

Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

17/14-15/12/09

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

nearing by 1511.

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form. If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that you're answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.

You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I, Chief Inspector David Pascoe on behalf of the Chief Officer of Police

apply for the review of a premises licence under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described in Part 1 below (delete as applicable)

Part 1 – Premises or club premises details

| Postal address of premises or, if none | e, ordnance survey map reference | or description |
|---|--|------------------|
| The Beluga Bar Bank Street | | |
| Bank Street | | |
| Post town Maidstone | Postcode (if known) | |
| | ME141SN | |
| | | |
| Name of premises licence holder or o | slub holding club premises certific | ate (If Known) |
| Hamid Javadi | | |
| Tidilina Savadi | | |
| | | |
| Number of premises licence or clu | ub premises certificate (if knov | vn) |
| MAID0185/LPRM/ | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Part 2 – Applicant Details | | |
| | | |
| I am, | | |
| | | Please tick yes |
| 1 An interested party (please complete | (A) or (B) below) | r rease tiek yes |
| a) a person living in the vicinity of the | ne premises | |
| b) a body representing persons living | | |
| c) a person involved in business in the | | |
| a i a nogy representing persons invol | | |
| | ved in business in the vicinity of the | LJ |
| premises | | X |
| premises | olete (C) below) | X |
| premises 2 A responsible authority (please comp | olete (C) below) | X |

| Please tick Mr | Ms Other title (eg, Rev) |
|---|---------------------------|
| Surname | First names |
| | |
| I am 18 years old or over | Please tick yes |
| Current postal address if different from premises address | |
| Post town | Post code |
| Daytime contact telephone number | |
| Email address (optional) | |
| (A) Details of Other Applicant | |
| Name and address | |
| | |
| Telephone number (if any) | |
| Email address (optional) | |

(B) Details of Responsible Authority Applicant

| Kent Police | |
|---------------|--|
| Palace Avenue | |
| Maidstone | |
| Kent ME15 6NF | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | |

Telephone number (if any) 01622 604403/01622 604402 (PC Barbara Murray)

Email address (optional) licensing.midkent@kent.pnn.police.uk

This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)

Please tick one or more boxes

1 The prevention of crime and disorder

X

2 Public safety

X

- 3 The prevention of public nuisance
- 4 The protection of children from harm

Please state the ground(s) for review (please read guidance note 1)

The police are requesting the Licensing Committee to review the above premises licence.

Following intelligence received by both police and the Maidstone Borough Council Licensing Department that the club was regularly exceeding the capacity as detailed on the premises licence, observations were kept on the premises on Saturday 24th October 2009 and it was found that there were in excess of 600 more customers in the club than the maximum permitted number of 1150. In addition there have been reports of assaults and disturbances at the premises since 28th June 2009 that have caused concern.

This application is therefore made under the crime and disorder and public safety objectives.

Please provide as much information as possible to support the application (please read guidance note 2)

As a result of several reports of disorder and assault associated with The Beluga Bar, Bank Street, Maidstone a meeting was arranged at the premises with the owner, Hamid Javardi, Marrisa Birch, the Designated Premises Supervisor and Dennis Tree, the head of security on Tuesday 20th October 2009.Present at the meeting was PC Barbara Murray and Bill Head, Mid Kent Licensing Officers, and Sergeant Ian Jones. Concern was expressed by the police as to the number and type of calls being received that were connected with the premises (see attached list). The discussion centred on why there were a significant number of serious assaults and disturbances and it was suggested that overcrowding was contributing to the problems of crime and disorder at the club. Mr Javadi and Ms Birch denied that they were overcrowded, stating that they were busy and run at capacity, but they monitored the numbers and were not exceeding the capacity number on the premises licence.

On Saturday 24th October 2009 at 2100 hours PC Barbara Murray and Stephen Noble, the Maidstone Borough Council Licensing Enforcement Officer monitored the number of customers at the Beluga Bar, Bank Street, Maidstone by way of the town centre CCTV system. The camera, which is situated at the junction of Bank Street and Mill Street, was directed onto the front doors of the Beluga Bar and there was a clear view of people entering and leaving the club. Mr Noble was counting the number of people going into the premises and was using a hand held tally 'clicker', which is commonly used by staff at all town centre licensed premises to record customer numbers. PC Murray was recording the number of people leaving the premises by way of keeping a tally on paper, as there were far fewer persons leaving than entering. There were two means of entry, one through the side door situated on the left side of the premises as looked from Bank Street. This appeared to be staffed by two door staff, who seemed to be checking identification, and was the way of entry for a queue, which went up Bank Street. The other means of entry was by the main front door, which is in the centre of the premises and appeared to be mainly staffed by Hamid Javardi. Many people were gaining entry through these doors and they all appeared to be bypassing the main queue. No one appeared to be recording numbers at this entry. At many times during the evening both doors were used and 2 or 3 people were entering, through different doors, at the same time.

