OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MEETING

Date: Thursday 6 October 2022

Time: 6.30 pm

Venue: Town Hall, High Street Maidstone

Membership:

Councillors English (Chairman), Cannon (Vice-Chairman), Mrs Blackmore,

Brice, Cleator, Conyard, Garten, Hastie, Hinder, Jeffery,

Knatchbull, McKenna and T Wilkinson

The Chairman will assume that all Members will read the reports before attending the meeting. Officers are asked to assume the same when introducing reports.

AGENDA Page No.

- 1. Apologies for Absence
- 2. Notification of Substitute Members
- 3. Urgent Items
- 4. Notification of Visiting Members
- 5. Disclosures by Members and Officers
- 6. Disclosures of Lobbying
- 7. To consider whether any items should be taken in private because of the possible disclosure of exempt information
- 8. Minutes of the Meeting Held on 19 July 2022
- 9. Presentation of Petitions (if any)
- 10. Question and Answer session for Local Residents (if any)
- 11. Questions from Members to the Chairman (if any)
- 12. Committee Work Programme 6
- 13. The Council's Performance against the Waste Strategy Update 7 15 Report
- 14. Water Management Cycle Update Report 16 21

Issued on 28 September 2022

Continued Over/:

Alison Broom, Chief Executive

Alisan Brown



1 - 5

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC

In order to ask a question at this meeting, please call **01622 602899** or email **committee@maidstone.gov.uk** by 5 p.m. one clear working day before the meeting (i.e. by 5 p.m. on Tuesday 4 October 2022). You will need to provide the full text in writing.

If your question is accepted, you will be provided with instructions as to how you can access the meeting.

In order to make a statement in relation to an item on the agenda, please call **01622 602899** or email <u>committee@maidstone.gov.uk</u> by 5 p.m. one clear working day before the meeting (i.e. by 5 p.m. on Tuesday 4 October 2022). You will need to tell us which agenda item you wish to speak on.

If you require this information in an alternative format please contact us, call **01622 602899** or email **committee@maidstone.gov.uk**.

To find out more about the work of the Committee, please visit www.maidstone.gov.uk.

MAIDSTONE BOROUGH COUNCIL

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY 19 JULY 2022

Attendees:

Committee Members:	Councillors Blackmore, Brindle, Cannon, Cleator, Conyard, English (Chairman), Hastie, Hinder, Jeffery, Knatchbull, McKenna, Springett and T Wilkinson
Visiting Members:	Councillors Burton, Perry, Russell and S Webb

18. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillors Brice and Garten.

19. NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

Councillor Brindle was present as Substitute Member for Councillor Brice.

Councillor Springett was present as Substitute Member for Councillor Garten.

20. URGENT ITEMS

There were no urgent items.

21. NOTIFICATION OF VISITING MEMBERS

Councillors Burton, Perry, Russell and Webb were present as Visiting Members for Item 14 – Scoping Report – 2022/23 Work Programme.

The Chairman stated that the Members of the Executive in attendance may be called upon to answer questions from the Committee if required.

22. DISCLOSURES BY MEMBERS AND OFFICERS

There were no disclosures by Members and Officers.

23. <u>DISCLOSURES OF LOBBYING</u>

There were no disclosures of lobbying.

24. EXEMPT ITEMS

RESOLVED: That all items be taken in public as proposed.

25. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 21 JUNE 2022

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the Meeting held on 21 June 2022 be approved as a correct record and signed, subject to the removal of the additional 'topic'

contained within Minute 16 – Receipt of Suggested Review Topics (if any) and 2022/23 Work Programming, to read:

'Safety & Enforcement (as a combined suggestion from the agenda papers and Councillor suggested topics topic)'.

26. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

There were no petitions.

27. QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION FOR LOCAL RESIDENTS

There were no questions from Local Residents.

28. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TO THE CHAIRMAN

There were no questions from Members to the Chairman.

29. NOTIFICATION OF 'CALL-IN' OF AN EXECUTIVE DECISION

There were no Executive Decisions to be reviewed.

