to meeting OAN over the plan period but a shortfall in 5-year supply would also have to be addressed. The reference to constraints at Headcorn under paragraph 4.14 has been moved to Policy SP7 under MM7 (the reference has not been deleted in the Local Plan). In respect of employment, this representation does not relate to the specific wording of MM1. The representor objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm; this allocation, and the justification for it, has already been discussed in full at the examination hearings. The detail behind the Local Plan strategy and approach to a settlement hierarchy was set out in the Spatial Strategy Topic Paper and appendices (SUB 007). The spatial distribution was examined in full during the examination hearings and endorsed in the Inspector's Interim Findings.
934545	306	Cllr Dennis Spooner	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM01	Object - Level of housing growth cannot be delivered or supported by sufficient infrastructure. Woodcut Farm not justified; the need for employment floorspace should be reassessed in light of the reduced housing target.	This representation does not relate to a specific Main Modification. It objects the principle of development at Woodcut Farm. The allocation of Woodcut Farm was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the proposed Main Modifications result from this discussion and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM01	Object - The housing target should be increased, including through the reintroduction of a market signals uplift. A 20% buffer should be applied to the 5 year supply calculation. When these matters are addressed, it will be clear that the Council does not have a 5 year supply and it should therefore undertake further work.	Housing needs and housing land supply were examined extensively during the examination hearings. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 25 to 27), and does not support a 5% market signals uplift to OAN. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraph 17), and will update the SHMAA with the latest projections for the Local Plan Review. The Housing Topic Paper Update 1 September 2016 (examination ref ED013) demonstrated that many additional windfall sites have materialised since the snapshot date which will boost the housing trajectory when rolled forward to 1 April 2017 to meet OAN. MBC has had regard to the Interim Findings (paragraphs 95 to 96) and supports a 5% buffer. This approach is also supported by Inspectors' planning appeal decisions (APP/U2235/W/ 16/3145575, APP/ U2235/W/16/3151144 and APP/U2235/W/16/3149542). A 5-year housing land supply can be demonstrated at 1 April 2017, and will be updated and published annually on the website. The Housing Delivery Test is not a matter for MM1.
843429	308	Roxhill Developments Ltd	David Jarman	Hobbs Parker	Y	MM01	Support. The MM accords with the discussions at and after the Examination hearings.	Support welcomed.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM01	Object - 4.3 no clear objective confirmation of need. The pace of development and the fact that MBC does not control site roll-outs there is a possibility of over-supply in the early years and will give rise to further distortions in population statistical projections. 4.9 Comment that the Borough is not an island, but part of wider economic area. Treating the borough like an island may be motivated by the attraction of additional income. Criterion 4 following recent planning decision the current uncertainty should be recognised. Criterion 11 proposed wording changes to ensure that obliging consultants are not engaged to gainsay those professionally accountable for infrastructure. Proposed wording suggested throughout.	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1093515	7	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM02	Support - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness.	Support welcomed.

1096862	42	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM03	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The overall matters raised go beyond the main modifications and relevant topics have been considered at length during Independent Examination Hearings. The Council has had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The main modification is considered appropriate.
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM03	Objects that the Local Plan makes no reference to neighbourhood plans.	Neighbourhood Plans are now referred to in the Local Plan. It is considered that the proposed change is not necessary for soundness.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM03	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes to give neighbourhood plans proper status and ensure strategic is not used at an inappropriate level of detail	It is appropriate for the Council to set out strategic allocation policies. In doing so, the Council had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The modification does not preclude Neighbourhood Plans from allocating sites. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM03	Object. Making housing allocations strategic policies makes the local plan inflexible and provides no room for recognising that local people may prefer to allocate alternative sites through neighbourhood plans.	It is appropriate for the Council to set out strategic allocation policies. In doing so, the Council had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The main modification does not preclude Neighbourhood Plans from allocating sites. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM03	Object - proposed wording changes to give neighbourhood plans proper status and ensure strategic is not used at an inappropriate level of detail	It is appropriate for the Council to set out strategic allocation policies. In doing so, the Council had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The modification does not preclude Neighbourhood Plans from allocating sites. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1098263	111	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM04	Support - support for SP15(3) regarding health infrastructure improvements.	Support welcomed.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM04	Support the proposed Boughton Lane surgery improvements but with concern about lacking detail about what will be provided and when.	Support welcomed, the Council considers MM4 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified.
1098278	258	NHS West Kent Clinical Commissioning Group	Gail Arnold	N/A	N	MM04	Comment. The health infrastructure references reflect the previous inputs of the West Kent CCG.	Comments noted.
224121	265	Marden Parish Council	Alison Hooker	N/A	Y	MM04	Comment. Additional criterion is noted.	Support welcomed.
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM04	Support the amendment to SP10 (4). Comment that problems with health service access relate more to staffing levels than premises.	Support welcomed. The Council note the comment.
659146	279	Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	Ian Bailey	N/A	Y	MM04	Support. Clarification that any improvement to the Aylesford Practice as required by this policy will be funded by development in Maidstone Borough Council.	Support welcomed. The Council note the comment.
949742	301	Collier Street Parish Council	Alan Crocker	N/A	N	MM04	Comment. Acknowledgement of the need for improved medical facilities at Marden via extensions or improved infrastructure.	Support welcomed.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM04	Object to the omission of land south of Sutton Road from this list. The resolution to grant outline consent allows for new medical provision on the site.	The infrastructure requirements set out reflect the evidence provided by the NHS CCG, however this does not preclude additional or alternative health centre provision identified.
1096862	43	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM05	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The overall matters raised go beyond the main modifications and relevant topics have been considered at length during Independent Examination Hearings. The Council has had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The Council considers MM5 and the Local Plan to be positively prepared, adequate and justified.
1093515	8	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM06	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, effective or consistent with national policy; proposed bus lane will increase congestion on A274; insufficient evidence for the proposal.	This matter has been discussed at length during the examination hearings and the Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings, which set out a clear position on the installation of an extended bus lane as part of a package of bus priority measures to support Policy SP3. The modification reflects this, and is considered to be necessary for soundness.

1096862	44	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM06	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The Council considers that together the MBLP, ITS, Walking and Cycling Strategy and IDP provide a balanced and effective suite of transport infrastructure improvements which will enable the Council to meet identified development needs without any severe residual traffic impacts. Policy SP3 and others, will support the delivery of the improvements required to support growth.
970412	81	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM06	OBJECT - not legally compliant, justified or effective. There is disagreement between the County Council and Borough Council over whether it is possible to install a bus lane in Sutton Road which needs to be resolved. Given the importance of the bus lane in supporting the Borough Council's sustainable transport strategy it will be critical to ensure that the proposed bus lane can be delivered.	This matter has been discussed at length during the examination hearings and the Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings, which set out a clear position on the installation of an extended bus lane as part of a package of bus priority measures to support Policy SP3. The modification reflects this, and is considered to be necessary for soundness.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM06	Support in part. Support the bus lane extension A274 Sutton Road to the Wheatshaf junction but have concern about the stretch north of the Wheatshaf along A229 Loose Road where there is insufficient land. Road capacity for other vehicles would be halved.	Support noted. TRA 028 demonstrates the technical feasibility of the proposed measures, without purporting to be a detailed design that could be implemented without further design work.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM06	Object - The extension to the bus lane is not justified or effective, and is not supported by KCC or MBC.	This matter has been discussed at length during the examination hearings and the Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings, which set out a clear position on the installation of an extended bus lane as part of a package of bus priority measures to support Policy SP3. The modification reflects this, and is considered to be necessary for soundness.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM06	Object (in part). Support bus prioritisation on A247 but oppose junction improvements and the extended bus lane.	Support noted. This matter has been discussed at length during the examination hearings and the Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings, which set out a clear position on the installation of an extended bus lane as part of a package of bus priority measures to support Policy SP3. The modification reflects this, and is considered to be necessary for soundness.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM06	Comment. The council needs to respond to KCC Highways concerns about highways improvements in south east Maidstone (KCC letters of 20th January 2017 and 16th February 2017).	The Council has responded to these letters and has provided information to assist the Inspector. Documents ED129 and ED141 refer.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM06	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: Policy should specify that infrastructure measures will fully mitigate the impact generated by strategic development sites feeding onto Sutton Road	It is not considered that the proposed change is necessary for soundness. SP3 identifies key infrastructure requirements however other policies in the MBLP set out how the impacts will be assessed through the planning system.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM06	Object - Policy should specify that infrastructure measures will fully mitigate the impact generated by strategic development sites feeding onto Sutton Road.	It is not considered that the proposed change is necessary for soundness. SP3 identifies key infrastructure requirements however other policies in the MBLP set out how the impacts will be assessed through the planning system.
970412	82	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM07	Object - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Addition of requirement for additional capacity in the sewer network is not reflected in the proposed policy modifications for SP7 (4)(iv); suggested alternate wording proposed "Additional capacity will be required in the sewer network. Additional capacity may be required at the wastewater treatment works in the period to 2031."	Council considers the proposed additional criteria for SP7(4)(iv) to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Infrastructure requirements of SP7 were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
979446	161	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM07	Object - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. No further development should be allowed until sewage work facilities (incl. pipes etc) are upgraded.	The Council considers MM7 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Infrastructure provision and delivery was reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM07	Object. Supports the additional criterion relating to infrastructure, but objects to no mention of the importance of Headcorn's neighbourhood plan as a policy consideration. A target of 423 dwellings does not meet the definition of sustainability for Headcorn. and H1(37) and H1(39) should be deleted.	Support welcomed, Objection comments do not relate specifically to the modification. Site allocations and the spatial strategy of the Local Plan were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098785	225	Mr Tony Parsons	N/A	N/A	N	MM08	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Although reduction in numbers is welcomed, feels that it should be further reduced. Significant development will be detrimental to the character of the village and its current services.	The principle of Lenham as a broad location, the role of Lenham regarding the housing trajectory, and the detail regarding the Lenham policies were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach. The main modification reflects this and no changes are proposed as the MM is considered appropriate.

3799	255	Peter Court for B & D Russell	Peter Court	Peter Court Associates	Y [as 3799(9)]	MM08	Object. The Lenham figure of 1,500 dwellings should be retained. The restraint on development until 2021 should be deleted; this is an arbitrary date and MBC needs to engage with developers and landowners and ensure site allocations are made. References to avoiding significant adverse impact on the AONB and on coalescence with Harrietsham are welcomed as is acceptance that development can take place south of the railway line.	The role of Lenham regarding the housing trajectory, and the detail regarding the Lenham policies including the phasing of development were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach. The main modification reflects this and no changes are proposed as the MM is considered appropriate.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM08	Object to the modification to paragraph 5.52. It should recognise impact on the setting of the AONB, albeit at a lower level. Specific alternative wording proposed.	Matters referred to are dealt with elsewhere in the plan. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM08	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes in relation to the Lenham Neighbourhood Plan.	The Council does not agree with the proposed change to wording and this is not necessary for soundness. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM08	Object to the deferment of development at the Lenham Broad location until 2021 which accords with the Lenham Neighbourhood Plan.	The role of Lenham regarding the housing trajectory, and the detail regarding the Lenham policies including the phasing of development were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach. The main modification reflects this and no changes are proposed as the MM is considered appropriate.
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM08	Object - The allocation of land should be dealt with either through this plan, or the plan review, and it is not a sound approach to allow the neighbourhood plan to undertake work at this scale.	The approach to Lenham's development and the Lenham policies were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach taken in the policy. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM08	Object - proposed wording changes in relation to the Lenham Neighbourhood Plan.	The Council does not agree with the proposed change to wording and this is not necessary for soundness. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
1095769	23	Mrs Lisa Brooks	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1095805	25	Mrs Jenny Scott	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096087	26	Dr Andrew Thurston	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096150	28	Mrs Pippa Grantham	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1096151	30	Mr Ian Williams	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096232	33	Mr Colin Judd	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - If there is to be no housing at Syngenta and none of the key infrastructure improvements that development of this site was to provide, then the policy for Yalding Larger Village is neither sound nor legally compliant in its new form.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096790	36	Mr Ian Simmons	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; concerns regarding Larger Village status given delation of Syngenta site; traffic impact concerns; removal of Vicarage Road site sought.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096888	39	Miss Nicola Cod (?)	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097013	47	Mr Keith Palmer	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097028	49	Mr Richard Bolsin	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097255	51	Mr Joseph McElroy	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097229	52	Mr Martin Johnson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; significant local opposition to the allocation at Vicarage Road. removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1097571	53	Mrs Rosemary Gail Johnson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097769	54	Mr Nicholas Thomson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097769	55	Mr Nicholas Thomson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097882	59	Mrs S Smith	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097914	60	Mrs Alison Creswell	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Deleting the Syngenta site from Yalding's housing allocation would result in harmful effects to the whole village, as the improvements to transport provision and to highway structure to offset the harms were dependent on the development of that site.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097913	62	Mr G Cresswell	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; No housing development at the Syngenta site means that none of the necessary and key infrastructure improvements that would have resulted will now be provided. The transport links on which much of the larger village thinking were based are in reality far removed from the village meaning that the policy for Yalding as a Larger Village is flawed and neither sound nor legally compliant in its revised form. Any additional building developments in the village will only serve to exacerbate the already poor traffic situation, and place additional stresses on the very limited social infrastructure in the village. The modification has seen the removal of the Syngenta site development so therefor the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is also to remove the Vicarage Road/glebe site allocation and also the Mount Avenue/Blunden Lane allocation.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1097935	63	Mrs Susie Welland	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097951	65	Mrs Marguerite Bolsin	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097948	67	Mr Mark Welland	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; According to the National Planning Policy Framework all development needs to be sustainable, therefore developments need to enhance the local area with regards to services, amenities, infrastructure, social and economic aspects. Currently the Vicarage Road proposed development does not include any improvements to any of these: * No jobs will be created within the long term. * No improvements to the drainage network. * No improvements to the doctors surgery. * No improvements to the primary school. * No improvements to transport links to London. * No improvements for the road networks within the village.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097958	70	Dr Natalie Ryan	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1097978	72	Mrs Bethan Godden	N/A	N/A	N (husband Nick Godden = Y 980352)	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without the housing allocation at the Sygenta site, and its associated transport and infrastructure improvements the remaining site at Vicarage Road/glebe land is located in the worst possible location, and the rational behind designating Yalding as a Larger Village is neither sound or legally compliant. Therefore the only way to change the modification to make it sound/legally compliant is to also remove the Vicarage Road allocation.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096901	74	Mrs Susan Jeffrey	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without the housing allocation at the Sygenta site, and its associated transport and infrastructure improvements the remaining site at Vicarage Road/glebe land is located in the worst possible location, and the rational behind designating Yalding as a Larger Village is neither sound or legally compliant. Therefore the only way to change the modification to make it sound/legally compliant is to also remove the Vicarage Road allocation.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1098093	94	Mr Tom Harding	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without the housing allocation at the Sygenta site, and its associated transport and infrastructure improvements the remaining site at Vicarage Road/glebe land is located in the worst possible location, and the rational behind designating Yalding as a Larger Village is neither sound or legally compliant. Therefore the only way to change the modification to make it sound/legally compliant is to also remove the Vicarage Road allocation.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098179	102	Mr Chris Allwood	N/A	N/A	Y (980733)	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without the housing allocation at the Sygenta site, and its associated transport and infrastructure improvements the remaining site at Vicarage Road/glebe land is located in the worst possible location, and the rational behind designating Yalding as a Larger Village is neither sound or legally compliant. Therefore the only way to change the modification to make it sound/legally compliant is to also remove the Vicarage Road allocation.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098179	104	Mr Chris Allwood	N/A	N/A	Y (980733)	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Deleting the houses at Sygenta and the improved transport and infrastructure connections makes the other site in Yalding (Vicarage Road/glebe) is located in the worst possible location for development in the village, accessed via Vicarage Road, the High Street and Town Bridge, i.e., as far as possible from the train station, where it will generate a great number of vehicle trips that will significantly exacerbate the existing problems with traffic in Yalding, along with other new homes in the Plan at other sites on this side of the Borough that will also all feed into the existing traffic jam at peak travel times (headed through Yalding toward Paddock Wood and beyond) – without any plan whatsoever to deal with the increase in traffic, let alone the current traffic.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098179	106	Mr Chris Allwood	N/A	N/A	Y (980733)	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Because the modification has removed the Sygenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/glebe site allocation, too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098305	124	Mrs Wendy Thurston	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Sygenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Sygenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Sygenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098421	126	Mr Christopher Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road / Glebe Site allocation, too. Only once the transport / infrastructure issues at Yalding Town Bridge have been addressed could Yalding take more housing.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098447	139	Miss Sheila Spink	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Sygenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Sygenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Sygenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098445	140	Mr George Thomas Pattison	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; seeks removal of Vicarage Road allocation on traffic and congestion grounds.	The Council considers MM10 to be positive, adequate and justified.