The counting of customers, both entering and leaving the premises, began at 2108 hours and the numbers were recorded every 10 minutes in PC Murray's pocket notebook. The results are as follows: -

| Time | Number of people entering | Number of people leaving | Total number of customers in the premises |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 2119 hours | 38 | 4 | 34 |
| 2129 hours | 89 | 5 | 84 |
| 2139 hours | 128 | 5 | 123 |
| 2149 hours | 199 | 11 | 188 |
| 2159 hours | 298 | 15 | 283 |
| 2209 hours | 382 | 26 | 356 |
| 2219 hours | 653 | 33 | 620 |
| 2229 hours | 849 | 44 | 805 |

| 2239 hours | 1040 | 47 | 993 |
|------------|------|-----|------|
| 2249 hours | 1206 | 61 | 1145 |
| 2259 hours | 1375 | 82 | 1293 |
| 2309 hours | 1496 | 119 | 1377 |
| 2319 hours | 1592 | 146 | 1446 |
| 2329 hours | 1734 | 163 | 1571 |
| 2339 hours | 1813 | 190 | 1623 |
| 2349 hours | 1915 | 224 | 1691 |
| 2359 hours | 2009 | 270 | 1739 |
| 0009 hours | 2078 | 310 | 1768 |
| 0019 hours | 2119 | 381 | 1738 |

As can be seen above, there were 1768 customers in the club at 0009 hours on Sunday 25th October 2009. The maximum capacity recorded on the premises licence for this club is 1150. There were 618 more customers in the club than should have been.

Following discussion with the duty police inspector, Inspector Wyatt, it was decided that due to the excessive numbers in the premises there was a very real concern over the safety of the customers. The officers therefore attended the premises and spoke to Hamid Javardi. Mr Noble and PC Murray explained that they had been monitoring the premises that evening and at 0009 hours had counted 1768 customers inside the premises, which was 618 more than the capacity limit of the premises. When questioned Mr Javardi knew that the capacity was 1150 persons. He was asked how many people were in the club, and after asking his door staff, who were in possession of hand held counters, Mr Javardi told the officers that there were 1156 people in the club. He agreed to voluntarily stop all further entry and close the club over a period of one hour.

Insp Wyatt, Mr Noble and PC Murray conducted a licensing visit and entered the club. They walked through the first floor, down into the garden and back into the ground floor through the rear doors. The overwhelming impression was one of crowding and lack of space. People were standing shoulder to shoulder with little or no room to move and it was only possible to walk through the numbers by pushing people out of the way. The door leading from the garden into the ground floor was almost impossible to get through due to the press of people standing in the door way and the numbers trying to get into and out of the building. Both first and ground floors were the same and it took many minutes to walk through the premises even with the assistance of door staff.

Mr Javardi informed the officers that as the customers left he intended to record the number, as he was not certain that he was over capacity. PC Murray therefore also decided to do the same and so as customers left she stood at the door and counted them out by way of hand held tally clicker. She recorded 1557 customers. Mr Javardi was shown the number. One of his door staff had recorded by way of the club's hand clicker a number that was in excess of 1900. Mr Javardi said that he would accept the police figure.

At 1400 hours on Monday 26th October 2009 at Maidstone Borough Council a meeting was held between Hamid Javardi, Majid Javardi, Dennis Tree, Chief Inspector David Pascoe, Sergeant Ian Jones, Bill Head, Stephen Noble and PC

Murray to discuss the issues that had been raised by the incident on Saturday. During the meeting Hamid Javardi took full responsibility for the excessive numbers within the club. He did not dispute the figures and said he was actively trying to find ways of ensuring that it never happened again. He could give no reason as to why there had been so many customers in the premises.