30. RECEIPT OF COUNCILLOR 'CALL FOR ACTION'

There were no 'Councillor Call for Actions'.

31. SCOPING REPORT - 2022/23 WORK PROGRAMMING

The Democratic Services Officer introduced the report. Since its publication feedback had been received to include Southern Water as a consultee within the scope attached at Appendix 1A to the report. Additionally, drought management could be a further line of enquiry to those contained within Appendix 1B to the report.

The contents of the scopes attached within Appendices 1A-1D of the report were briefly outlined. The Committee were requested to select which topics to include within its work programme and either amend and/or agree the scopes as drafted.

The recommended option was to select two large topics for review, to maintain the flexibility required for the Committee to conduct small reviews and respond to unexpected issues. If a higher number was chosen, then the reviews should be prioritised with an understanding that it may not be possible to conduct all of the reviews across the next year.

Provisional dates for extra meetings had been explored should they be required.

In evaluating each scoping proposal, the Committee discussed each appendix separately.

The Council's Performance in relation to its Waste Strategy

In response to questions, the Democratic Services Officer stated that the Director of Regeneration and Place had advised for the review to take place in quarter four

as further information would be collated on, and decisions made relating to, the service across the third quarter.

The Leader of the Council was invited to address the Committee and answer questions on the Waste Services contract procurement, tender process and timeline for the decision.

The Committee felt that it would be beneficial to conduct a review into the topic due to the importance of the service to local residents. The decision relating to the contract would be taken within approximately 18 months and the procurement exercise had commenced. Therefore, a spotlight review was preferred as this would enable an in-depth review to take place in the near future over a short timescale. The review would ideally occur in September 2022.

The Water Management Cycle

The topic was felt to be of significant importance to the borough, however there were concerns expressed on the effectiveness of conducting the review as the Council was not the leading authority on the matter. Further concerns were expressed on the likely attendance of external bodies, such as Southern water, as part of the review. The potential to positively impact the Council's future Design and Sustainability Development Plan Document from reviewing the topic was highlighted.

In response to questions, the Head of Policy, Communications and Governance stated that for the topic to be reviewed via a Councillor 'Call for Action' all other possible options would have to have been exhausted, with the topic to be narrower in scope.

Several Members of the Committee and Visiting Members highlighted the need for a narrower scope to effectively review the topic.

In response to some of the comments made during the debate, the Committee felt that the review should focus on the supply and disposal of water and the disposal of sewage in the water courses, as the most problematic aspects of the Water Management Cycle.

The review could be conducted by a working group, to allow the Committee to progress the Safety and Enforcement review and avoid untimely delay. It was requested that the relevant water companies be contacted to ascertain whether they would be willing to attend the review before its commencement.

In response to some of the comments made during the debate, the Committee felt that the review should focus on the supply and disposal of water and the disposal of sewage in the water courses, as the most problematic aspects of the Water Management Cycle.

It was noted that the working group's membership did not have to be drawn solely from the Committee, with the group to determine their meeting arrangements.

Health Inequality

During the debate, the Committee discussed several contributing factors to health inequality including access to housing, access to leisure facilities, mental health and wellbeing and the provision of services between the county and district level with particular reference made to Kent County Council's 'Making a Difference Everyday' social care proposals.

The Lead Member for Housing and Health addressed the Committee in support of a review to include access to housing.

The overlap between health inequality and other issues, such as enforcement, was highlighted. The Committee supported conducting a review into health inequality as it could lead to positive outcomes. The review would take place towards the end of the municipal year.

Safety & Enforcement

In response to questions, the Democratic Services Officer stated that the topic had been split into two reviews due to the issue's wide remit, allowing for greater detail to be included within the scope attached at Appendix 1A to the report. The enforcement review had been scheduled first due to the support expressed by the Committee on reviewing enforcement at its previous meeting; the order could be amended as required.

The Committee expressed support for reviewing the topic across two separate but linked reviews, beginning with Safety as it was felt that this would better inform the enforcement review.