1098456	144	Mrs Lisa Fossey	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
835327	145	Mr Neil Jones	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
840581	147	Mrs Joanna Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
842974	150	Susannah Jones	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098459	153	Mrs Clare Hudson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098464	156	Miss Karen Fillingham	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098652	167	Ms Ebba Eriksson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without the housing allocation at Syngenta and these key infrastructure improvements, the other site in Yalding (Vicarage Road/glebe) is located in the worst location for development in the village, and should be removed.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1098658	168	Mrs Amanda Bray	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098660	170	Mr Anthony Bray	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098668	176	Mr Bernard Latter	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1096900	178	Mr Kevin Jeffrey	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; removal of the Syngenta site from the Local Plan has a negative effect on all other development in and around Yalding, because all of the key improvements to Yalding's highway and transport infrastructure envisioned in the Local Plan were dependent on major development at the Syngenta site. As a result of removing the housing allocation and infrastructure improvements at the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation as well.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098312	183	Mr Seb Fossey	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	NPPF favours brown field sites for development. The Developers financial modelling should not be a consideration in the development of the local plan which is intended to make sites AVAILABLE for developers without bias. the Syngenta site which would have offered 200 dwellings is a perfect brownfield development site and should be made available ahead of greenfield site, even if at a reduced density. This would regenerate a brownfield area which has excellent transport links and would avoid adding significant traffic crossing the bridge in the village. Without the 200 dwelling site, and the associated infrastructure and sustainable transport improvements then any subsequent development is flawed because the village infrastructure is already over stretched. The village and the glebe field site are susceptible to flooding including surface water. The development is unlikely to be insurable.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098672	184	Miss Yelly De Vries	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - Highways and safety concerns. Vicarage Road site should be removed from the Local Plan.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified.
1098671	185	Mr Neil Jackson	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Vicarage Road site should be removed and housing provided on Syngenta site. Too little infrastructure available to support the allocation. Village suffers from sewerage issues. Syngenta site far more sustainable.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1098674	188	Mr Robert O'Connor	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098676	191	Mr Stephen Day	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1095535	192	Dr Robin Schuldenfrei	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098679	195	Mr Tim Chapman	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098457	197	Miss Molly Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, I would think the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now would be to remove any development in Yalding, in particular the Vicarage Road / Glebe Site allocation, if the infrastructure improvements that were based on the Syngenta site being developed now no longer take place.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098662	199	Mr Felix Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Do not believe this modification, which has removed the Syngenta site can be made sound and legally compliant, without also removing the Vicarage Road / Glebe site allocation. Without Syngenta the Medway Valley Line is unlikely to see any service improvements, because the station is too far for most people in Yalding to use.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1098685	201	Cllr Steve McLoughlin	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	<p>Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. At the Local Plan examination Yalding made a strong case for a dispersed development strategy in the village as opposed to having a single large site, referred to as the Vicarage Road site, as proposed in the Local Plan. However, at the time it was not possible to identify alternative smaller sites to replace or supplement the one in the Plan. In his Interim Findings report Mr Mellor noted that 'there is an opportunity for an emerging neighbourhood plan to identify the additional smaller sites which the parish council has indicated it would prefer' but stated 'the site should be retained to provide the limited housing growth identified for these villages'.</p> <p>Since then, a further site in Yalding, referred to as the Mount Avenue/Blunden Lane site, which was originally put forward for inclusion in the Plan but rejected, has been approved at appeal for 30 dwellings.</p> <p>There are a very significant number of objections to the planning application under consideration on the Vicarage Road site for 75 dwellings in view of the impact the proposed density of development will have on the highways infrastructure, school and present countryside. It is a wholly unsuitable site for such a large development and should be reduced in size to no more than 20 dwellings for the infrastructure to cope.</p> <p>A further 3 dwellings are just completing construction along Kenward Road which had been approved at appeal last year due to the lack of a 5 year housing land supply and there will be other infill opportunities in the village to make up the 65 dwellings required by the Local Plan.</p>	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination. The Mount Avenue/Blunden Lane site has been granted planning permission after the applicant successful appealed the Council's decision of refusal.
1096900	205	Mr Kevin Jeffrey	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	<p>Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too</p>	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098710	208	Mrs Christine Walker	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	<p>Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.</p>	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098659	209	Mrs Deveda Tann	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	<p>Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.</p>	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098773	211	Miss Helen Palmer	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	<p>Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.</p>	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

1098779	213	Miss Tandine Rawkins	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/glebe site allocation too	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1095536	220	Dr John Ackerman	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. It is not currently possible to provide sustainable new residential development in Yalding as plans to do so were based on the approved development of a large site that was the former Syngenta site opposite Yalding Station. This is not now going ahead. The current policy regarding Yalding is not sound, nor is it sustainable so is consequently not legally compliant. Because the modification has removed the Syngenta site, the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098799	227	Mr Kevin Martin	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. The fact that MM10 is being applied means that the infrastructure alterations proposed will now no longer happen. Therefore further large developments in Yalding cannot proceed without improvements to the infrastructure as at present the village cannot cope with the amount of congestion it is experiencing. It is my opinion that the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Road/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
1098801	230	Mr Philip Keeler	N/A	N/A	N	MM10	Object - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. The fact that MM10 is being applied means that the infrastructure alterations proposed will now no longer happen. Therefore further large developments in Yalding cannot proceed without improvements to the infrastructure as at present the village cannot cope with the amount of congestion it is experiencing. It is my opinion that the only way to make this policy sound and legally compliant now is to remove the Vicarage Rd/Glebe site allocation too.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Removal of RMX1(4) and the implications were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM10	Object - with reference to the covering letter which objects to the status as a Larger Village and the resultant development proposed. H1 (67) should be removed following MBC error and Inspector's comment (not necessary to include further allocations). Alterations to the number of dwellings following removal. SP2(i) does not encompass all transport issues.	The Council considers MM10 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The allocated sites for Yalding were reviewed during the Local Plan examination.
949742	301	Collier Street Parish Council	Alan Crocker	N/A	N	MM10	Comment. Acknowledgement of the need for improved medical facilities at Yalding via extensions or improved infrastructure.	Support welcomed.
1093515	9	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM11	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
1096862	45	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM11	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The overall matters raised go beyond the main modifications and relevant topics have been considered at length during Independent Examination Hearings. The Council has had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The main modification is considered appropriate.
970412	88	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM11	OBJECT - not consistent with national policy. Seeks reinstatement of words "the setting of and" deleted by the modification.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The setting still carries appropriate protection under the policy. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1098263	110	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM11	SUPPORT - support for modification point 2 of SP17	Support welcomed
1098263	113	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM11	SUPPORT - support for modification point 7 of SP17	Support welcomed

1098263	114	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM11	COMMENT - Sutton Valence PC would like to see the word 'protected' reinstated	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
558620	128	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM11	OBJECT - not legally compliant, justified or consistent with national policy; policy wording does not meet requirements of Section 85 of CRoW Act 2000 or paragraph 115 of NPPF; alternate wording proposed for (3) and (4)	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate and has also considered relevant planning guidance as well as relevant legislation.
970412	159	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM11	OBJECT - MM is not Justified. The proposed modification does not comply with national guidance and should be amended. The policy wording should replace "should not" with "will not"	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM11	Support Policy SP17 in general and specifically in respect of the Loose Valley.	Support welcomed
3392	247	Felicity Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM11	Support. Wording "taking account of the economic and social well-being of the area" suggest removal of Policy EMP1(4) and it encouragement of large scale built commercial development at Woodcut Farm. Para 5.81 AONB should have first paragraph reinstated. SP17 wording of Maidstone Local Plan 200 Policy ENV28 is preferable as it gives more direct protection to the countryside. SP17 add the word "or the amenities of surrounding occupiers". SP17 new section 7 should read "Development in the countryside will retain the setting and separation of individual settlements The words"	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM11	Object to the deletion of criterion 9. There are no sections of the policy which recognise the importance of high quality agricultural land and soils. NPPF paragraphs 109 and 112 require that Local Planning Authorities consider these. Alternative wording proposed.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings and resulted in the deletion. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM11	Object to the deletion of criterion (3). There does not appear to be an addition elsewhere to provide this protection.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings and resulted in the deletion. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
980698	276	Bovis Homes	Jonathan Lieberman	Boyer Planning	Y	MM11	Object to the proposed Modifications to SP17 (3) and (4). The wording is contrary to the NPPF. Alternative wording is put forward.	The wording is considered to be in accordance with relevant legislation and guidance. The adopted AONB Management Plan provides a framework for conserving and enhancing the natural beauty of the AONB. The proposed wording changes are not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
659146	279	Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	Ian Bailey	N/A	Y	MM11	Support.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM11	Object (in part). Object that the changed wording appears to weaken the policy but support the deletion of possible development which would be permitted.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not required and not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM11	Comment. Advises that the Kent Downs AONB Management Plan be dated for clarity: 2014 - 2019. MM11 does not rule out major development in the AONB although the council's assessment predetermined all AONB locations to be unsuitable for major development. The LPA should have considered the Binbury Park site objectively including assessing scheme benefits.	It is considered that the proposed wording and statements regarding Binbury Park do not constitute changes required for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM11	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: changes proposed to provide further clarification	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not required and not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM11	Object to the requirement for no harm to be caused to the character and appearance of the area, especially in non-designated locations. Alternated wording is put forward.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not required and not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM11	Object. Support for the conservation and enhancement of landscapes of local value, but objects to proposed deletion of SP17(9). While there is a proposed new policy for heritage assets, there is no corresponding policy for natural assets.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings and resulted in the deletion. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934545	306	Cllr Dennis Spooner	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM11	Support	Support welcomed
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM11	Object - The NPPF does not support the "blanket" approach to countryside protection proposed in SP17.	The approach to SP17 and the resultant main modification was considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.

849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM11	Object - changes proposed to provide further clarification	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not required and not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1093515	10	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM12	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
970412	100	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM12	OBJECT - not justified or consistent with national policy. SP18 is a new strategic policy that replaces DM3 'Historic and natural environment'. There is no proposal to provide a DM Historic policy. The historic aspects of DM3 will need to be brought into the new SP18 policy. The preamble and policy need to be strengthened with regard to the setting of listed buildings to give effect to recent rulings. CPRE Kent has concern over the use of the word 'collaboration'; Given that the Council as local planning authority will determine the planning application it would be useful to use a word or phrase that implies a greater degree of distance between a developer or landowner and the Council.	The Council believes that the proposed modifications under MM12 are consistent with national policy. Following discussion in the examination hearings MBC have split the historic policies into strategic (MM12) and non-strategic policies with a new DM Policy proposed under MM57. The proposed changes to the modifications suggested by CPRE are not required for soundness.
1098263	122	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM12	SUPPORT - strong support for policy protecting heritage	Support welcomed
979446	163	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM12	SUPPORT- strong support for modified policy	Support welcomed
3392	247	Felicity Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM12	Support, policy EMP1(4) should be removed based on warning from Leeds Castle that the proposed commercial build development in the countryside at Woodcut Farm is a threat to established tourism. SP18 the first sentence after "--quality of heritage assets" should include "and their settings". Reasoning that it is the setting of a heritage asset, its location that gives sense of place.	Support welcomed. Note that reference to EMP1 (4) which will be addressed through MM39. The proposed changes to the modifications are not required for soundness.
1098849	251	Terrance Butler Holdings	Judith Roy	N/A	Y [TB Holdings under 830804]	MM12	Support with comment. It is not considered that the new policy positively addresses key aspects of the NPPF with respect to conserving and enhancing the historic environment. The policy should emphasise the need to secure, whenever possible, the optimum viable use of heritage assets as a means to their long term conservation. There should also be recognition that there may be role for enabling development in securing the future conservation of heritage assets, especially where there is no optimum viable use for an asset (NPPF para. 140).	Support welcomed. The Council believes the new strategic Policy SP18 accords with the NPPF and does not require further modification to meet soundness.
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM12	Support new strategic policy SP18.	Support welcomed
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM12	Object. The fourth criterion should specify that detailed archaeological survey is always provided in a masterplan at outline application stage.	Under criterion 3 of the new Policy DM4 "Development affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets" proposed under MM57 requires development at sites which include or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest to submit an appropriate assessment. Therefore, the Council believes the proposed modifications in MM12 are required for soundness and further modification is not required.
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM12	Support - welcome new specific policy	Support welcomed
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM12	Support - support general principle of policy. Comment - consider objectives are more likely to be achieved with a Borough-wide heritage strategy in place Comment - at para 2, "historic parks and gardens" should be replaced with "registered parks and gardens"	Support welcomed but note the suggestion of an overarching heritage strategy. The Council note that the proposed change to MM12 to amend the wording from "historic parks and gardens" to "registered parks and gardens" to meet national criteria (paragraph 132) is a minor change.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM12	Support new policy SP18	Support welcomed
979838	296	East Farleigh Parish Council	Sarah O'Callaghan	N/A	Y	MM12	Comment. East Farleigh bridge is a scheduled ancient monument. The future of the bridge is threatened by the volume and size of traffic using it as a result of the housing development in the Plan.	This comment does not relate to the specific wording of the main modification and it is not considered that any change is required for soundness.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM12	Support.	Support welcomed
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM12	Comment. A detailed archaeological survey should be provided as part of the masterplanning of a site at outline application stage.	Under criterion 3 of the new Policy DM4 "Development affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets" proposed under MM57 requires development at sites which include or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest to submit an appropriate assessment. Therefore, the Council believes the proposed modifications in MM12 are required for soundness and further modification is not required.