It is the opinion of the police that there has been overcrowding in the past, which has led directly to the issues of crime and disorder. People who have been drinking and are crammed together into a restricted space will be more likely to knock into each other, causing misunderstandings and incidents. In addition the overcrowding that was witnessed by officers on that evening showed that security staff would have found it almost impossible to get to the scene of a disturbance expeditiously, due to the crush of people. This would lead to disturbances escalating and becoming far more serious than if they could be dealt with quickly. It is the opinion of the police that, not only is over crowding at these numbers, a serious safety issue, but it has also directly contributed towards crime and disorder.

Police ask that the committee consider the suspension of this licence for a period of one month in order to act a deterrent. It is asked that the following condition is added to the licence: -

1. An electronic system will be installed at the premises that accurately counts how many persons are in the premises. This system will be installed with the agreement of the police and the fire safety officer. It will be available for inspection by any responsible authority at any time that the premises is open to staff or members of the public.

Please tick yes

X

Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before

If yes, please state the date of that application

Day Month Year

2 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9

If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were and when you made them

An application for an expedited review was made on the above date following a serious assault that occurred in the rear smoking garden area of the premises allegedly involving a member of the security staff assaulting a customer.

Please tick yes

 I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate X

• I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected

X

IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION

Part 3 – Signatures (please read guidance note 3)

Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent (see guidance note 4). If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.

Signature

Date

Capacity - Mid Kent Police Licensing Officer

Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application (please read guidance note 5)

PC Barbara Murray Police Station

Palace Avenue

Post town Maidstone Postcode ME15 6NF

Telephone number (if any) 01622 604403 or 07980 770428

If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an email address, please provide your email address (optional)

Barbara.murray@kent.pnn.police.uk

Notes for Guidance

- 1. The ground(s) for review must be based on one of the licensing objectives.
- 2. Please list any additional information or details, for example dates of problems which are included in the grounds for review if available.
- 3. The application form must be signed.
- 4. An applicant's agent (for example, solicitor) may sign the form on their behalf provided that they have actual authority to do so.
- 5. This is the address which we shall use to correspond with you about this application.

STATEMENT OF WITNESS (Cj Act 1967,s9,MC Act 1980. Ss5A(3)(a) and 5B, MC Rules 1981 r70

STATEMENT OF:

Stephen William Noble

AGE OF WITNESS (If over 18, enter Over 18):

Over 18

OCCUPATION OF WITNESS: Licensing Enforcement Officer

The following statement consisting of 5 pages each signed by me, is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false of do not believe to be true.

Dated the Both October 2009

Signed

I am the above named person. I am employed as a Licensing Enforcement Officer by Maidstone Borough Council and have been for almost 6 years. My duties are to carry out licensing visits and ensure that licensed premises adhere to the Licensing Act 2003 and conditions on their licence.

On Saturday 24th October 2009, I was in the CCTV control room at Maidstone Town Hall with PC Barbara Murray. We were watching a CCTV screen which showed the front of the Beluga Bar Premises situated in Bank Street, Maidstone, Kent. I had a clear and unobstructed view of the front of the premises and could clearly see anyone entering or leaving the premises.

At 2108hrs we began a count of the number of people entering and leaving the premises. I was counting people entering the premises using a 'clicker' device (a small hand held device which records a running total each time it is pressed), whilst PC Murray was counting people out of the premises using a tally sheet.

We counted, totalled and recorded the numbers of people who had entered and left the premises at 10 minute intervals. These totals were recorded in PC Murrays pocket notebook.

There were two doors at the front of the Beluga Bar which were being used as entry points to the premises. I could see that door staff were manning the left hand door whilst the main door was being controlled by Hamid Javadi who is the owner and premises licence holder of the Beluga Bar.

Although door staff did appear to be checking for identification, there did not appear to be any means of checking or monitoring the numbers of people entering or leaving the premises. Many times during the evening, I saw Hamid Javadi gesturing and seemingly calling large numbers of people to him. I could then see that he was ushering those persons inside the premises and that door staff seemed to be unaware of these persons entering the premises as they did not queue to enter.

At many times, there were two or more persons entering the premises at the same time through both doors. Although more than one person at a time was entering the premises I was still able to count the numbers going in on

the 'Clicker'. In fact, if I was unsure whether or not a person did enter the premises I did not count them in order not to inflate the numbers of persons entering.