RESOLVED: That the below topics be included within the Committee's 2022/23 Work Programme with the following priority:

- 1. The Council's Performance against the Waste Strategy, to be conducted via a spotlight review in September 2022;
- 2. Safety and Enforcement review, to commence in September 2022 beginning with the safety aspect of the review;
- 3. The Water Management Cycle, to commence in September 2022 and focus on the supply and disposal of water and the disposal of sewage in water courses, following invitations to the relevant water companies to participate, subject to either 3a or 3b as applicable;
 - a. If attendance is agreed, a working group be created to conduct the review and determine its meeting arrangements; or
 - b. If attendance is not agreed or given in good time, the review be removed from the Committee Work Programme.
- 4. Health Inequality, to be conducted towards the end of the 2022/23 municipal year.

32. <u>DURATION OF MEETING</u>

6.30 p.m. to 7.48 p.m.

Agenda Item 12

Maidstone Borough Council

Overview and Scrutiny Committee Work Programme 2022-23 Municipal Year

Review Title	Expected Start Date & Method	Relevant Officer/s	Objectives
The Council's Waste Strategy; contract re- procurement.	September 2022, Spotlight Review	William Cornall, Director of Regeneration and Place	Review prior to the procurement of the next Waste Contract to ensure value.
		Jennifer Stevens, Head of Environment and Public Realm	
Safety & Enforcement	September 2022 (safety element)	Alison Broom, Chief Executive	Review existing measures and ascertain any changes needed, in
	OSC acting as the C&D Committee Meetings	John Littlemore, Head of Housing and Regulatory Services	consultation with stakeholders.
		Martyn Jeynes, Community and Strategic Partnerships Manager	
Water Management Cycle	September 2022, Working Group.	Mark Green, Director of Finance and Business Improvement	the supply and disposal of water;and
		William Cornall, Director of	disposal of sewage
		Regeneration and Place	to identify improvements.
		Philip Coyne, Interim Local Plan Review Director	
Health Inequality	Early 2023	Alison Broom, Chief Executive,	Increased understanding of health inequalities
		John Littlemore, Head of Housing and Regulatory Services	across the borough and an overview of strategy and police across the relevant bodies.
		Jolanda Gjioni, Senior Public Health Officer	

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

6 OCTOBER 2022

The Council's Review Against the Waste Strategy - Update Report

Timetable		
Meeting	Date	
Overview and Scrutiny Committee	6 October 2022	

Will this be a Key Decision?	No
Urgency	Not Applicable
Final Decision-Maker	Overview and Scrutiny Committee
Lead Director	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Lead Officer and Report Author	Oliviya Parfitt, Democratic Services Officer
Classification	Public
Wards affected	All

Executive Summary

This report provides an update on the Waste Strategy Review that the Committee added to its Work Programme at the July 2022 meeting.

Purpose of Report

Decision

This report makes the following recommendations to the Committee: That

- 1. The contents of the report be noted; and
- 2. Consideration be given to the available options contained within 3.1 and 3.2 of the report, and a decision made on which option to proceed with.

The Council's Review Against the Waste Strategy - Update Report

1. CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES AND IMPLICATIONS

Issue	Implications	Sign-off
Impact on Corporate Priorities	 Embracing Growth and Enabling Infrastructure Safe, Clean and Green Homes and Communities A Thriving Place Accepting the recommendation could materially improve the Council's ability to achieve all corporate priorities, due to the Committee's role in reviewing and recommending actions arising from its work programme topics. 	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance
Cross Cutting Objectives	 The four cross-cutting objectives are: Heritage is Respected Health Inequalities are Addressed and Reduced Deprivation and Social Mobility is Improved Biodiversity and Environmental Sustainability is respected The report recommendations could support the achievement of all the Council's crosscutting objectives due to the Committee's role in reviewing and recommending actions arising from reviewing the topic. 	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance
Risk Management	See Section 5 of the report.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance
Financial	The proposals set out in the recommendation are all within already approved budgetary headings and so need no new funding for implementation.	Head of Finance