1096862	46	Mr Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM13	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The draft Plan is a plan for massive housing growth but post-modification it still fails to address its other aspirations including jobs and sustainability. Major concerns regarding congestion and air quality. Plan cannot be adopted because as it stands it is essentially a plan for the construction of lots of housing for a population far in excess of that which presently resides within the Borough, substantially exceeding the likely capacity of the Borough to provide the necessary employment, and accompanied by wishful thinking in place of a coherent strategy to deal with the resulting congestion and concomitant adverse air quality and other unwelcome environmental effects.	The overall matters raised go beyond the main modifications and relevant topics have been considered at length during Independent Examination Hearings. The Council has had regard to the points made by the Inspector in his Interim Findings. The Council considers that together the MBLP, ITS, Walking and Cycling Strategy and IDP provide a balanced and effective suite of transport infrastructure improvements which will enable the Council to meet identified development needs without any severe residual traffic impacts. Policy SP23 and others, will support the delivery of the improvements required to support growth. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
970412	83	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM13	OBJECT - not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective. The continuing dispute between the Council's over the assumptions on modal shift and mitigation of traffic impact leaves a very large and serious question mark over the soundness of the ITS. It is noted that the ITS has not been updated to take account of the reduction in dwelling numbers.	The Council considers that together the MBLP, ITS, Walking and Cycling Strategy and IDP provide a balanced and effective suite of transport infrastructure improvements which will enable the Council to meet identified development needs without any severe residual traffic impacts. Policy SP23 and others, will support the delivery of the improvements required to support growth.
1098263	112	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM13	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. More emphasis needed on air quality; addition of park and ride on A274 at Langley sought	The Council set out its position on the concept of a P&R facility on the A274 at the hearing sessions. The modified SP23 however places increased emphasis on the need for sustainable transport measures to support both the overall transport strategy and wider objectives for air quality. Further detail on air quality is set out at DM6 which also commits the Council to developing an Air Quality DPD, which can take into account the emerging Low Emissions Strategy and AQAP.
979446	162	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM13	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Maidstone Borough Council is not the Highway Authority. A sound, effective, justified, legally compliant ITS which is in line with national Policy can only be achieved in co-operation with the County council. As per 20.1. 2017 (ED121) Kent County council is not satisfied that Maidstone Borough Council has fulfilled its Duty to Cooperate. By engaging consultants without involvement of KCC Maidstone Borough Council has exceeded its remit and legal powers. It is of utmost importance that an ITS is produced which is based on cooperation between KCC and MBC in the Joint Transport Board.	The Council has worked closely with KCC though the development of the Local Plan, and the DtC Compliance Statement (SUB008) chronicals the history, scope and detail of this cooperation. It is however the Council's responsibility to prepare the evidence base for the MBLP and, in the absense of constructive input from KCC on certain matters, the Council has had to produce/commission additional transport evidence to support the MBLP.
1098780	216	Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum	Cllr Paul Harper	N/A	N	MM13	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum would like to amend 2 iii, to add "ensure that at all road junctions where new measures are proposed that pro active measures for cyclists are adopted including cycling phasing and advancedstop lines. For Pedestrians there should be an all gree phase so pedestrians can cross from one side of a junction to another in one go and without obstruction." and add new xiii Include new cycling paths across the borough in line withthe approved Walking and Cycling Strategy	SP23 (2) (ii) and (v) support the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure improvements to deliver on the objective of achieving a significant modal shift. It is not considered necessary to reference individual cycle paths within this policy. Whilst the proposed measures for junctions may indeed be appropriate, they would need to be assessed on a scheme-by-scheme basis, and this level of detail is not considered to be appropriate in a strategic policy.
1098780	219	Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum	Cllr Paul Harper	N/A	N	MM13	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum would like to amend 2 iii, to add "ensure that at all road junctions where new measures are proposed that pro active measures for cyclists are adopted including cycling phasing and advancedstop lines. For Pedestrians there should be an all gree phase so pedestrians can cross from one side of a junction to another in one go and without obstruction." and add new xiii Include new cycling paths across the borough in line withthe approved Walking and Cycling Strategy	SP23 (2) (ii) and (v) support the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure improvements to deliver on the objective of achieving a significant modal shift. It is not considered necessary to reference individual cycle paths within this policy. Whilst the proposed measures for junctions may indeed be appropriate, they would need to be assessed on a scheme-by-scheme basis, and this level of detail is not considered to be appropriate in a strategic policy.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM13	Object - Reference to measures along "raidal routes" is completely inappropiate given that the extension to the bus lane is not justified or effective, and is not supported by KCC or MBC. Comment - Wording "manage any negotiations and agreement" is likely to cause confusion.	The Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings and subsequent correspondence which sets out a clear position on the installation of an extended bus lane as part of a package of bus priority measures to support Policy SP3. The modification reflects this, and is considered to be necessary for soundness. SP23 (1) outlines the proactive approach that the Council will take to ensure the timely delivery of transport infrastructure improvement to support growth. The Council disagrees that this wording is likely to cause confusion as it is qualified by the words "where appropriate".
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM13	Support.	Support noted.

949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM13	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: criteria 1 and 2 proposed changes to clearly show who is accountable. Amendments to the final paragraph removing reference to an investigation of whether additional sites may be available and deliverable to contribute towards wider objectives for sustainable transport and air quality.	The approach to SP23 and the resultant main modifications were considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM13	Object. A key objective of the policy is to deliver a modal shift in favour of sustainable transport, which is at odds with a development policy that concentrates over one-third of development in rural areas. Rail services in Rural Service Centres are likely to be downgraded (ref South Eastern Rail consultation). The policy makes no mention of the need to support the uptake of electric vehicles as part of the sustainable transport policy.	The Council has had regard to the MBLP Inspector's Interim Findings which sets out a clear position on the Council's spatial strategy. The Council has evidenced that opportunities for sustainable transport are available within the RSCs which are the second tier settlements in the hierarchy. Measures proposed in the MBLP, ITS, Walking and Cycling Strategy and IDP will together support the Council's objectives for achieving modal shift. Taken together, Policies SP23, DM21 and DM6 provide the policy basis for electric vehicle infrastructure to be provided through the planning system. The emerging Low Emissions Strategy and AQAP will look more holistically at this issue, and this can be taken into account and developed as the Council produces its Air Quality DPD.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM13	Object - criteria 1 and 2 proposed changes to clearly show who is accountable. Amendments to the final paragraph removing reference to an investigation of whether additional sites may be available and deliverable to contribute towards wider objectives for sustainable transport and air quality.	The approach to SP23 and the resultant main modifications were considered at length in the Independent Examination Hearings. The proposed wording change is not considered necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM13	Object to the deletion of paragraph 17.134 re Park & Ride. MBC must retain the 2 remaining sites.	The deletion of this reference does not affect the content of the adopted ITS which, at Objective 1 (B) sets out that the Council will develop, maintain and enhance public transport provision, including Park and Ride. The modification sets out a more positive approach to the issue, in line with the ITS.
1096862	271	Andrew Duncan	N/A	N/A	N	MM13	Comments that the Plan's proposals for transport mitigation, including modal shift, are insufficient. Also comments that the projected housing numbers will greatly exceed the capacity of the local economy for additional employment.	The Council considers that together the MBLP, ITS, Walking and Cycling Strategy and IDP provide a balanced and effective suite of transport infrastructure improvements which will enable the Council to meet identified development needs without any severe residual traffic impacts. Policy SP23 and others, will support the delivery of the improvements required to support growth.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM13	Object. It is not clear if SP23 is deliverable. The Duty to co-operate with respect to highways matters has not been satisfied. Local Plan allocations must not exacerbate poor air quality in the town centre through congestion. The SA addendum denotes significant negative effects of congestion. Binbury Park would not have an adverse effect on air quality.	The Council has demonstrated compliance with the DiC through the MBLP examination, and the submitted evidence demonstrates broad agreement between the Council and KCC on the mitigation measures required to support planned growth to 2022. This includes the key schemes identified in the ITS and IDP. Taken together, Policies SP23, DM21 and DM6 provide the policy basis for appropriate air quality mitigation to be secured through the planning system. The emerging Low Emissions Strategy and AQAP will look more holistically at this issue, and this can be taken into account and developed as the Council produces its Air Quality DPD.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM13	Comment. Public transport is inadequate. MBC should retain the 2 remaining Park & Ride sites and ensure this is reflected in the Local Plan.	The deletion of this reference does not affect the content of the adopted ITS which, at Objective 1 (B) sets out that the Council will develop, maintain and enhance public transport provision, including Park and Ride. The modification sets out a more positive approach to the issue, in line with the ITS.
1093515	11	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM14	Support - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness.	Support welcomed.
1093520	20	Tovil Parish Council	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	N	MM14	Support - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness.	Support welcomed.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM14	Support the increase from 500 to 692 units.	Support welcomed.
1093515	12	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM15	Support - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness.	Support welcomed.
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM15	Object. An available connection must be established at outline application stage.	The Council considers MM15 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. It is appropriate to highlight this matter in the policy, as stated. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM15	Support.	Support welcomed.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM15	Support but add: "The measurement of sewerage capacity should include the need to take into account the impact of rain water on the functioning of the system".	Support welcomed, the Council considers MM15 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM15	Comment. It is essential that connection to the local sewerage system is available at outline application stage.	The Council considers MM15 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. It is appropriate to highlight this matter in the policy, as stated. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.

980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM16	Object - the new criterion should be applied to all sites within all Mineral Safeguarding Areas	This representation reiterates KCC's position as articulated during the examination hearing sessions. The Council considers that it is neither necessary nor proportionate to include a requirement for minerals assessments for sites within the Kentish Ragstone or Industrial Sands MSAs. The Information Note produced by KCC concludes that there is little prospect of unnecessary sterilisation occurring, or of any conflict with KMWLP or NPPF policies, as a result of planned growth in these areas. It is abundantly clear for instance that there are already sufficient Ragstone reserves (on the MBC boundary) to service need far beyond the MBLP period and that the Industrial Sands have no modern day industrial application. With these factors considered, in accordance with KMWLP Policy CSM5, the Council considers that it would be unreasonable and unjustifiable to include this additional requirement for sites within these two MSAs. It is considered therefore that MM28 is consistent with national policy, in that it sets out appropriate policies for minerals safeguarding, and KCC's proposed changes (in bold on page 7) are not necessary for soundness. Within this section of KCC's representation, the MPA identifies a series of sites with "strikethrough" text to denote that they should be deleted from the list. KCC has since confirmed that, in respect of the H1 and H2 sites, this is because KCC's R19 representation either mistakenly identified the land as within an MSA, or neglected to apply the exemption afforded to sites within established urban area boundaries. For the RMX and EMP sites, KCC has explained that the strikethrough/deletion from MM16 is instead due to the duplication with MM33, MM36 and MM39. The Council is grateful to KCC for clarifying these errors and would therefore be content with the deletion of sites H1 (34), (36), (44), (50), (63) and H2 (2) from the MM16 list, but leave this at the Inspector's discretion.
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM17	Object to the deletion of criteria 14; it is essential to protect the gap between Allington and settlements in TMBC area as cross-border co-operation is inefficient and poorly evidenced.	MM17 was part of the proposed changes following consultation on the Maidstone Borough Local Plan Regulation 19 (SUB 010), the criterion was suggested for deletion as it makes reference to land outside of the borough boundary and would impede on the ability to secure development. The proposed changes as set out in SUB 010, subject to small amendments, were agreed by SPST Committee on 18th April 2016 alongside the Local Plan for submission. The representation raises concerns that the cross border compliance with TMBC was inefficient. As outlined in SUB 008 and SUB 017 the two authorities met several times and complied with the Duty to Cooperate. Furthermore SUB 017 outlines that TMBC supports the deletion of criterion 14. Therefore, the proposal to change the modification further is not required for soundness.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM17	Object to criterion deletion. The gap between settlements must be protected. The DfC Compliance Statement does not demonstrate effective cross-border co-operation.	MM17 was part of the proposed changes following consultation on the Maidstone Borough Local Plan Regulation 19 (SUB 010), the criterion was suggested for deletion as it makes reference to land outside of the borough boundary and would impede on the ability to secure development. The proposed changes as set out in SUB 010, subject to small amendments, were agreed by SPST Committee on 18th April 2016 alongside the Local Plan for submission. The representation raises concerns that the cross border compliance with TMBC was inefficient. As outlined in SUB 008 and SUB 017 the two authorities met several times and complied with the Duty to Cooperate. Furthermore SUB 017 outlines that TMBC supports the deletion of criterion 14. Therefore, the proposal to change the modification further is not required for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM17	Object - keep criterion. No justification provided at the examination	MM17 was part of the proposed changes following consultation on the Maidstone Borough Local Plan Regulation 19 (SUB 010), the criterion was suggested for deletion as it makes reference to land outside of the borough boundary and would not impede on the ability to secure development. The proposed changes as set out in SUB 010, subject to small amendments, were agreed by SPST Committee on 18th April 2016 alongside the Local Plan for submission. Therefore, the proposal to change the modification further is not required for soundness.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM18	Support - support amendment to facilitate bus connectivity between sites	Support noted.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM18	Comment. The council needs to respond to KCC Highways concerns about highways improvements in south east Maidstone (KCC letters of 20th January 2017 and 16th February 2017).	The Council has responded to these letters and has provided information to assist the Inspector. Documents ED129 and ED141 refer.
980161	1	Mr Andrew Fairhurst	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; vehicle access and traffic impacts not adequately considered. Concerns expressed regarding rat-running.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

831973	4	Mrs Susan Skipp	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; road cannot be widened; policies are loosely worded and not clear; concerns regarding provision of school places.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
830495	5	Mr Leigh Windust	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; vehicle access and traffic impacts not adequately considered.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1097854	57	Mrs Rachel Gray	N/A	N/A	Y (933084)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not Positively Prepared or Consistent with national policy. The proposal to access 440 dwellings from Church Road is unsustainable in its present state. Church Road is a narrow country lane and the site sits adjacent to an ancient parish church that has no parking. Through traffic struggles to pass on the remaining stretch of narrow lane. Policy H1(8) fails to address this issue. In addition, any widening of Church Road to mitigate the impact of the traffic generated by the development would cause the removal of roadside verges and an unsympathetic change to the character of a rural lane which is of landscape and nature conservation importance, contrary to the NPPF.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1097964	69	Mrs Kathy Misiak	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Access to site is unsustainable; public transport is not sufficient; no local GP surgery; modification states that a new development will be supported if sufficient infrastructure is available or can be provided, but the very nature of the location and existing physical limitations prevent this without completely changing and spoiling the rural character of this area.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
970412	84	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - not justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Although modification goes some way to overcome original objections raised at R19, concern remains over impacts on listed buildings.	A modification is not required for soundness and the matter can be addressed through the development management process. However, should the Inspector be minded to make a modification, MBC would be content with a modification to MM19 that partially meets CPRE's objection: amend H1(8)(3) to read: 'An undeveloped section of land will be retained <u>along the southern edge of St Nicholas Church yard as well as</u> along the eastern edge of the site in order to protect the setting of St Nicholas Church and maintain clear views of the Church from Church Road'. The treatment of the boundary of Church House is more appropriately addressed at planning application stage.
683690	101	Mrs Valerie Moon	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Issues with access remain. The lack of policy to widen Church Road in MM19 also contradicts MM52 para 1. Concern regarding local heritage assets and a lack of protection. The NPPF Section 11 refers to preserving and enhancing the natural environment. If it was decided that a solution would be to widen Church Road it would require removal of hedges and a fundamental change to the rural landscape which would contravene said policy.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098163	108	Mr Andrew Gray	N/A	N/A	Y (934176)	MM19	OBJECT - not positively prepared or consistent with national policy. Development cannot be considered sustainable at H1(8). Infrastructure improvements are not directly related and will not mitigate impacts. Site should be reduced / removed.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934364	118	Mr Anthony Ellis	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - not legally compliant. Positively prepared, justified, effective, or consistent with national policy. The site should be deleted from the plan for the detailed reasons given	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934190	142	Dr Robin Gardner	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared or consistent with national policy. Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate..
1098454	143	Mr Anthony Galbraith	N/A	N/A	Y (685293)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934039	151	Mr Simon Tickle	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

980232	154	Mr David Ilari	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; does not believe that MM19 will achieve a sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework. A development of 440 dwellings West of Church Road would result in unacceptable traffic levels not only on Church Road, but on Deringwood Drive, Mallards Way and Spot Lane in Downswood and Bearsted. Furthermore, any widening of Church Road to mitigate the traffic issues there would totally change the character of what is currently a rural country lane. Apart from the traffic impact, building 440 new dwellings here would change the feel of Downswood unsympathetically and irreparably.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934039	155	Mr Simon Tickle	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098642	166	Mr Richard Young	N/A	N/A	Y (679432)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Insufficient access is available for vehicles accessing the site.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098667	174	Mrs Judy Galbraith	N/A	N/A	Y (979829)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1097959	181	Luckhurst	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Paragraph 11 – the requirement to make a financial contribution towards the expansion of an existing primary school to accommodate the new population. The main concern with this paragraph is that the new school places will not be within a safe walking distance of the proposed development. Church Road has no pavement and is unlit and is therefore completely unsafe for pedestrians or cyclists. does not believe that MM19 will achieve a sustainable development and is therefore not consistent with the NPPF	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098698	202	Mr Keith Yorke	N/A	N/A	Y (979252)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. No suitable access to site makes it unsustainable.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098724	203	Mrs Natalie Munro	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Site is unsustainable and there is a distinct lack of facilities	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098722	204	Miss Joanne Pollock	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities. does not believe that MM19 will achieve a sustainable development in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098762	207	Mrs Sue Griffith	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Objection to inclusion of allocation in the plan. Site is not sustainable as access is difficult and insufficient facilities are accessible. Concerns over loss of rural landscape and impacts on local heritage assets. Issues with coalescence.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
842346	214	Mr Christopher Dobson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities. does not believe that MM will achieve a sustainable development in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098787	223	Mr Daniel Quirke	N/A	N/A	Y (842602)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. The development of an additional 440 houses in an area where over 3000 houses are being built with no additional road infrastructure will put unacceptable levels of traffic through Otham and change the character of this once rural area. MBC rejected an application for 2 houses in this location in 2014 (14/504685) as this would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the countryside	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

1098781	224	Mrs Margaret Brown	N/A	N/A	Y (980552)	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098795	226	Mr William Greenhead	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934565	229	Mrs Samantha Brooks	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098808	232	Mr Lee James	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Development of the site will not be sustainable due to lack of infrastructure. Concerns regarding traffic, safety, congestion and access to facilities. Also concerns regarding coalescence with Downswood	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
980225	234	Mr Nicholas Staddon	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Insufficient infrastructure including roads, schools and local amenities.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098887	236	Virginia Darley	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object. MM52 sets out the need to avoid generating travel journeys which will create severe residual impact. Policy H1(8) has no such policy to confront this issue. The development must access onto Church Road and no improvements are proposed. MM19 suggests additional primary school needs can be met through the expansion of an existing school. Site H1(8) is not within walking distance of a school or bus service, creating more traffic movements. MM1 prevents coalescence between settlements. Policy H1(8) forms a rural breathing space between existing communities. Policy H1(8) is not consistent with national policy because of the generation of unacceptable traffic levels.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098869	244	Bellway Homes	David Bedford	DHA Planning	Y [under 980694]	MM19	Object. The proposed boundary change in conjunction with the open space requirement results in a 9.72ha deliverable area. This would require a density of 45dpa to achieve 440 homes which is too high given the character of the area. Changes to the site boundaries to include additional land are proposed.	The Objector supports the allocation of the site but objects to the Modifications. MBC believes the objection has been met through amendments to the site boundary under the Schedule of Minor Changes (ref MC19 and plan). The provision of 2.88ha of open space is in total: 1.4ha under policy OS1 (ref MM28) off-site, leaving 1.48ha to be provided on-site. Further, the amended site boundary (MC19) includes land to the west of the site which was excluded in the submission plan. Consequently, developing the site at 35 dpha together with landscaping and open space results in a 'surplus' of almost 1ha. MBC does not support further boundary amendments.
1098869	244	Bellway Homes	David Bedford	DHA Planning	Y [under 980694]	MM19	Comment. Re criterion 11, requests must be CIL compliant and accord with NPPF paragraph 204.	Comment noted.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM19	Comment. Policy H1(8) criteria 16 - there is no information about how the capacity improvements at the Wheatshaf junction are going to be achieved.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) was examined during the examination hearings. MBC supports the site's allocation. Further detail on the Wheatshaf Junction is set out in the Maidstone Integrated Transport Strategy 2011-31.
3680	249	Jennifer Tournay	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of lack of infrastructure and dangerous and unsuitable local roads.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098782	253	Mrs Deb Nedelchev	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of unsuitable local roads, increase in travel times, insufficient public transport and impact on local services.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098661	254	Barbara & John Cottis	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site. Local roads are unsuitable. Concerns about insufficient infrastructure, specifically schools, GPs, sewers and public transport.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
3823	260	Brian Morley	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site. Concern about the suitability of local roads.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098268	261	Janet Davis	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of traffic impacts, loss of rural character. The rural lane is of nature conservation importance. MM19 will not achieve sustainable development in accordance with the NPPF.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

1098818	263	Joshua Gray	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Objection. Accessing 440 homes from Church road simply impossible. Church road, narrow country land, no mention of the road in the amendment. No policy requirement for better infrastructure. Traffic struggles on the road when church is in use, provision for a church car park. effect of houses already built on Sutton road being felt with increases in traffic especially around school time which is dangerous and leads to deaths, injuries and accidents on this road. This will only get worse and shows the site and the modification is still not positively prepared. Young children will be a risk, long walk to nearest bus service those walking and cycling to the schools a long distance away will be in danger. by including this site and amendment you will put a whole small town of children at risk of injury. Church road is still used as a rat run for traffic between A247 and A20. Willington street is not used by many Parkwood, Imperial park and other Local residents - Church road is	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098818	263	Joshua Gray	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Objection. Does not comply with NPPF, any widening of Church Road would cause horrendous character change for what it historically a rural area and of landscape and conservation importance.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1098255	267	Amanda McKenna	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site. The primary school is over-subscribed and infrastructure is under pressure from other developments on Sutton Road. Concerned about highway congestion and safety and wildlife and amenity impacts.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1097764	269	Annette Stephens	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of loss of agricultural land, impact of urban sprawl, impact on air quality, lack of infrastructure and traffic impacts.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
590520	272	Downswood Parish Council	Teresa Irving	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. Severe impacts on highway network in terms of congestion and incipience to local residents and other road users and on the strategic scale transport planning of the area generally. The roads are at full capacity and cannot sustain such a large scale development.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
590520	272	Downswood Parish Council	Teresa Irving	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. Proposed development is not sustainable as local facilities are inadequate, no medical centre, dentist or schools in the parish, none within reasonable walking distance. Local bus is an hourly serve which does not provide direct access to infrastructure or supermarket.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
590520	272	Downswood Parish Council	Teresa Irving	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. Not clear full account of ecological constraints on water supply and sewerage have been taken into account. All of Maidstone is served by Aylesford sewage works which is on other side of the town. The parish is suffering instances of ruptures where drains have discharged into the River Len.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
592376	275	Cllr Gordon Newton	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site. MM19 will not achieve sustainable development. Object to the development on the grounds that Church Road and other local roads are insufficient to serve the development, there will be insufficient public transport, pedestrian and overall highway safety, insufficient infrastructure, adverse impact on the setting of Grade I listed church and loss of hedgerows . MM19 should include a requirement to provide a Church car park and a burial ground. Church Road/Gore Court Road should be closed off at its junction with White Horse lane. Site H1(8) does not accord with the requirements of MM52 paragraph 1 because Church Road is unsuitable for large scale development or with MM1 (paragraph 1) because there is insufficient infrastructure capacity. MM19 does not address settlement coalescence.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
980527	281	Ian Betts	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of agricultural land loss, unsuitable local roads, impact on residential amenity, urban coalescence. There are better alternative locations.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
980367	285	Lee Malyon	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object. This development will cause immense traffic increase in addition to the other developments on the Sutton Road	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

686057	286	Otham Parish Council	Teresa Irving	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site. MM19 will not achieve sustainable development. Concern about the adequacy of Church Road as an access to the site. MM19 should include provision of a church car park. There is insufficient infrastructure capacity and there is no policy requirement to provide any. With insufficient transport mitigation, the allocation is unsound. The development will result in coalescence. The widening of Church Road would adversely impact on its character and nature conservation importance.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
686537	287	Mr M Ladds	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site. The development would not be sustainable. Concern about the suitability of Church Road, highway safety, impacts on local residents, lack of highway mitigation and lack of infrastructure to support development.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the inclusion of this site. Should it be retained, the revised wording is supported.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
692756	291	Sheila Morris	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. Local plan not positively prepared, inconsistent with national policy, how can water supply handle all the new homes, unhappy ancient hedgerow would be removed. Object most strongly.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
694820	292	Richard Barnard	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM19	Object - Wide ranging objection to the principle of development at H1 (8) including for reasons such as infrastructure constraints, pollution, and impact on natural and historic environment.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
1099325	293	Joanne Dixon	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Objection. Objects to number of houses and associated traffic impacts. Also expresses concerns regarding sustainability of development, including access and public transport provision.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
743694	297	Henry Darley	Andrew Street	Consilium Town Planning	Y	MM19	Objection. Adjacent landowner, proposal due to its size is wholly unsustainable given the current local road network and infrastructure. Do not believe MM19 is positively prepared, it does not achieve a form of sustainable development. Existing traffic on Church Road struggles to pass as section of it remain narrow. No modification proposed to widen church Road. No other measures or remedies to mitigate traffic impacts on the highway. There are no pavements or lighting on Church Road. The only way to travel from the site is by car making it unsustainable. no current GP surgery, school or services within walking distance. Unacceptable density for a rural area, site will form new boundary resulting in coalescence of Senacre, Willington Street including Chapman Avenue and Downwood. The current scheme does little to preserve the rural character of Church Road and St. Nicolas Church. No reference to provision of a church car park	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
743694	297	Henry Darley	Andrew Street	Consilium Town Planning	Y	MM19	Objection. Design and layout set out in the draft policy there are currently no safeguards to protect the amenity and privacy of Squerryes Oast such as retaining existing trees and proposed landscaping along the boundary. Landscaping is proposed to protect the occupants of Chapman Avenue (para 1) and the hedgeline will be strengthened along the eastern boundary with Church Road (para 5). St Nicolas Church will also need to be protected by having an undeveloped section of the site to protect long range views of the church tower and its setting. Landscaping and tree planting be added along the eastern edge of the site with Squerryes Oast. This can be added to the recently inserted paragraph 5 in the Main Modifications.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) was examined during the examination hearings. MBC supports the site's allocation. A modification is not required for soundness and the matter can be addressed through the development management process. However, should the Inspector be minded to make a modification, MBC would be content.
955933	298	Dr R H C Vaux	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site. In conjunction with other sites, there is insufficient infrastructure capacity. Concern about the suitability of Church Road, impacts on pollution, lack of accessible public transport and facilities for walkers and cyclists and highway safety.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
835981	300	Dr R Codlin	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of greenfield land loss, heritage impacts, urban coalescence, ecological impact, cumulative impact with other nearby developments, unsuitable local roads, lack of sustainable transport options, highway safety and insufficient local infrastructure.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
838224	302	John & Shirley Leeds	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of unsuitable local roads, insufficient highways improvements, insufficient infrastructure capacity and concerns about highways safety.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934778	303	Andrew Crew	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM19	Object - Wide ranging objection to the principle of development at H1 (8) including for reasons such as infrastructure constraints, design and density of development and impact on natural and historic environment.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.

934407	309	John Leaf	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of increase in traffic on local roads, change in rural character and nature conservation impacts.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
843931	310	Jeanette Crew	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM19	Object - Wide ranging objection to the principle of development at H1 (8) including for reasons such as infrastructure constraints and impact on natural and historic environment.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
934387	311	Joanna Watts	N/A	N/A	N	MM19	Object to the development of this site on the grounds of increase in traffic on local roads, highway safety including for horse riders, insufficient public transport and loss of village surroundings .	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
932876	313	Carl Baldock	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Object to the development of this site. Concern about the safety and capacity of Church Road. Development will put pressure on local infrastructure.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
842346	315	Chris Dobson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. Does meet test of being positively prepared as not achieving sustainable development. Road system can not sustain a development of this size. Ridiculous to build excess of 400 houses with the only road access being on a narrow country land that is used as a rat run by cars avoiding already overloaded main routes of Sutton and Willing Street. Traffic mitigation measures proposed in MM18 are meaningless in relation to this development. Widening of Gore Court Road relates to a small section very close to Sutton Road, improvements to capacity at the junctions of Willington Street/Wallis Avenue and Sutton Road are meaningless in relation to the hundreds of cars coming out of this development which will first have to negotiate the narrow county land and then additional traffic during the school run and rush hour. Claim that primary school infrastructure will be enhanced in SE Maidstone to accommodate this development is going to lead to more traffic at school run time since the schools will be outside walking distance, outside convenient public transport routings and downright dangerous to reach as a pedestrian or cyclist. This so called sustainable housing development is going to significantly increase car journeys within an area already identified by Kent County Council as overloaded. Other aspect of infrastructure in the area are woefully inadequate with no GP practice within walking distance. the sustainability if this development cannot be taken in isolation since the impact of all of the other housing developments within South Maidstone associated with this Local Plan has only been guessed at and key infrastructure elements such as GP service completely ignored.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
842346	315	Chris Dobson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM19	Objection. This modification is not consistent with MM1 to protect the rural character of the borough avoiding coalescence between settlements since it would join three separate community areas into one massive estate. It is not consistent with para 11 of MM1 that states that new residential development will be supported if sufficient infrastructure capacity is either available or can be provided in time to serve it. Do not believe the infrastructure is available to support this development or that it can be provided in time. I urge the inspector to ask the Borough Council to provide quantitative measures of traffic levels now and forecasts in this area following development taking account of all the other development in South Maidstone, GP surgery places available, new residents unable to find primary and secondary school place within reach of sustainable methods of travel.	This site (with supporting infrastructure) and associated policy was examined during the examination hearings and the MM reflects this. MBC supports the site's allocation and the MM is considered to be appropriate.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM20	Support the increase in housing numbers. Applications indicate that the site can deliver a higher figure.	Support noted.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM20	Support the modification with respect to flood risk	Support noted.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM20	Object - propose wording changes to reflect the recognised need for employment sites to give effect to the sentiments in ED118	It is not considered that the proposed change is necessary for soundness. The Council supports the allocation of this site for housing development.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM20	Support the modified wording of H1(11)1 albeit it should be applied flexibly in respect of the separate land parcels. As numbers have increased, it will be difficult to achieve the 4.8ha open space requirement. The burden for this provision should not fall on one land parcel. Alternative wording is proposed.	Support noted. The Council's approach to site capacity, density and open space was discussed in detail as part of the examination hearings, and the policy, together with other policies in the MBLP, enables sufficient flexibility to take account of the specific circumstances arising from each land parcel, and development proposal, which the representation accepts at least in part. The proposed changes are not considered to be necessary for soundness.
1093515	13	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM22	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
1093520	21	Tovil Parish Council	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	N	MM22	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed

3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM22	Support the deletion of H1(29) New Line Learning.	Support welcomed
3755	250	David Nessling	N/A	N/A	Y	MM22	Support the deletion of H1(29) New Line Learning.	Support welcomed
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM22	Support - support deletion of site	Support welcomed
3353	238	Persimmon Homes SE	Judith Ashton	Judith Ashton Associates	Y [under 980677]	MM23	Support.	Support welcomed
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM24	Object. The proposed amendment to make primary access from the single lane Ulcombe Road rather than Kings Road or Mill Bank does not meet the NPPF definition of sustainability. Travellers to the village from Ulcombe Road would need to travel onto the Kings Road to reach the village. Ulcombe Road is a single lane where it reaches the village and is a traffic pinch point. The main access to the primary school will be off Ulcombe Road causing danger to pedestrians. Deletion of the amendment is sought.	The modification reflects the decision of the Council in determining the planning application, following consideration of the detailed transport evidence submitted to support the application. This evidence updates the Council's position on access and the modification is therefore required to achieve effectiveness. The proposed change is not considered to be necessary for soundness.
558620	132	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM25	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; objection to allocation is maintained. If site does come forward further reduction in numbers sought to allow for greater landscaping and planting.	These issues were discussed at length during the examination hearing sessions. The Council supports the allocation of the site for residential development and it is not considered that the proposed changes are necessary for soundness.
1098666	173	Lenham Parish Council	Mr Paul McCreery	PMC Planning	Y (591216)	MM25	SUPPORT AND COMMENT - The Parish Council is supportive of the proposals contained in MM25 with regard to the allocation at Tanyard Farm H1(42). The Parish Council is particularly supportive of the reduction in the number proposed for this site and believe this should be a maximum of 145 dwellings. The Parish Council would prefer criterion 6 Access for Tanyard Farm H(42) to be revised to read: "6. Access will be taken from Old Ashford Road and if possible a new access should be also be provided onto the A20 Ashford Road."	Support welcomed
1098666	175	Lenham Parish Council	Mr Paul McCreery	PMC Planning	Y (591216)	MM25	OBJECT- MM is not effective. The Parish Council is concerned that appropriate infrastructure be brought forward in a timely manner to support the development proposals. The parish Council would therefore prefer criterion 10 for Lenham broad location housing growth H2(3) MM32 should be reinforced as follows: 10. Proposals which come forward for development and which cannot demonstrate provision for connection to a comprehensive, fit for purpose, sewerage network and to an enhanced capacity sewage treatment works will be refused."	It is understood that this representation relates to MM32, rather than MM25. It is considered that MM32, together with Policy ID1, sets out an appropriate basis for the provision of sewerage infrastructure to support development at Lenham. It is not considered that the proposed change is necessary for soundness.
1093515	14	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM26	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
1093520	22	Tovil Parish Council	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	N	MM26	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM26	Support the deletion of H1(53) Boughton Lane	Support welcomed
3755	250	David Nessling	N/A	N/A	Y	MM26	Support the deletion of H1(53) Boughton Lane	Support welcomed
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM26	Support - support deletion of site	Support welcomed
980372	284	Helen Grant MP	N/A	N/A	Y	MM26	Support the deletion of H1(53) Boughton Lane	Support welcomed
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM28	Object. There are no changes to open space allocations that would provide for open space to ANGSt standard in Headcorn.	The Council set out its position on open space provision in Headcorn at the hearing sessions. Significant areas of new open space have been secured and will be delivered alongside planned housing developments. Contributions have also been secured towards qualitative improvements to facilities in the village. Through the implementation of the GBI Strategy, the Council will seek to deliver additional open space provision to address specific deficiencies. In some areas, the neighbourhood plan process will facilitate the provision of additional open space facilities.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM29	Comment. As the Broad Locations will deliver less housing, and as also noted in the SA, alternative Broad Locations should be considered to give certainty at the end of the Plan period.	The Council considers MM29 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Delivery of Broad locations and the housing land supply were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination and were considered by the Inspector in his Interim Findings report.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM30	Object. The Council is too reliant on office-residential conversions in the town centre which is a finite source.	The Council considers MM30 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The capacity of the town centre's office to residential conversions were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM30	Object - Cannot be demonstrated that the level of office conversions will materialise into completed residential units. Cautionary approach should be adopted until further evidence is provided and delivery should be monitored.	The Council considers MM30 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The capacity of the town centre's office to residential conversions were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.