Staffing Legal	We will deliver the recommendations with our current staffing, with an additional Member of the Democratic Services Team due to start in the near future. In accordance with Part 1A of the Local Government Act 2000 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) the Council is operating	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance Interim Team Leader (Contentious
	under Executive Arrangements. These arrangements must include provision for the appointment of one or more Overview and Scrutiny Committees to review and scrutinise executive decisions made, or other action taken – LGA 2000, Section 9F.	and Corporate Governance)
Information Governance	The recommendations do not impact personal information (as defined in UK GDPR and Data Protection Act 2018) the Council processes.	Information Governance Team
Equalities	The recommendations do not propose a change in service therefore will not require an equalities impact assessment	Equalities & Communities Officer
Public Health	We recognise that the recommendations will have a positive impact on population health or that of individuals.	Public Health Officer
Crime and Disorder	No impacts identified.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance
Procurement	No immediate impacts identified, although it should be noted that the Mid-Kent Waste Cleansing Services Contract Procurement is an ongoing exercise. Please see the Forward Plan for further information on that decision.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance & Section 151 Officer
Biodiversity and Climate Change	The implications of this report on biodiversity and climate change have been considered and aligns with actions (Actions 4.1 to 4.5) of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Action Plan	Biodiversity and Climate Change Manager

2. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

2.1 At its meeting held on the 19 July 2022, the Committee agreed to add the 'Council's Performance against the Waste Strategy' to its 2022-23 work programme. An excerpt from the minutes has been included below:

The Council's Performance in relation to its Waste Strategy

In response to questions, the Democratic Services Officer stated that the Director of Regeneration and Place had advised for the review to take place in quarter four as further information would be collated on, and decisions made relating to, the service across the third quarter.

The Leader of the Council was invited to address the Committee and answer questions on the Waste Services contract procurement, tender process and timeline for the decision.

The Committee felt that it would be beneficial to conduct a review into the topic due to the importance of the service to local residents. The decision relating to the contract would be taken within approximately 18 months and the procurement exercise had commenced. Therefore, a spotlight review was preferred as this would enable an in-depth review to take place in the near future over a short timescale. The review would ideally occur in September 2022.

(19 July 2022, Minute 31).

- 2.2 As shown above, the ongoing contract procurement was influential in the Committee's decision. To progress the review, the topic was discussed with the Chairman and the relevant officers after the Committee meeting.
- 2.3 Given the current position of the waste contract procurement, it would not be conducive to the process for the Committee's review to focus on the contract. This is further supported by the recent urgent decision made by the Lead Member for Environmental Services relating to the Mid-Kent Waste Cleansing Services Contract extension (August 2022) and the upcoming decision on the Mid-Kent Waste Cleaning Services (new) contract procurement to be made in December 2022.
- 2.4 It should be highlighted that as part of the Council's new governance model, pre-decision scrutiny is a function given to the Policy Advisory Committees (PAC). This Committee's remit is to undertake policy development and review, and post-decision scrutiny. The decision on the contract's procurement will be presented to the Communities, Housing and Environment PAC, ahead of its presentation to the Executive. Without prejudicing the decision to be made, should the decision be called-in, it would be considered by this Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
- 2.5 Further, as the service is being re-procured and the Waste Strategy will be refreshed from 2023, the Committee would still be able to conduct the originally agreed Spotlight Review into the Council's performance against the latter.

- 2.6 A re-refined scope has been attached at Appendix 1 to the report, following the meeting held as described in point 2.2, that could be used to guide a spotlight review. If agreed, this review would not be as time-sensitive, so could take place later in the municipal year.
- 2.7 The Head of Environment and Public Realm will be in attendance at the 20 September 2022 meeting to answer any further questions on Waste Services.

3. AVAILABLE OPTIONS

3.1 Option 1 – Agree the revised scope at Appendix 1, to focus only on improving the Council's performance against the Waste Strategy, ahead of the 2023 refresh, and amend the Committee's Work programme as a result.