934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM31	Object - Insufficient evidence to demonstrate that 500 units can be completed within the plan period.	This matter and relevant evidence were considered at length in the examination hearings and were also considered in the Inspector's Interim Findings. The MM reflects this and is considered appropriate.
1076162	3	Wychling Parish Meeting	Mr Glen Porter	N/A	N	MM32	OBJECT -MM is not positively prepared, effective or consistent with national policy; 1000 new homes for Lenham is too much. No provision made for infrastructure to support this level of growth. Proposals are not sustainable.	The principle of Lenham as a broad location was considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting Lenham as a broad location. The main modification reflects this and no changes are proposed as the MM is considered appropriate.
970412	85	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM32	OBJECT - not effective. It is not clear if the development is meant to be fully integrated with surrounding 'villages' rather than 'village'. Or if the development is to have better public transport links into Lenham?	The principle of integration with the village is considered to be clear so no changes are proposed to the MM.
558620	129	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM32	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; objection to Lenham as a broad location is maintained. However if it does come forward reduced numbers and removal of the east/west references is supported. Policy does not meet requirements of CRoW Act 2000 or paragraph 115 of NPPF; development here should be a last resort only.	The principle of Lenham as a broad location, the role of Lenham regarding the housing trajectory, and the detail regarding the Lenham policies were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting Lenham as a broad location. The sensitivity in respect of the AONB is dealt with in the reasoned justification of policy SP8. The main modification reflects this situation, and no changes are proposed as the MM is considered appropriate.
979446	164	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM32	OBJECT - MM is not effective. It is important to connect the granting of planning permission to availability of new capacities at the Waste Water Treatment Works. Suggested alternative wording for policy provided	The representation suggests detailed changes which are not considered necessary given the nature of this policy and to make it sound. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
1098666	172	Lenham Parish Council	Mr Paul McCreery	PMC Planning	Y (591216)	MM32	SUPPORT - In view of the Interim Findings of the Inspector following the Inquiry into the Maidstone Borough Local Plan, Lenham Parish Council is broadly supportive of the proposals contained in MM32. The Parish Council is particularly supportive of the proposal to reduce the rate of development for the Lenham broad location.	Support welcomed
3799	255	Peter Court for B & D Russell	Peter Court	Peter Court Associates	Y [as 3799(9)]	MM32	Object. The 1,500 dwelling figure should be reinstated and the 2021 date deleted.	The approach to Lenham's development and the Lenham policies were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach taken in the policy. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM32	Object. Paragraph 9.6 refers to transport infrastructure improvements but this is omitted from new sentence 2 of Policy H2(3). The improvements are not just physical infrastructure but also increased service frequency. The existing service frequency at Lenham is under threat by the SE Trains franchise consultation. Specific additional wording proposed.	It is considered that the matters raised are appropriately dealt with in other parts of the policy itself as well as the reasoned justification. The Council does not agree with the proposed change to wording and this is not necessary for soundness. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM32	Comment - (1) should reflect the need for the "cumulative" traffic impacts to be mitigated	The representation suggests a detailed change which is not considered necessary to make the policy sound. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM32	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: not "and/or". Lenham Neighbourhood Plan has until the First Review adoption date to confirm local views. Further clarity is required.	The Council does not agree with the proposed change to wording and this is not necessary for soundness. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM32	Object - Not appropriate or proportionate for a neighbourhood plan to deliver the allocation of land at this scale	The approach to Lenham's development and the Lenham policies were considered at length during the examination and the Inspector has presented his Interim Findings supporting the approach taken in the policy. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM32	Object - not "and/or". Lenham Neighbourhood Plan has until the First Review adoption date to confirm local views. Further clarity is required.	The Council does not agree with the proposed change to wording and this is not necessary for soundness. The MM is therefore considered appropriate.
970412	86	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM33	OBJECT - not justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Modifications should also include a requirement for a sequential test for leisure uses.	This matter is covered by Policy DM17 - Town Centre Uses and in these circumstances it is considered that the proposed change is not required for soundness. The Council would not object however if the Inspector is minded to make this change.
558620	130	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM33	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Without an extension to the area where development is to be excluded to include a larger area around the 'grassy knoll', consider that the policy fails to comply with both the 2000 CRoW Act and para 115 of the NPPF, and as such the policy is unsound as it does not comply with national policy; amendments to landscaping requirements, LEMP,LVIA, materials are supported.	The exclusion of the grassy knoll area was discussed at the Examination hearings. The representor has not provided specific evidence to justify why the excluded area should be extended. Support for other specified aspect of the Main Modifications is welcomed.
1098644	257	M & G Real Estate	Nick Diment	G L Hearn	Y [under 980091]	MM33	Object. Whilst the proposed Modifications are supported, they do not provide certainty about the type of replacement retail and thereby the impacts on the town centre.	This issue was discussed at the Examination. MBC accepts that the site has open A1 consent for 14,300sqm.

3804	259	Harvestore Systems (Holdings) Ltd	Jonathan Buckwell	DHA Planning	Y	MM33	<p>Criterion 5(iv) & para. 16.6: object to the restriction on building heights due to inconsistency with extant and pending consents for the site.</p> <p>Criterion 5(iii) and para. 13.6: object - should refer to 'buildings' rather than 'built development'. Para. 13.7: support sentence deletion.</p> <p>Criterion 17(iv): Object - criterion is too prescriptive and inconsistent with pending consent. Criterion X & para. 13.8: Object to floorspace figure. Para. 13.8: Object - goods restriction should clearly apply to additional floorspace only. Additional minerals safeguarding criterion: Object - site should be exempted as an allocated site in an adopted Local Plan.</p>	<p>Building heights: the Main Modification to the text of the Plan was discussed in full at the Examination hearings. The amended wording proposed in the representation would be inconsistent with the wording of policy criterion 5iv which is not proposed to be modified. The condition in the pending consent will be a material consideration to any future proposals on the site but this does not necessitate the proposed change to the Plan's text.</p> <p>Criterion 5(iii): The wording of the criterion was discussed at the Modifications hearing when it was amended to refer to 'buildings' rather than built development. The Council considers that this is the correct wording. It would be open to an applicant to demonstrate that 'built development' (such as car parking) could be sited here with undue visual harm as part of a planning application for a specific scheme. Paragraph 13.7: Support welcomed.</p> <p>Criterion 17(iv): This criterion is not subject to a Main Modification. Changes to specific bus services and routes can be addressed at the planning application stage. Criterion X: This matter was discussed in full at the Examination hearing. Paragraph 13.8: The proposed change is not considered to be necessary for soundness but if the Inspector were minded to make this change, the Council would not object. Minerals: Policy DM7 in the adopted KMWLP does not exempt proposed allocations in emerging Local Plans, and therefore does not provide an exemption from the need for a minerals assessment for RMX1 (1). The Council has considered relevant local and national policies for mineral safeguarding, in addition to the information set out in the MPA's assessment of minerals (SUB018A) and has reached agreement with the MPA on the insertion of the additional criterion for RMX1 (1) as set out in SUB018, and reiterated in KCC's representations on the Main Modifications consultation. It is important to note that SUB018A concludes that the probability of significant sterilisation arising as a result of the MBLP is low, in the context of the geological extent of the mineral within the borough and the historical lack of quarry industry interest in this part of the formation. Accordingly, it is not considered that the criterion creates uncertainty, but rather applies appropriate policies for minerals safeguarding, as required by the NPPF.</p>
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM33	Support.	Support welcomed
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM33	Object. Three storeys should be the maximum for this site to preserve views from the AONB. The policy should be more stringent with respect to site levelling; alternative wording is put forward. The woodland nature reserve should be larger prevent future infill development.	The content concerning building heights and site levelling are not subject to proposed Main Modifications. The changes to these aspects proposed in the representation are not required for soundness. The Council has proposed a Minor Change to the policies map to illustrate the extent of the woodland nature reserve relative. Enlarging this would impact on the development capacity of the site.
1098849	251	Terrance Butler Holdings	Judith Roy	N/A	Y [TB Holdings under 830804]	MM34	Comment. The proposal to add office uses as a component of mixed use development at this site where retail, housing, transport interchange and station car parking uses are already proposed by the policy. It has to be seriously questioned whether a comprehensive redevelopment of the site to achieve all these uses at the scale proposed in the policy is realistic. the operational requirements of Network Rail, maintenance of rail commuter parking provision and site levels and highway access all present significant challenges and constraints to the achievement of the mix and scale of uses proposed. the likelihood of the delivery of the comprehensive redevelopment should be considered at the first review of the Local Plan to enable alternative land allocations for retail, residential and office development to be considered if necessary.	The appropriate mix of uses for this site was fully considered during the Examination hearings.
1098644	257	M & G Real Estate	Nick Diment	G L Hearn	Y [under 980091]	MM34	Object to the omission of a requirement for a sequential assessment given the site's edge of centre status and to be consistent with other in/edge of centre allocations including RMX1(1)	This issue was discussed in full at the Examination (see ED081). MBC does not consider that the change proposed in this representation is needed for soundness.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM35	Object. The policy should include the retention of short stay parking.	The proposed Main Modification for this site (MM35) does not relate to potential land uses.
970412	87	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM36	OBJECT - not consistent with national policy; policy preamble not sufficiently clear in regard to government guidance on flood risk and development within FZ3a	The change proposed in this representation is not considered necessary for soundness. The supporting text as proposed to be modified makes clear reference to the Framework's requirements which will apply in the determination of a planning application in conjunction with the Local Plan policies.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM36	Comment - (10) should reflect that development may need to "deliver" improvements, in addition to, or instead of, making contributions	The wording of criterion 10 mirrors that used elsewhere in the Plan (Policies RMX1(1) and EMP1(5)). The revised wording proposed is not considered necessary for soundness.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM36	Comment - the remediation of the site to remove contamination was only carried out to a depth of 1meter and so should be taken into consideration with any other use that requires disturbance of the soil. New road from the site to the A228 required if site is used for commercial use.	The sufficiency of land decontamination is a specific test in criterion 8. The necessity for a direct link from this site to A228 is not proven. Criterion 10 requires highways improvements be justified through a Transport Assessment which the Council considers to be a sound approach.
949742	301	Collier Street Parish Council	Alan Crocker	N/A	N	MM36	Object. Flood risk measures to make the Syngenta site potentially suitable for development is in direct conflict to the conclusions of the EA and the Arcadis report. Both concluded there are no solutions to flooding problems in the Medway, Beult and Teise catchment areas. Development of Syngenta would increase the risk of flooding upstream.	The policy wording as proposed to be modified is clear that a comprehensive FRA will be required to an EA-agreed methodology. The EA's involvement will ensure that wider potential impacts will be identified as part of the assessment process.

1098780	210	Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum	Cllr Paul Harper	N/A	N	MM37	OBJECT - Not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Proposal should also include making the proposed riverside footpath a dual use for cyclists and pedestrians from St Peters Bridge via Scotney Gardens and Baltic Wharf to the railway bridge.	The change proposed in this representation is not considered necessary for soundness. The supporting text as proposed to be modified makes clear reference to the Framework's requirements which will apply in the determination of a planning application in conjunction with the Local Plan policies.
1098780	212	Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum	Cllr Paul Harper	N/A	N	MM37	OBJECT - Not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Proposal should also include making the proposed riverside footpath a dual use for cyclists and pedestrians from St Peters Bridge via Scotney Gardens and Baltic Wharf to the railway bridge.	The objective of achieving the cycle/predestrian path as proposed in this representation would involve other sites along the length of the riverside. Placing the requirement on this site alone cannot be justified in the absence of a wider scheme which would demonstrate how an extended link could be achieved. Changing the policy in the way proposed is not considered to be sound.
1098849	251	Terrance Butler Holdings	Judith Roy	N/A	Y [TB Holdings under 830804]	MM37	The policy wording suggested by the represntor at the Local Plan examination reflects the many planning considerations that will influence a redevelopment of the Baltic Wharf site. There has as yet and despite a formal request by BWML, been no explanation given why this amended policy wording is considered unacceptable. The representor proposes alternative wording.	The representor's proposed policy wording is the same as was submitted and discussed in full at the Examination hearings [with the exception that the representor now proposes an increase to the GIA figure in proposed criterion 2 to 7,430sqm]. The wording of the proposed Main Modification reflected the outcome of this extensive hearings discussion . MBC continues not to support the wording put forward by the representor.
1098849	251	Terrance Butler Holdings	Judith Roy	N/A	Y [TB Holdings under 830804]	MM37	i) The policy fails to provide protection for the comprehensive redevelopment of the site, including the Powerhub listed building, with a foodstore. Alternative wording proposed. ii) The policy at RMX1(5)(1) and (2) fails to recognise and reflect that the site is in multiple ownership. These aspects of the policy wording should be deleted. iii) The Policy at RMX1(5)(5)again fails to recognise and reflect that the site is in multiple ownership. This part of the policy is unreasonable and unlawful and should be deleted. iv) The Policy at RMX1(5)(12)(i) and (ii) requires that any redevelopment will include highway improvements to specific junctions in the locality of the site. The specificity of this part of the policy contradicts the preamble that "development will contribute as proven necessary to requisite improvements to the highway network". The policy wording at (i) and (ii) should be deleted.	These matters were discussed at the Examination hearings. Specifying the optimum viable use in the policy is inappropriate as this may change over the timescale of the Plan. The issue of the site's multiple ownership was fully explored during the Examination hearings and the deletions of criteria (1), (2) and (5) as proposed in this representation in not considered to be justified. Criterion (12) is appropriately caveated to refer to a TA; the reference to specific schemes adds clarity and similar wording is used on other site allocation policies such as EMP1(5). The changes proposed in this representation are not supported.
1098644	257	M & G Real Estate	Nick Diment	G L Hearn	Y [under 980091]	MM37	Support the requirement for proposals to satisfy the sequential and impact tests.	Support welcomed.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM37	Support new policy.	Support welcomed
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM37	Comment - (12) should reflect that development may need to "deliver" improvements, in addition to, or instead of, making contributions	The wording of criterion 12 mirrors that used elsewhere in the Plan (Policies RMX1(1) and EMP1(5)). The revised wording proposed is not considered necessary for soundness.
1098643	215	Mr James Fife, London & Cambridge Properties	Laura Wilkinson	D2 Planning	Y (980591)	MM38	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; remain concerned over the mimium requirement for 2000sqm of office floorspace because of viability concerns. Site should not require any office space, or should allow for flexibility.	The contribution which this site should make to office floorspace requirements and viability considerations were discussed in full at the Examination hearings. The representor's addition to the Main Modifciation proposes safeguarding land for office uses in the future. The Council's view is that this wording fails to specify how much land will be safeguarded, for what period and how the phasing of development on this brownfield urban site will be achieved. As a consequence, it is not demonstrably effective. If the Inspector is minded to support such a phased approach, which the Council does not think is necessary for soundness, it is suggested that the wording of EMP1(5) new criterion (Y) would be a better basis for revised policy wording.
1093515	15	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM39	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; Provision here conflicts with other polices to protect the AONB. Insufficient investigation has been carried out as to the possibility of providing this type of development in neighbouring boroughs. The transport links to this site cannot be considered sustainable.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings. .
970412	89	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM39	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Remain in objection to the allocation of this site for employment. Objection also to reliance on this allocation to provide significant office space toward identified need. Employment needs should be reviewed in light of reduced OAN	The Council does not agree that the employment floorspace requirements should be amended in light of the revised housing target and amended assumptions for market churn. The Council's position is more fully explained in its response to representation R80 from the same representor. CPRE Kent considers that there is no immediate need to allocate Woodcut Farm because there is sufficient land to 2026 based on CPRE's own assessment, and there may be over-provision, as set out in its Appendix B. The need to make additional allocations of office floorspace was fully explored during the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings. The representation restates objections to the landscape impact, in particular in respect of the AONB and adverse impact on rural character made to the Regulation 19 Plan. MBC considers that the representation does not raise any new issues with regard to these factors. In respect of air quality impacts, Policy DM5 (as modified) would apply to this site. The Council is also proposing to prepare a specific DPD on this issue (see MM42).

1098263	117	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM39	OBJECT - not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective, or consistent with national policy. Objection to allocation of this site for employment.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
558620	131	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM39	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; objection to allocation is maintained. If site does come forward additional landscaping and separation of buildings is welcomed. Active frontages opposed. Alternate wording suggested.	This representation restates the AONB Unit's in principle objections to the allocation of this site raised both before and during the Examination hearings. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings. The Unit's support for specific aspects of the proposed Main Modifications is welcomed. With respect to the inclusion of glazing on the frontages to M20, this is not a matter which is required for soundness. Impacts will be assessed at the planning application stage. The representors proposed wording is not supported.
979446	165	Mrs Henny Shotter	N/A	N/A	Y	MM39	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy. Allocation is unsustainable and undermines the sequential planning approach. Envisaged Improvements to Junction 8 will be very costly, the damage to this area which is so important for recreation and tourism will be substantial and are in no relation to the benefit from a highly speculative development: The 'key priority' of which is , office space. However the 'viability of office development may be challenging in the shorter term' . As the location is completely unconnected to the rail network, commuting by car will be necessary. Planning which relies predominantly on the use of cars is unsustainable.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
1098804	228	Mrs Sharon Smith	N/A	N/A	Y (590354)	MM39	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Site should be removed from the plan as it is unsustainable with no public transport connections, will impact on the AONB and Leeds Castle.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
1098889	235	Natural England	Rebecca Bishop	N/A	Yes	MM39	Object - Impact on setting of the AONB, conflict with AONB Management Plan, impact on viewpoints, comparable impact to Waterside Park proposal, conflict with SHEDLAA and Landscape Capacity Assessment findings.	The representation does not specifically relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
3366	240	Gallagher Properties	Jonathan Buckwell	DHA Planning	Y	MM39	Object to modifications to paragraph 15.2. There are no suitable options for medium to large scale employers. The emphasis on office provision reduces the amount of employment land available for industrial and warehousing uses. The Waterside Park site should be allocated in the Local Plan.	The justification for the Woodcut Farm allocation and this representor's case for an additional allocation was fully discussed at the Local Plan hearings. The Inspector's Interim Findings and the proposed Main Modifications do not propose an additional allocation and it is considered that this representation does not raise new issues or evidence which would justify an alteration from this position.
3380	241	Boxley Parish Council	Pauline Bowdrey	N/A	N	MM39	Object to warehousing on Woodcut Farm due to adverse impacts on AONB and worsening air pollution on M20.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
3392	247	Felicity Simpson	N/A	N/A	Y	MM39	Objection. remove policy EMP1(4) unsustainable development	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM39	Object to the specification of a minimum floorspace amount. If more appropriate sites were to come forward in the future, the current wording suggests that the sequential approach would have no relevance. This could result in harm to Maidstone town centre. The Barracks may prove a more suitable site. Specific alteration to the policy wording proposed.	The contribution that the Woodcut Farm site should make to office floorspace requirements was fully explored during the Examination process and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings . The modified text is clear that the safeguarding of land for office uses is to ensure that the land is not developed for other uses pending the strengthening of the office market. The proposed change is not supported.
595277	277	Cllr Mike Cuming	N/A	N/A	Y	MM39	Object to the development on this site on the grounds of its remote location, loss of agricultural land, its unsustainability for employees and the impacts on the AONB and Leeds Castle. If it is to remain the Plan, it should be deferred until the review of employment land requirements at 2021.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM39	Object - allocation of the site not justified by evidence of quantitative or qualitative needs. The policy would provide a level of B8 floorspace far in excess of identified needs. Alternative options should be considered for addressing the qualitative gap in employment land supply.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in detail at the Examination hearings. Proposed Main Modification MM39 reflects the outcome of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings and is considered to be sound. The proposal to delete the Woodcut Farm allocation is not supported.

980390	282	CPRE Maidstone	G Thomas	N/A	Y	MM39	Object to the continued inclusion of the site in the Local Plan. Report ED118 shows there is no overall need in the area. The development of this site would be contrary to paragraphs in the NPPF and policies in the adopted and emerging Local Plans. The office jobs would be taken up by people from outside the borough. The additional car and HGV movements would worsen air quality and congestion. The details in MM39 would count for little in practice and wider objectives in the policy criteria will not be achieved. Approval of Woodcut Farm would open up the corridor towards Maidstone for development.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings and the Main Modifications reflect the outcomes of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM39	Object to the inclusion of this policy. There is no proven need for the development which is contrary to SP17. If the policy is to be retained, inclusion should be deferred to 2021 when the outcomes of an employment land review will be known. If the policy is retained, ridge heights should be limited to 12m across the site.	This representation relates in part to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm which is not the subject of the Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation has been fully discussed at the Examination hearings and no sound reasons have been provided to justify why meeting employment needs can be delayed for the Local Plan review. The Main Modifications do not alter the policy with respect to building heights.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM39	Object. MM39 does not adequately address the Local Plan's deficiencies in respect of the quantum and spatial distribution of B class supply.	This representation does not relate to the specific content of Main Modification MM39. The appropriateness of including the Binbury Park site in the Local Plan in addition to the Woodcut Farm site was discussed at the Examination hearings. There was a specific Examination hearing on alternative strategic sites at which the promoters of the Binbury Park proposal appeared and presented their case. It is not considered that this representation provides new evidence or raises new issues.
979995	295	Bredhurst Parish Council	Bex Ratchford	N/A	Y	MM39	Comments that MBC has not maximised M20 J8 for business use. There is a missed opportunity for a business site which is desperately needed. It would help alleviate traffic in the town.	This representation does not relate to a specific Main Modification. The allocation of the Woodcut Farm site and whether the allocation of additional sites was justified was fully discussed during the Examination hearings.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM39	Object. Revisions to Table 4.4 (proposed under MM1 objections) would negate the need for the Woodcut Farm allocation. The site is controversial, performs poorly, and is poorly situated relative to the urban area of Maidstone. This development makes no reference to the much-needed Leeds-Langley bypass.	This representation objects to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm and does not relate to the proposed Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation was discussed in full at the Examination hearings. The Main Modifications reflect the outcome of the hearings and the Inspector's Interim Findings.
934545	306	Cllr Dennis Spooner	N/A	N/A	Yes	MM39	Object - Lack of local support, impact on Leeds Castle, no evidence of need. If retained, it should be for long term, post Local Plan review.	This representation relates in part to the principle of development at Woodcut Farm which is not the subject of the Main Modifications. The Woodcut Farm allocation has been fully discussed at the Examination hearings and no sound reasons have been provided to justify why meeting employment needs can be delayed for the Local Plan review.
843429	308	Roxhill Developments Ltd	David Jarman	Hobbs Parker	Y	MM39	Support. The MM accords with the discussions at and after the Examination hearings.	Support welcomed
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM39	Object - changes proposed following recent planning decision. The policy does not take account of Inspector's unease with the narrow concentration. Need to reflect MBC's diligence with respect to the ongoing Duty to Cooperate	The revised wording proposed would infer uncertainty about the need for and deliverability of this site which is not justified by the evidence which has been extensively tested through the Examination hearings and the Inspector's findings to date. There is no change to the resolved position of the Council that the Local Plan should allocate Woodcut Farm. The proposed amendments are not supported by the Council.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM39	Object - following recent planning decisions, Junction 8 should be dismissed, perhaps subject to First Review	The revised wording proposed would infer uncertainty about the need for and deliverability of this site which is not justified by the evidence which has been extensively tested through the Examination hearings and the Inspector's findings to date. There is no change to the resolved position of the Council that the Local Plan should allocate Woodcut Farm. The proposed amendments are not supported by the Council.
1098263	116	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM40	OBJECT - not justified or effective. PC/129 17.12 Historic Environment paragraph should be reinstated; Elements of DM3 relative to Historic Environment should be reinstated.	Following lengthy discussion in the examination hearings MBC have split the historic policies into strategic (MM12) and non-strategic policies (MM57). The wording has not been removed from the Maidstone Borough Local Plan, but has been moved to the new Policy SP18 The Historic Environment. The Council believes that the deletion of this criterion in MM40 is appropriate.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM40	Support Policy DM3, in particular with respect to Ancient Woodland.	Support welcomed but to note that MM40 does not include changes from the MBLP Regulation 19 with respect to Ancient Woodland.
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM40	Object to the deletion of paragraph 17.14; all development proposals should be accompanied by a heritage survey.	Following lengthy discussion in the examination hearings MBC have split the historic policies into strategic (MM12) and non-strategic policies (MM57). The wording has not been removed from the Maidstone Borough Local Plan, but has been moved to the new Policy DM4 Development affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets. The Council believes that the deletion of this criterion in MM40 is appropriate and reinstating the wording is not needed for soundness.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM40	Comment - at 1 (iv), reference to Biodiversity Action Plan should be replaced with "England Biodiversity List" Object - 6 and 6 (ii) should be revised to align with relevant legislation and NPPF	Criterion 1,ii,b) is not subject to modification and therefore the policy criterion is justified and does not require further modification. The Council believes criterion 6 to be justified and not to require further modification for soundness.

934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM40	Comment. The policy mentions Biodiversity Opportunity Areas but these areas have not been defined.	The Biodiversity Opportunity Areas are defined by Kent County Council. The Council considers the proposed modifications to Policy DM3 to be justified and no further modifications are required.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM40	Object to the deletion of paragraph 17.14. All proposed developments should include a survey to establish on-site heritage assets.	Following lengthy discussion in the examination hearings MBC have split the historic policies into strategic (MM12) and non-strategic policies (MM57). The wording has not been removed from the Maidstone Borough Local Plan, but has been moved to the new Policy DM4 Development affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets. The Council believes that the deletion of this criterion in MM40 is appropriate and reinstating the wording is not needed for soundness.
1093515	16	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM41	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
1098263	115	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	MM41	SUPPORT - support the changes to policy	Support welcomed
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM41	Support.	Support welcomed
590364	268	Staplehurst Parish Council	Mick Westwood	N/A	Y	MM41	Support the removal of residential gardens from the definition of brownfield land.	Support welcomed
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM41	Comment - Final two bullets should consider the past and present use of the site alongside the range of suitable travel options available, to ensure the approach does not focus purely on travel distance.	The criteria provided in the main modification are illustrative and therefore not exhaustive. It is considered that the proposed change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM41	Comment. Do not consider the language used to be appropriate. The proposed new supporting text needs amending to ensure that, as appropriate, all modes of transport and all type of travellers are included in any Transport Assessment or Transport Statement. for example, TA/TS need to cover, as appropriate, all vehicles not just cars and all forms of travelling eg pedestrians, cyclists, equestrians etc. where works affects the Strategic Road Network, highways England may require Non-Motorised User Assessments and Road Safety Audits in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges. We would look to the Council/Inspector to propose more appropriate supporting text to cover this matter.	The criteria provided in the main modification are illustrative and therefore not exhaustive. It is considered that the proposed change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
970412	90	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM42	OBJECT - not justified, effective or consistent with national policy. An important aspect of the government's approach to air quality is that areas which currently have air quality problems, such as Maidstone Borough, should undertake such action as to ensure that they meet the required NO2 levels in the shortest possible time. The policy in i, ii, iii and iv refers to 'acceptable levels'. It is not clear what this means. Given that the legal limits are set by government it would be helpful to refer to the government's set legal limits	The Council recognises the importance of addressing the long standing air quality issues in Maidstone and is developing the Low Emissions Strategy and updated AQAP to address legislative requirements. Planning too has a role to play and the DM6 sets the policy basis for the assessment and mitigation of impacts. The Council considers that the proposed changes are not necessary for soundness as "acceptable" levels are already established by legislation. The Council has further committed to preparing an Air Quality DPD which can take account of, and seek to deliver, emerging national and local strategies for air quality.
1098889	235	Natural England	Rebecca Bishop	N/A	Yes	MM42	Comment - The policy and text should recognise that poor air quality can damage protected and important habitats	It is not considered that the proposed change is necessary for soundness.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM42	Support. The delivery of improvements is needed urgently.	Support noted.
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM42	Object. All developments close to an AQMA must submit an air quality impact statement with mitigation specific to the local impacts secured by legal agreement. Cumulative impacts must be assessed at outline application stage.	The Council agrees that air quality impacts should be assessed and mitigated, however Policy DM6 provides for a proportionate approach to these requirements, based on the location, scale and nature of the proposal, and the significance of the impact. It is not considered that the proposed changes are necessary for soundness.
1021432	273	Loose Parish Council	Liz McLaren	N/A	Yes	MM42	Comment - how does the Local Plan take account of and control air pollution from vehicles?	Taken together, Policies SP23, DM21 and DM6 provide the policy basis for appropriate air quality mitigation to be secured through the planning system. The emerging Low Emissions Strategy and AQAP will look more holistically at this issue, and this can be taken into account and developed as the Council produces its Air Quality DPD.
659146	279	Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	Ian Bailey	N/A	Y	MM42	Support.	Support noted.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM42	Comment. Paragraph 17.42 should state the date when the DPD will be adopted.	The development of the DPD must take account of emerging national and local strategies for air quality, and it is critical that its timing provides for this. The Council will be publishing an updated Local Development Scheme later this year, and the LDS will set out the timetable for the DPD's adoption. It is not considered appropriate at this stage to include a timetable within the MBLP.

949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM42	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes. Actions proposed in the policy should be mandated to a timescale	The Council recognises the importance of addressing the long standing air quality issues in Maidstone and is developing the Low Emissions Strategy and updated AQAP to address legislative requirements. It is not considered that the proposed changes are necessary for soundness.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM42	Object. Air quality in air receptors 59,58,81 and 53 will be negatively impacted by the scale of development in south-east Maidstone, and by the volume of traffic from Headcorn and Staplehurst.	Taken together, Policies SP23, DM21 and DM6 provide the policy basis for appropriate air quality mitigation to be secured through the planning system. The emerging Low Emissions Strategy and AQAP will look more holistically at this issue, and this can be taken into account and developed as the Council produces its Air Quality DPD.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM42	Object. All development close to an AQMA should submit a AQIA including mitigation ,irrespective of size. Impacts from high density schemes must be considered at outline stage.	The Council agrees that air quality impacts should be assessed and mitigated, however Policy DM6 provides for a proportionate approach to these requirements, based on the location, scale and nature of the proposal, and the significance of the impact. It is not considered that the proposed changes are necessary for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM42	Object - proposed wording changes. Actions proposed in the policy should be mandated to a timescale	The Council recognises the importance of addressing the long standing air quality issues in Maidstone and is developing the Low Emissions Strategy and updated AQAP to address legislative requirements. It is not considered that the proposed changes are necessary for soundness.
1093515	17	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM43	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM43	Comment. Do not consider the language used to be appropriate. Any proposals, as appropriate, should assess the impact on "all roads in the vicinity" not just the "local road network" that could be taken to not include the strategic road network. We would look to the Council/Inspector to proposed more appropriate supporting text to cover this matter.	The Council considers the proposed modification in MM43 to be justified and not require further modification for soundness.
558620	133	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM44	SUPPORT - The AONB Unit welcome the proposed modification to include specific reference to intrinsically dark landscapes.	Support welcomed
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM44	Comment - 2 should be amended to delete reference to County Wildlife Sites	The Council considers the proposed modification outlined in MM44 to be justified and does not require further modification for soundness.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM45	Support.	Support welcomed
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM45	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes to recognise and give effect to the status of Neighbourhood Plans	The Council note the suggestion of additional modifications but such changes are not required for soundness.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM45	Support. The mention of the role of neighbourhood plans is welcomed and should be added to the policy wording to ensure the policy intention is carried through.	Support welcomed. The Council note the suggestion of additional policy wording to other policies to reflect neighbourhood plans but such changes are not required for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM45	Object - proposed wording changes to recognise and give effect to the status of Neighbourhood Plans	The Council note the suggestion of additional modifications but such changes are not required for soundness.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM46	Object. Policy DM12 is inflexible and does not take account of local character.	The Council considers MM46 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Policy DM12 was reviewed during the Local Plan examination and refers to not compromising the distinctive character of the area in which it is situated.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM46	Support.	Support welcomed.
558620	134	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM47	OBJECT - MM is not positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The AONB objects to the proposed increase in threshold for the requirement of affordable housing on sites in the Kent Downs AONB and considers that this should be maintained at 5 units. Affordable housing to sustain local AONB communities is needed and should be secured in rural areas and in particular on developments within AONBs	The main modification reflects government changes to approach as well as national guidance.The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
109880	264	Redrow Homes	Roland Brass	G L Hearn	Y [under 984344]	MM47	Object. In respect of the tenure split, a more flexible approach should be applied in response to local needs.	The main modification does not seek to change tenure split.The main modification reflects government changes to approach as well as national guidance. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
842853	304	DHA Planning	Chris Hawkins	N/A	Y	MM47	Object to the specified tenure split. There should be greater flexibility.	The main modification does not seek to change tenure split.The main modification reflects government changes to approach as well as national guidance. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM47	Object. When combined with the scale of rural development envisaged, the affordable housing policy does not meet the definition of sustainability set out in the NPPF. The policy is also contrary to NPPF policy on neighbourhood plans.	The main modification reflects government changes to approach as well as national guidance.The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
558620	135	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM48	SUPPORT - The AONB Unit supports the proposed modification to criterion 6	Support welcomed