Possible dates for the Spotlight Review include:

Wednesday 2 November Thursday 3 November Friday 4 November

The Committee would be consulted via email, with the date achieving the highest attendance rate selected.

The Committee Work Programme would be amended as shown below:

<u>Maidstone Borough Council</u> Overview and Scrutiny Committee Work Programme 2022-23 Municipal Year

Review Title	Expected Start Date & Method	Relevant Officer/s	Objectives
The Council's Waste Strategy; contract re- procurement.	September 2022, Spotlight Review	William Cornall, Director of Regeneration and Place Jennifer Stevens, Head of Environment and Public Realm	Review prior to the procurement of the next Waste Contract to ensure value.
The Council's Waste Strategy	To have taken place by the end of November 2022, Spotlight Review	William Cornall, Director of Regeneration and Place Jennifer Stevens, Head of Environment and Public Realm	Review the Waste Strategy whilst considering best practice of other Local Authorities to identify innovative improvements

- 3.2 Option 2 Remove the review from the Work Programme. If the Committee does not wish to conduct the review with a focus on the Council's performance against the waste strategy, the review could be removed from the work programme in entirety and no further action taken.
- 3.3 Option 3 Progress with the review, with a focus on the re-procurement of the Mid-Kent Waste Cleansing Services Contract. **This is not recommended**, meaningful scrutiny to influence the contract is not possible as the procurement which is nearing completion.

4. PREFERRED OPTION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 The Committee is recommended to choose either Option 1 or Option 2 as outlined above in points 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

5. RISK

5.1 The risks associated with this proposal, including the risks if the Council does not act as recommended, have been considered in line with the Council's Risk Management Framework. We are satisfied that the risks associated are within the Council's risk appetite and will be managed as per the Policy.

6. CONSULTATION RESULTS AND PREVIOUS COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

6.1 The review topic has been discussed at both the June and July 2022 Committee Meetings as part of the Work Programming process.

7. NEXT STEPS: COMMUNICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECISION

- 7.1 If the Committee acts in accordance with point 3.1, the Committee Work Programme will be amended, and the Committee informally consulted on their preferred date to hold a Spotlight Review.
- 7.2 If the Committee acts in accordance with point 3.2, no further action will be taken on the review and the Committee Work Programme will be amended accordingly.

8. REPORT APPENDICES

The following documents are to be published with this report and form part of the report:

 Appendix 1: Re-refined Scope for the Council's Performance against the Waste Strategy Scope.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Meeting held on 19 July 2022: <u>Minutes Template (maidstone.gov.uk)</u>

Proposed Topic

Waste Review

Description and Reason for Review

Description

Review into the service to inform the new Waste Strategy priorities and areas of action.

Reason for Review

The scope focused on the Waste Strategy (2018-2023) identifying that whilst the Council is exceeding its recycling target and is currently the second highest performer in Kent, similar services provided elsewhere have delivered higher performance.

As the strategy will need refreshing in the near future, any resulting actions from a review would have the potential to be implemented within the new strategy

At the previous Committee Meeting, the Leader of the Council answered questions on the related Waste Services contract procurement, tender process and timeline for decision. It was felt beneficial to conduct a review into the topic due to the importance of the service to local residents.

Link to Priorities

Strategic Plan Priority:

Safe, Clean and Green.

Other: To support the Council in its partnership with Ashford and Swale Borough Councils, and with Kent County Council as the Waste Authority.

Executive Priorities: The Commitment to tackling climate change in everything the Council does

Other: Support from the relevant Lead Member on the Executive for Environmental Services.

Spotlight Review (in-depth day/two-day review)

1. <u>lines of enquiry:</u>

- Explore options for increasing recycling rates through assessing the best practice of other Local Authorities with similar waste collection services
- Assess customer satisfaction with the service, including interaction with customer services, to identify improvements.

- To review the impact of shared waste collection facilities within/from new Housing Developments on the achievement of Waste Strategy targets.
- To identify which actions within the Waste Strategy correspond with actions within the Biodiversity and Climate Change Strategy, and make recommendations to improve their shared achievement.