558620	136	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM49	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The AONB Unit objects to the removal of specific reference to the AONB but supports the proposed included reference to existing caravans needing to be lawful. Caravans and mobile homes are generally considered inappropriate development within the AONB as the nature of this development usually fails to enable this stringent requirement to be met. Therefore consider the removal of reference to the AONB to be a retrograde step and one that doesn't reflect the hierarchy of protection outlined at para 113 of the NPPF.	The Council note that MM11 proposes modifications to SP17 that include additional criterion to protect and enhance the Kent Downs AONB, as the plan should be read as a whole, further modifications to MM49 and reinstating the policy wording is not required. The Council believes MM49 to be legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM49	Comment. Consideration should be given to maximum dimensions for utility/day rooms.	The Council believes that the proposed change to include dimensions for utility/day room buildings is not required for soundness.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM49	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes to ensure greater vigour when considering potential sites and recognise reality of unlawful sites	The Council considers the proposed modification in MM49 to be justified and not require further modification for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM49	Object - proposed wording changes to ensure greater vigour when considering potential sites and recognise reality of unlawful sites	The Council considers the proposed modification in MM49 to be justified and not require further modification for soundness.
224121	265	Marden Parish Council	Alison Hooker	N/A	Y	MM51	Object. The proposed change does not take account of employment areas EMP1(4) and EMP1(3).	This representation is considered to wholly relate to Minor Changes and not to the proposed Main Modifications.
1097959	182	Luckhurst	N/A	N/A	N	MM52	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. Paragraph 1 – the development proposals must demonstrate that the impact of trips generated to and from the development are accommodated, remedied or mitigated to prevent severe residual impacts. The whole of Church Road is a narrow, country lane, and it already experiences traffic problems with vehicles needing to pass each other. Additionally, when the parish church is being used, it can be almost impossible for vehicles to pass by those parked on the road outside the church. With no requirement for the developers to provide dedicated church car parking, the proposed development will only make matters worse. Site H1(8) does not have a policy requirement to remedy this as Church Road is wholly unsuitable as the only means of access for such a large scale development.	Policies SP23, DM21 and other policies in the MBLP provide the policy basis for appropriate transport infrastructure improvements to be secured through the planning system.
1098780	218	Maidstone Cycle Campaign Forum	Cllr Paul Harper	N/A	N	MM52	OBJECT - not effective - Policy DM21 paragraph 17.152, 5th line replace "may be required" by "will be required"	It is not considered that the proposed change is appropriate or necessary for soundness.
980225	233	Mr Nicholas Staddon	N/A	N/A	N	MM52	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, effective, justified or consistent with national policy. There is not sufficient mitigation in place Insufficient local school places, infrastructure and supporting network	Policies SP23, DM21 and other policies in the MBLP provide the policy basis for appropriate transport infrastructure improvements to be secured through the planning system. The Council's infrastructure evidence base is reflected in the IDP and the MBLP, where appropriate, to ensure that key infrastructure requirements are delivered in a timely manner to support planned growth.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM52	Support.	Support noted.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM52	Support - support revised policy	Support noted.
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM52	Comment. Do not consider the language used to be appropriate. a) with regards Transport assessments/Statements, it should not only refer to the need to take account of Kent County Highways guidance but also, as appropriate, Highways England guidance b) It should reflect the Highways England/Maidstone Borough Council Statement of Common Ground with regards reference to any strategic road network mitigation being delivered via S278 (highways Act 1980) agreements, rather than via CIL or S106 agreements. We would look to the Council/Inspector to proposed more appropriate supporting text to cover these matters.	It is not considered that the proposed change (a) is necessary for soundness. However the Council would be content for an appropriate reference to Highways England guidance to be included but leave this to the Inspector's discretion. Being the infrastructure delivery policy, Policy ID1 reflects the position on the funding of improvements to the SRN through S278 agreements. This approach was agreed in the Statement of Common Ground SUB021. It is not considered appropriate or necessary for soundness to duplicate this within DM21.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM52	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes as MBC needs to have more power to restrain development when transport infrastructure is, or will be stressed.	It is not considered that the proposed changes are consistent with national policy or necessary for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM52	Object - proposed wording changes as MBC needs to have more power to restrain development when transport infrastructure is, or will be stressed.	It is not considered that the proposed changes are consistent with national policy or necessary for soundness.

558620	137	Kent Downs AONB Unit	Mrs Katie Miller	N/A	Y	MM54	OBJECT - MM is not legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective or consistent with national policy; The AONB Unit objects to the removal of specific reference to the AONB. Large scale renewable energy proposals such as wind turbines and photovoltaic arrays will rarely be acceptable within the AONB landscape as they would fail to conserve and enhance the landscape and not meet the stringent requirements set out in para 116 of the NPPF. Consider the removal of reference to the AONB to be a retrograde step and one that doesn't adequately reflect the hierarchy of protection outlined at para 113 of the NPPF.	The Council note that MM11 proposes modifications to SP17 that include additional criterion to protect and enhance the Kent Downs AONB, as the plan should be read as a whole, further modifications to MM54 and reinstating the policy wording is not required. The Council believes MM54 to be legally compliant, positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM55	Support. Advises that the Kent Downs AONB Management Plan be dated for clarity: 2014 - 2019	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM55	Comment - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: proposed wording changes	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM55	Comment - proposed wording changes	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
3366	240	Gallagher Properties	Jonathan Buckwell	DHA Planning	Y	MM56	Object. This modification further demonstrates that there is a need to identify sufficient land to meet employment needs.	It is not accepted that this Modification demonstrates that additional employment land allocations are required. The case for additional allocations was made at the Examination hearings but did not result in Main Modifications being made.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM56	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: no limit on scale is provided. Proposed wording changes	The wording of this policy was discussed at the Examination hearing and the deletion of original criteria (1) and (2) was a specific outcome of that discussion. The reinstatement of these criteria is not required for soundness.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM56	Object - no limit on scale is provided. Proposed wording changes	The wording of this policy was discussed at the Examination hearing and the deletion of original criteria (1) and (2) was a specific outcome of that discussion. The reinstatement of these criteria is not required for soundness.
1093515	18	Valley Conservation Society	Mr Alan Smith	N/A	Y (931978)	MM57	SUPPORT - Agreement to MM - meets tests of soundness	Support welcomed
588206	270	Angela Poletti	N/A	N/A	N	MM57	Object to criterion Policy DM4(3); a field evaluation should always be required where heritage assets are known or are potentially present.	The Council considers the proposed modification as set out in MM57 to be justified and does not require further modification for soundness.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM57	Support - support general principle of policy. Comment - KCC would welcome the opportunity to engage with the Council to develop an advice note for Heritage Assessments Comment - 5, should reference the need for recording to be undertaken by a suitably qualified individual	Support welcomed and note the suggestion of working with KCC to develop an advice note for Heritage Assessments. The Council considers the proposed modification as set out in MM57 to be justified and accords with paragraphs 141 and 169. Therefore MM57 does not require further modification for soundness.
847687	312	New Allington Action Group (NAAG)	Babara Woodward	N/A	Y	MM57	Object. All development must include a heritage assessment and a desk-based assessment should not be appropriate. Where there are known assets, a field evaluation should be provided. St Lawrence's Chapel is a relevant case.	The Council considers the proposed modification as set out in MM57 to be justified and does not require further modification for soundness.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM58	Support the additional criteria to Policy ID1(2)	Support noted.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM58	Object. An additional reference should state that off site infrastructure/in lieu contributions should relate to facilities close to the development to mitigate the demand generated.	Through the application of the CIL Regulation 122 tests, mitigation or improvements secured through a section 106 agreement must be directly related to development, proportionate and necessary to make development acceptable in planning terms. The proposed change is therefore not considered necessary for soundness.
934615	305	Headcorn Parish Council	Caroline Carmichael	N/A	Y	MM58	Object. The prioritisation of infrastructure is inflexible, and does not recognise the important role that neighbourhood plans can play in identifying the right local infrastructure.	The Council set out its position on the purpose of ID1 (4) and its strategic nature at the examination hearings. It is considered entirely appropriate that this list should be included within the strategic infrastructure delivery policy within the MBLP.
970412	91	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - not positively prepared, justified or effective. IM5 and IM6 should be based on planning permissions rather than completions.	The Council considers the monitoring indicators M5 and M6 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The granting of planning permission does not guarantee that development will come forward.
970412	92	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - Not positively prepared, or justified. IM8 should be amended to reflect trends over a longer period of 5 years as opposed 3 years.	The Council considers the monitoring indicator M8 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. IM8 proposes review of completions over between a 3 to 5 year period an adequate period of time to identify a trend in completions of office to residential conversions. This a medium not long term broad location.
970412	93	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - not positively prepared, effective or justified. IM11 and IM12 targets should be based on permission rather than completions.	The Council considers the monitoring indicators M11 and M12 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The granting of planning permission does not guarantee that development will come forward.
970412	95	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - not justified, effective or consistent with national policy. IM41 trigger does not seek air quality levels that meet national standards and does not therefore meet government standards.	The Council considers the monitoring indicator M41 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The monitoring indicator states "progress in achieving compliance with EU directive/national regulatory requirements"

970412	97	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - not positively prepared, justified or effective. IM48 should be amended to reflect a need to review both the ITS and Local Plan should sustainable transport measures not be delivered.	The Council considers the monitoring indicator M48 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Issues considered needing review will be covered as part of the Local Plan 2021 review.
970412	98	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - IM49 is not justified or effective. The Council as local planning authority will be responsible for ensuring that where appropriate planning applications are accompanied with a Travel Plan. As the Council validates planning applications it is hard to understand why planning applications determined by the Borough Council would not be accompanied by a Travel Plan.	The Council considers the monitoring indicator M49 to be positive, adequate and justified. Not every type of planning application has an impact on travel times.
3574	245	North Loose Residents Association/ Neighbourhood Planning Forum	Jacqueline Day	N/A	Y [under 979996]	MM59	Comment. Re Performance Target M46, CIL should be introduced as early as possible.	The Council consider the target for M46 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. It is aimed to introduce the CIL by the Autumn 2017, following the CIL consultation and examination.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM59	Object to Monitoring Indicator M50. A reference to train fares should be added.	Council considers the proposed monitoring indicator M50 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Local journeys to the town are by car or bus rather than by train.
3801	256	J D I Baker	N/A	N/A	Y	MM59	Support Monitoring Indicator M34. This is consistent with NPPF paragraph 112.	Support welcomed.
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM59	Comment. Do not consider the language used to be appropriate. A) It should reflect the Highways England/Maidstone Borough Council Statement of Common Ground with regards reference to any strategic road network mitigation being delivered via S278 (highways Act 1980) agreements, rather than via CIL or S106 agreements. b) M43-M50 Additional criteria should be added such as to test whether the mitigation has achieved the required outcomes, and if not what action will be taken. We would look to the Council/Inspector to proposed more appropriate supporting text to cover these matters.	The Council considers the monitoring indicators proposed to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. Monitoring indicators in relation to transport matters were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
687314	289	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	MM59	Object. Add a requirement at paragraph 21.5 that an interim report will be produced if a specific target is not being met.	The Council considers the actions for monitoring indicators not being met, to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The review of the Local Plan progress will be completed by 2021.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: changes to wording proposed on multiple monitoring indicators and policy wording.	The Council considers MM59 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The monitoring indicators were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM59	Object - changes to wording proposed on multiple monitoring indicators and policy wording.	The Council considers MM59 to be positively prepared, adequate and justified. The monitoring indicators were reviewed as part of the Local Plan examination.
970412	99	CPRE Kent	Mr Paul Buckley	N/A	Y	MM60	OBJECT - not positively prepared, justified or effective. The fourth sentence of proposed paragraph 21.28 reads: 'An updated understanding of employment land needs may also be merited, in particular the need for new office floorspace, and additional allocations could be required as a result.' The last part of the sentence presumes the outcome of the assessment and should be deleted.	It is considered that the proposed wording change is not necessary for soundness. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1098860	248	Gleeson Developments Ltd	Emma Wretahall	Barton Willmore	Yes	MM60	Object - The need for an immediate review of the Local Plan demonstrates it is unsound.	It is appropriate for a review to take place by April 2021 - this is to keep the plan up-to-date, rather than because it is unsound. The Housing White Paper supports this approach. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1098847	252	Medway Council	Tim Stephens	N/A	Y [Tom Gibert under 980700]	MM60	Support.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
3799	255	Peter Court for B & D Russell	Peter Court	Peter Court Associates	Y [as 3799(9)]	MM60	Object. There is uncertainty over whether the Council intends to deliver a new plan by April 2021. The Council should specify the plan period for the Local Plan Review is to 2036 and that the latest Government forecasts will be the starting point for assessing housing requirements.	It is appropriate to have a degree of flexibility with regard to the key matters referred to in the Local Plan Review Policy LPR1, rather than constraining the review before it has begun. This includes the plan period for the review. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
104211	262	Tunbridge Wells Borough Council	Kelvin Hinton [previously listed under Planning Policy]	N/A	Y	MM60	Comment. Tunbridge Wells BC welcomes the opportunity to continue to engage with MBC as part of the duty to cooperate. The review of the local plan, to be adopted by April 2021, is noted. The Tunbridge Wells Local Plan adoption target is spring 2019.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
249855	266	House Builders Federation	James Stevens	N/A	Y	MM60	Support the wording relating to the Review.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
983994	274	Wates Developments Ltd	Emma Wretahall	Barton Willmore	Yes	MM60	Object - The need for an immediate review of the Local Plan demonstrates it is unsound.	It is appropriate for a review to take place by the April 2021 target date - this is to keep the plan up-to-date, rather than because it is unsound. The Housing White Paper supports this approach. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.