2. Agree stakeholders to be consulted/interviewed

Director of Regeneration and Place
Head of Environment & Public Realm
Waste Manager
Communications Manager
Customer Services Manager
Information Governance Manager
Engagement Officer
Planning Dept. Major Projects Team Leader

Leader of the Council

Lead Members for Communication and Engagement and Environmental Services.

External Stakeholders:
Golding Homes
Hyde Housing
KCC/Kent Resource Partnership
KP Waste Crime Unit

3. Possible timescales

Spotlight review to be completed in 2 days in November, report to Committee and recommendation in December

4. Produce Evidence Pack to support review

(Put together ahead of Spotlight Review)

Could include:

Waste and Recycling Strategy 2018-2023
Information from APSE and the LGA
Data from other authorities; Kent, CIPFA nearest neighbours
Data on Stage 1 and 2 complaints relating to the service
Data from Biffa, e.g. route failures
Previous consultation data from residents' surveys

5. Produce questions to be put to consultees

Formulated via informal consultation with the Committee, based on lines of enquiry and the evidence pack.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

6 OCTOBER 2022

Water Management Cycle Review – Update Report

Timetable		
Meeting	Date	
Overview and Scrutiny Committee	6 October 2022	

Will this be a Key Decision?	No
Urgency	Not Applicable
Final Decision-Maker	Overview and Scrutiny Committee
Lead Director	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight and Governance
Lead Officer and Report Author	Oliviya Parfitt, Democratic Services Officer
Classification	Public
Wards affected	All

Executive Summary

To provide an update to the Committee on the communications with key stakeholders and Working Group Membership as part of the proposed Water Management Cycle Review

Purpose of Report

Decision

This report makes the following recommendations to the Committee:

- 1. That consideration be given to the suggested approach to selecting the Water Management Cycle Working Group's Membership; and
- 2. The group's membership be appointed in accordance with either options 3.1 or 3.2 of the report.

Water Management Cycle Review – Update Report

1. CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES AND IMPLICATIONS

Issue	Implications	Sign-off
Impact on Corporate Priorities	 The four Strategic Plan objectives are: Embracing Growth and Enabling Infrastructure Safe, Clean and Green Homes and Communities A Thriving Place Accepting the recommendation could materially improve the Council's ability to achieve all corporate priorities, due to the Committee's role in reviewing and recommending actions arising from its work programme topics.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Cross Cutting Objectives	 The four cross-cutting objectives are: Heritage is Respected Health Inequalities are Addressed and Reduced Deprivation and Social Mobility is Improved Biodiversity and Environmental Sustainability is respected The report recommendations could support the achievement of all the Council's crosscutting objectives due to the Committee's role in reviewing and recommending actions arising from reviewing the topic. 	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Risk Management	See Section 5 of the report.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Financial	The proposals set out in the recommendation are all within already approved budgetary headings and so need no new funding for implementation.	Head of Finance

Staffing	We will deliver the recommendations with our current staffing, with an additional Member of the Democratic Services Team due to start in the near future.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Legal	In accordance with Part 1A of the Local Government Act 2000 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) the Council is operating under Executive Arrangements. These arrangements must include provision for the appointment of one or more Overview and Scrutiny Committees to review and scrutinise executive decisions made, or other action taken – LGA 2000, Section 9F.	Interim Team Leader (Contentious and Corporate Governance)
Information Governance	The recommendations do not impact personal information (as defined in UK GDPR and Data Protection Act 2018) the Council processes.	Information Governance Team
Equalities	The recommendations do not propose a change in service therefore will not require an equalities impact assessment	Equalities & Communities Officer
Public Health	We recognise that the recommendations could have a positive impact on population health or that of individuals.	Public Health Officer
Crime and Disorder	No impacts identified.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Procurement	No impacts identified.	Angela Woodhouse, Director of Strategy, Insight & Governance
Biodiversity and Climate Change	The implications of this report on biodiversity and climate change have been considered and aligns with actions (Actions 5.4; 5.5; 6.7; 6.9 and 8.5) of the Biodiversity and Climate Change Action Plan	Biodiversity and Climate Change Manager

2. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

2.1 At its meeting held on 19 July 2022, the Committee agreed to add the 'Water Management Cycle' to its 2022-23 work programme. An excerpt from the Minutes is included below:

The Water Management Cycle

The topic was felt to be of significant importance to the borough, however there were concerns expressed on the effectiveness of conducting the review as the Council was not the leading authority on the matter. Further concerns were expressed on the likely attendance of external bodies, such as Southern water, as part of the review. The potential to positively impact the Council's future Design and Sustainability Development Plan Document from reviewing the topic was highlighted.

In response to questions, the Head of Policy, Communications and Governance stated that for the topic to be reviewed via a Councillor 'Call for Action' all other possible options would have to have been exhausted, with the topic to be narrower in scope.

Several Members of the Committee and Visiting Members highlighted the need for a narrower scope to effectively review the topic.

In response to some of the comments made during the debate, the Committee felt that the review should focus on the supply and disposal of water and the disposal of sewage in the water courses, as the most problematic aspects of the Water Management Cycle.

The review could be conducted by a working group, to allow the Committee to progress the Safety and Enforcement review and avoid untimely delay. It was requested that the relevant water companies be contacted to ascertain whether they would be willing to attend the review before its commencement.

In response to some of the comments made during the debate, the Committee felt that the review should focus on the supply and disposal of water and the disposal of sewage in the water courses, as the most problematic aspects of the Water Management Cycle.

It was noted that the working group's membership did not have to be drawn solely from the Committee, with the group to determine their meeting arrangements.

(19 July 2022 Committee Meeting – Minute 31)

2.2 As requested by the Committee, the below organisations were contacted and have stated that they wish to participate in the review:

Southern Water Southeast Water Kent County Council Southeast Rivers Trust The Environment Agency

- 2.3 The next step is to appoint the working group (the group). At its previous meeting, the Committee highlighted that the group's members did not have to be drawn from the Committee's membership.
- 2.4 The approach agreed with the Chairman and Vice-Chair was to consult group leaders for up to two nominations of Non-Executive Members and a reserve from their respective groups, that would bring benefit to and contribute to the review. A membership of 7 was felt suitable, whilst maintaining the flexibility and effectiveness provided through working groups. Working groups are not subject to the political balance rules of the Local Government and Housing Act (1989).
- 2.5 An update will be given at the meeting as to which Members have been put forward. However, the final decision on the group's membership rests solely with the Committee.

3. AVAILABLE OPTIONS

- 3.1 Option 1 Appoint the group in accordance with Group Leaders' wishes.
- 3.2 Option 2 Appoint the group from the Committee's existing membership and/or any other Members nominated.

4. PREFERRED OPTION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 There is no preferred option from an officer perspective. However, the Committee is advised to appoint a group of seven, to ensure its functional and conducts meaningful scrutiny and prevent scheduling conflicts.

5. RISK

5.1 The risks associated with this proposal, including the risks if the Council does not act as recommended, have been considered in line with the Council's Risk Management Framework. We are satisfied that the risks associated are within the Council's risk appetite and will be managed as per the Policy.

6. CONSULTATION RESULTS AND PREVIOUS COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

6.1 The matter was previously considered at the 19 July 2022 Committee Meeting.

7. NEXT STEPS: COMMUNICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECISION

7.1 The group will be contacted to decide their meeting arrangements and progress the review.

7.2 The group's minutes will be reported to this Committee, providing regular updates on the review's progression in accordance with the Council's Constitution (Part A2, Provision 6, Rule 6.7.3)

8. REPORT APPENDICES

None.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Meeting held on 19 July 2022: <u>Minutes Template (maidstone.gov.uk)</u>

Maidstone Borough Council Constitution, 2022: (Public Pack) Agenda Document for Maidstone Borough Council Constitution, 11/11/2019 00:00