980698	276	Bovis Homes	Jonathan Lieberman	Boyer Planning	Y	MM60	Object (in part). Support for the commitment to adopt a Local Plan Review by April 2021 but object to criterion (c) as the delivery of suitable sites should not be held back until the end of the Plan period. Alternative wording is put forward.	The Council believes that it has identified sufficient land for housing until the end of the plan period and this was tested at Independent Examination. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
980557	278	Kent County Council	Barbara Cooper	N/A	Yes	MM60	Object - the scope and timetable of the review should be clearly set out in the policy, and is therefore unsound. The policy should make clear that strategic transport mitigation should form part of the review process.	Policy LPR1 provides clarity by setting out the key matters that may be considered in the Local Plan Review and leads directly from the Inspector's recommendations. It is also appropriate to have a degree of flexibility with regard to the matters to be included in the Local Plan Review, rather than constraining the review before it has begun. The steps required to achieve adoption are set out by the government. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
668511	280	Highways England	Kevin Bown	N/A	Y	MM60	Comment. Do not consider the language used to be appropriate. The text should be clearer with regards the Council's commitment to ensure that a robust, relevant, up-to-date, timely and agreed evidence base will be produced to support any Local Plan Review. We would look to the Council/Inspector to propose more appropriate supporting text to cover this matter.	The Main Modification states a clear target date for adoption of the Local Plan review of April 2021. It also sets out key matters that will be addressed. It is implicit that the date will be missed if the Local Plan Review (along with supporting evidence) is unable to pass Independent Examination. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
683264	283	Rydon Homes	Elliot Newlyn	N/A	Y	MM60	Support in general for MM60 which is legally compliant and meets the tests of soundness. The evidence gathering for the Local Plan Review needs to commence once the Plan is adopted to enable adoption of the Review by April 2021. A new call for sites and SHMA is required as is a review of the performance of Invicta Barracks and Lenham Broad Locations to determine if alternative sites will be required such as the respondent's site at Albion Road, Marden.	Comment noted regarding overall support, as well as comments on evidence, timing and site matters for the review itself. No change has been proposed. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
980372	284	Helen Grant MP	N/A	N/A	Y	MM60	Comments that the Plan should be more strongly indicating towards a bypass/link road to reduce congestion from the south of Maidstone	The matter was discussed in the Local Plan Independent Examination Hearings. MM60 sets out the key matters that may be considered in the Local Plan Review and leads directly from the Inspector's recommendations. This includes consideration of the Leeds-Langley relief road (criterion 'e' of LPR1) as well as alternatives. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	MM60	Object. Relying on a future review could result in an ineffective development plan and defers important decisions.	It is appropriate for a review to take place by April 2021 - this is to keep the plan up-to-date, rather than because it is unsound. The Housing White Paper supports this approach. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
714703	294	Eco Build Partnership UK	Mr Keith Cook	N/A	Y	MM60	Support. Development at Broomfield Park could enable the delivery of the Leeds/Langley Relief Road.	Comment noted. No change has been proposed.
949750	299	Yalding Parish Council	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM60	Object - Agree to Coordinating Team Comments: to avoid slippage the First Review must be tied down. The Duty to Cooperate needs visibility and commitment. Wording changes proposed.	It is appropriate for the word "target" to be included as there may be externalities that mean adoption may not occur in April 2021. Adoption could be before or after this specific month. A number of changes are proposed that generally refer to detail within processes and/or detail within key matters. It is not considered that these details add value to the policy LPR1 or its supporting text. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
934473	307	Gladman	Mat Evans	N/A	Yes	MM60	Object - The words "target" and "may" should be deleted to tighten the wording and the requirements on the Council therein.	It is appropriate for the word "target" to be included as there may be externalities that mean adoption may not occur in April 2021. Adoption could be before or after this specific month. Policy LPR1 provides clarity by setting out the key matters that may be considered in the Local Plan Review and leads directly from the Inspector's recommendations. It is also appropriate to have a degree of flexibility with regard to the matters to be included in the Local Plan Review, rather than constraining the review before it has begun. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
849991	314	Kent Association of Local Councils (Maidstone) for The Coordinating Team	Geraldine Brown	N/A	Y	MM60	Object - to avoid slippage the First Review must be tied down. The Duty to Cooperate needs visibility and commitment. Wording changes proposed.	It is appropriate for the word "target" to be included as there may be externalities that mean adoption may not occur in April 2021. Adoption could be before or after this specific month. A number of changes are proposed that generally refer to detail within processes and/or detail within key matters. It is not considered that these details add value to the policy LPR1 or its supporting text. The main modification is therefore considered appropriate.
1074902	2	Medway Valley Conservation Society	Mr Mark Pritchard	N/A	N	SA	No comments provided	Noted.
1095769	24	Mrs Lisa Brooks	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - this states that there will be no significant effect upon air quality and congestion in Yalding. This is totally incorrect.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096087	27	Dr Andrew Thurston	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - this states that there will be no significant effect upon air quality and congestion in Yalding. This is totally incorrect. Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096150	29	Mrs Pippa Grantham	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - this states that there will be no significant effect upon air quality and congestion in Yalding. This is totally incorrect. Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

1096150	31	Mrs Pippa Grantham	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - this states that there will be no significant effect upon air quality and congestion in Yalding. This is totally incorrect. Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096151	32	Mr Ian Williams	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. Paragraph 4.12.4 (in the section 'Congestion, pollution and air quality') reads: MM10 is unlikely to have significant effects upon air quality and congestion, as these are not acute issues for Yalding and the scale of growth involved is low. Furthermore, MM36 supports the regeneration of the [Syngenta] site [for limited, non-housing uses], which could lead to growth at this location in the longer term anyway. These are acute issues for Yalding, and MM10 will make them significantly worse because it eliminates all of the Local Plan's provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096232	34	Mr Colin Judd	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. These are acute issues for Yalding, and MM10 will make them significantly worse because it eliminates all the Local Plan's provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving the Vicarage Road & Blunden Lane Woods sites, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096790	37	Mr Ian Simmons	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village as well as infrastructure provision.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096790	38	Mr Ian Simmons	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village as well as infrastructure provision.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096888	40	Miss Nicola Cod (?)	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - Major concerns regarding traffic in centre of village as well as infrastructure provision and air quality.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097013	48	Mr Keith Palmer	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse ,	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097028	50	Mr Richard Bolsin	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse , MM10 also lessens the likelihood of better train service on the Medway Valley Line which development at Syngenta would have encouraged and which would have had the potential to alleviate congestion and pollution in Yalding through modal shift from car to train.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097769	56	Mr Nicholas Thomson	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse ,	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

1097914	61	Mrs Alison Creswell	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 There are regular and ever increasing queues on Yalding Bridge, which takes one way traffic. At rush hours, ie 7-9am and 4-6.20pm, traffic on and approaching the bridge from either side is regularly at a standstill, and can back up right through the village and out of it on Yalding Hill and Lees Road as far as the de-restriction signs. The resulting exhaust emissions cause a hazard for residents and those who wish to cross the bridge via its narrow pavement. Increasing traffic also causes danger to residents and part of the bridge have been demolished many times in recent weeks by over large and over polluting vehicles. Children have to cross the bridge in either direction at rush hour to reach the school and the playgroup.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097935	64	Mrs Susie Welland	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse , sewerage system is at capacity.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097951	66	Mrs Marguerite Bolsin	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097948	68	Mr Mark Welland	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 and paragraph 4.12.4. Any development needs to be sustainable. By the modifications in MM10, development within the village isn't sustainable and wouldn't make any improvements for the residents, natural area or from an economic point of view for the local people;	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097958	71	Dr Natalie Ryan	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1097978	73	Mrs Bethan Godden	N/A	N/A	N (husband Nick Godden = Y 980352)	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 The removal of the infrastructure and transport improvements associated with the Sygenta site leaves the proposed residents of Vicarage Road site with no realistic option other than to resort to private transport. The associated increase in traffic would feed the already congested area of the High Street and Town bridge, subjecting the residents to increased levels of air and noise pollution.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096901	75	Mrs Susan Jeffrey	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 The removal of the infrastructure and transport improvements associated with the Sygenta site leaves the proposed residents of Vicarage Road site with no realistic option other than to resort to private transport. The associated increase in traffic would feed the already congested area of the High Street and Town bridge, subjecting the residents to increased levels of air and noise pollution.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098093	96	Mr Tom Harding	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4". acute congestion for several hours a day, during peak travel times, due to the medieval Town Bridge and the other overburdened and inadequate highways infrastructure. This congestion is in fact the source of severe air pollution throughout the centre of the village. The Bridge frequently becomes impassable at peak times, when vehicles wedge themselves onto the Bridge from both directions, so that no vehicles can move in any direction	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

1098179	103	Mr Chris Allwood	N/A	N/A	Y (980733)	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4". In fact Yalding suffers from very bad congestion and the resultant pollution. This plan would make it substantially worse as the grid lock caused by the Vicarage Road development will essentially turn yalding into a car park during peak times. The Town Bridge and Vicarage road itself are already unable to come with the traffic levels at rush hour, this would make it much worse.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098179	105	Mr Chris Allwood	N/A	N/A	Y (980733)	SA	COMMENT - This plan will substantially increase pollution and congestion. The planned development of the vicarage road site will make it much worse. We have to use the narrow footway on the Bridge to get from one side of the village to the other, wading through the cloud of pollution produced by all of these vehicles – including, especially, school and pre-school children (the village's primary school and pre-school are located on opposite sides of the bridge) and elderly residents, the groups that are at greatest risk from the constant exposure to air pollution	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098305	125	Mrs Wendy Thurston	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. These are acute issues for Yalding, and MM10 will make them significantly worse because it eliminates all the Local Plan's provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving the Vicarage Road & Blunden Lane Woods sites, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098421	127	Mr Christopher Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. These are acute issues for Yalding, and MM10 will make them significantly worse because it eliminates all the Local Plan's provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving the Vicarage Road & Blunden Lane Woods sites, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098445	141	Mr George Thomas Pattison	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. notes the quality of air to be of a poor standard. Concerns regarding impacts of traffic especially lorries	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098456	146	Mrs Lisa Fossey	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. MM10 will make congestion, pollution and air quality significantly worse as Yalding because it eliminates all of the Local Plans provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects on air quality and pollution.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
835237	148	Mr Neil Jones	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4. MM10 will make congestion, pollution and air quality significantly worse as Yalding because it eliminates all of the Local Plans provisions for improvements to highway and sustainable transport infrastructure at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects on air quality and pollution.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
840581	149	Mrs Joanna Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4, in respect to congestion, pollution and air quality. Yalding already suffers from gridlock around the Town Bridge (Yalding High St to Benover Road) and MM10 eliminates many if not all of the Local Plan's provisions for improvements to the highways and sustainable transport infrastructure in the village. Any housing to the North of the town bridge, would add to the congestion / poor air quality at peak times, because to utilise either the Medway Valley Rail line or even a bus to Maidstone, traffic has to flow over this busy bridge. It is unlikely that without a significant development at Syngenta, that there would be any service improvements on the Medway Valley Line. No development in the Southwest of Maidstone Borough should be considered without fixing the infrastructure issues in Yalding - because even with no development in and around Yalding, hundreds of cars pass through (very slowly) many times a day.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

842974	152	Susannah Jones	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. The cumulative effect of current developments has already increased traffic through the village considerably to the point where the single lane bridge cannot cope. Morning rush hour and evening times see traffic queuing through the village causing considerable pollution as a by product and further developments within the village will add to this problem.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098464	158	Miss Karen Fillingham	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. The cumulative effect of current developments has already increased traffic through the village considerably to the point where the single lane bridge cannot cope. Morning rush hour and evening times see traffic queuing through the village causing considerable pollution as a by product and further developments within the village will add to this problem.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098658	169	Mrs Amanda Bray	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse , MM10 also lessens the likelihood of better train service on the Medway Valley Line which development at Syngenta would have encouraged and which would have had the potential to alleviate congestion and pollution in Yalding through modal shift from car to train.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098660	171	Mr Anthony Bray	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. The cumulative effect of current developments has already increased traffic through the village considerably to the point where the single lane bridge cannot cope. Morning rush hour and evening times see traffic queuing through the village causing considerable pollution as a by product and further developments within the village will add to this problem.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098668	177	Mr Bernard Latter	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. The cumulative effect of current developments has already increased traffic through the village considerably to the point where the single lane bridge cannot cope. Morning rush hour and evening times see traffic queuing through the village causing considerable pollution as a by product and further developments within the village will add to this problem.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

1098669	179	Miss Elaine Andrews	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098312	186	Mr Seb Fossey	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. local plan cannot be sustainable approved; doing so will be a contravention of the requirements described in the NPPF	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098671	187	Mr Neil Jackson	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - Page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 - this states that there will be no significant effect upon air quality and congestion in Yalding. This is totally incorrect.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098672	189	Miss Yelly De Vries	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4 Because of our beautiful bridge in Yalding we have congestion several times a day. The air quality and safety to our children get compromised all the time. Without improvement to the infrastructure i.e. Train and bus services, foot and cycle paths and pedestrian crossings which were promised to the Syngenta development. Glebe development should not proceed.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098674	190	Mr Robert O'Connor	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098676	193	Mr Stephen Day	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20, paragraph 4.12.4 Congestion at peak times is an acute issue for Yalding. Idling traffic is a serious source of pollution. The footway across Yalding Town bridge is dangerous and unavoidable for all age groups. The proposed glebe land site is on the wrong side of town in transport terms - contrary to the "lager village" plan based on the Syngenta site development It is regressive in terms of sustainable transport using the Medway Valley railway line, which the Syngenta site would use.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1095535	194	Dr Robin Schuldenfrei	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098679	196	Mr Tim Chapman	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings

1098457	198	Miss Molly Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098662	200	Mr Felix Haigh	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Every extra house, which may then cause even one or two car journeys via the current roads around Yalding, cannot be built without addressing the transport issues.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1096900	206	Mr Kevin Jeffrey	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Every extra house, which may then cause even one or two car journeys via the current roads around Yalding, cannot be built without addressing the transport issues.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098778	217	Mrs Therese Palmer	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Every extra house, which may then cause even one or two car journeys via the current roads around Yalding, cannot be built without addressing the transport issues.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1095536	221	Dr John Ackerman	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Yalding already has considerable issues around congestion and air quality, and these will be made worse by eliminating the Local Plans provisions for sustainable transport at Yalding, leaving only the Vicarage Road site, which would have significant effects upon air quality and congestion. The cumulative effect of current developments has already increased traffic through the village considerably to the point where the single lane bridge cannot cope. Morning rush hour and evening times see traffic queuing through the village causing considerable pollution as a by product and further developments within the village will add to this problem.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098779	222	Miss Tandine Rawkins	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 paragraph 4.12.4. Modification MM10 will have significant impact on air quality and congestion, and these are acute issues for Yalding. Every extra house, which may then cause even one or two car journeys via the current roads around Yalding, cannot be built without addressing the transport issues.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
1098799	231	Mr Kevin Martin	N/A	N/A	N	SA	COMMENT - page 20 para. 4.12.4 . specific issues for Yalding and MM10 will make these worse as there are no provisions for infrastructure improvements for highways , exacerbated by the proposed Vicarage Road Site reducing air quality and increasing congestion . Congestion is evident currently at peak travel periods of the day due to the single track bridge , which frequently becomes impassable . Adding additional homes will only make this worse , MM10 also lessens the likelihood of better train service on the Medway Valley Line which development at Syngenta would have encouraged and which would have had the potential to alleviate congestion and pollution in Yalding through modal shift from car to train.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Yalding was examined during the examination hearings and has been referred to as appropriate for the identified development in the Inspector's Interim Findings
687314	288	Cllr Val Springett	N/A	N/A	Y	SA	SA Addendum (SUB002(I)): Site HO3-313 (Detling Aerodrome) has been assessed as removed from centres and facilities without consideration that these facilities could be provided as part of a comprehensive development.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Appendix IV of the Sustainability Appraisal (February 2016) sets out the detailed methodology, and confirms that site options were subjected to SA utilising a strict 'appraisal question' based methodology. Land adjacent to Detling Aerodrome was examined during the examination hearings. The Inspector's Interim Findings have indicated that the settlement hierarchy and overall site allocations are appropriate to meet the identified needs
980336	290	Binbury Park Estates & Quinn Estates Ltd	Tim Chilvers	Montagu Evans	Y	SA	SA Addendum SUB 002(J). A previous re-buttal of this document is restated. The proposal has major benefits.	MBC supports the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal. Appendix IV of the Sustainability Appraisal (February 2016) sets out the detailed methodology, and confirms that site options were subjected to SA utilising a strict 'appraisal question' based methodology. Land adjacent to Detling Aerodrome was examined during the examination hearings. The Inspector's Interim Findings have indicated that the settlement hierarchy and overall site allocations are appropriate to meet the identified needs
843429	308	Roxhill Developments Ltd	David Jarman	Hobbs Parker	Y	SA	SA Addendum. Comment that the SA identifies that MM39 will have a positive effect (paragraph 4.15.9) and concludes that the site is likely to remain attractive to employment (paragraph 4.19.8).	Comment noted.

3380	243	Boxley Parish Council	Pauline Bowdrey	N/A	N	SA General	Comment. The SA appears to meet the requirements for soundness and make the Plan sound.	Comment noted.
1098263	120	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	SUB 002(I)	COMMENT - Site HO3 - 321 page 57 Nut Brown. Site is not located close to any amenities and has no public transport connections within a reasonable walking distance	The objection site is not allocated in the Local Plan nor proposed to be allocated.
1098263	123	Sutton Valence Parish Council	Mrs Janet Burnett	N/A	Y (820193)	SUB 002(I)	COMMENT - Strong objection to the site HO3-321 as site is not sustainable. Various reasons supplied in detailed comment	The objection site is not allocated in the Local Plan nor proposed to be allocated.