The count of persons inside the premises, made at the time, by PC Murray and myself showed that at peak there was 1768 persons inside the premises. Condition 46 of the Premises Licence for the Beluga Bar states that the maximum number of persons inside the premises should be 1150. That means that there were 618 persons over the limit of those allowed inside the premises.

PC Murray and myself along with Insp Wyatt who had since joined us, walked to the Beluga Bar and spoke to Mr Hamid Javadi outside the club. We asked him how many people he had inside the club. He spoke to his door staff and they he told us that there was 1156 people inside the premises.


We told Mr Javadi that we had counted people entering and leaving the premises and had reached a total of 1768. He could not explain how this had happened and agreed to stop any further entry to the premises and close the premises entirely over the period of 1 hour.

Pc Murray, Insp Wyatt and myself went inside the premises accompanied by Dennis Tree who is the head door supervisor. Inside the premises it was very congested and it was extremely difficult to move. Even with the head Door Supervisor and a uniformed Police Officer, it was sometimes impossible to move through the premises. It was especially difficult at door ways and stairwells and we frequently came to a stop because of the sheer amount of people blocking the doors. At one point, when trying to get through the main doors that lead from the garden to the ground floor, we stood unable to move for a matter of minutes as due to the amount of people trying to pass through the door.

We then went outside and spoke again to Mr Javadi. He told us that he wanted to count the people as they left the premises as he was not convinced that the amount of people we said were in the club was correct.

Pc Murray and myself agreed and stood by the front door. At this point there was only one door being used as an exit and so we could see the people the people exiting very clearly. PC Murray used a door clicker and counted each person out. Mr Javadi also instructed one of his staff do count people out also so that numbers could be compared at the end of the count.

I stood with Pc Murray the entire time she was counting people out of the club and could see that she was recording every person who came out of the club. Mr Javadi was also standing with us for a lot of the time but occasionally entered the club. On one occasion he came out of the club and said to us that it did look busy and he expected the numbers to be exceeded.



PC Murray recorded 1557 people leaving the premises. Mr Javadi's door staff recorded in excess of 1900 people. Mr Javadi said that he would accept PC Murrays count as the correct figure. It is worth noting that prior to this count of those leaving the premises, time had elapsed where an unknown number of persons had already left the premises. No other persons had been admitted to the premises prior to this exit count.

On Monday 26th October 2009 a meeting was held at Maidstone Borough Council offices. At the meeting was Mr Hamid Javadi, Mr Majid Javadi, Mr Dennis Tree, Chief Insp David Pascoe, Sgt Ian Jones, PSE Bill Head, PC Barbara Murray and myself.

During this meeting both Mr Javadi's accepted the numbers that we had counted were correct and that the capacity was exceeded. They told us they were very embarrassed and could not explain how it happened. They made various assurances and told us that they would be reviewing their entry policy and means by which they allowed people enter the premises.

(Signed)-

RESTRICTED (when complete)

WITNESS STATEMENT

| CJ Act 1967, s | .9; MC Act 1980, | ss.5A(3) (a) ar | nd 5B; Crimina | al Proced | lure Rules 200 | 5, Rule 27.1 |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| , | | | URN | 46 | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Statement of: | - | | | | | |
| Age if under 18: | over 18 | (if over 18 inser | t 'over 18') Oc | cupation | : Police In | spector |
| This statement (co and belief and I ma I have wilfully state | ake it knowing th ed in it, anything | at, if it is tende which I know t | ered in evider o be false or | nce, I sł | nall be liable t | o prosecution i |
| Signature: G Wy | att Chy | est | Date | : 16 | th Novembe | r, 2009 |
| Tick if witness evid | ence is visually re | ecorded 🗌 (su | ipply witness dei | tails on re | ear) | |
| I am an Operation | nal Inspector wit | h Mid Kent Po | lice having b | een ba | sed at Maids | tone for over |
| years. As part of I | my role, I regula | ly conduct info | ormal licencin | g visits | at licenced pr | remises in orde |
| to assess the nur | mbers of person | s on the pren | nises and an | y publi | c order issue | s that may b |
| encountered during | g the course of I | my duty. This | is so that I | may eff | fectively mana | age the policin |

resources under my control and minimise public order to safeguard the safety of both the public and

At 2355 hours (BST) on Saturday, 24th October, 2009, I was on duty and in uniform when as a result of information received, I attended the Maidstone Borough Council CCTV Control Room, which is situated in the Town Hall, Maidstone. There I was met by PC 7208 Murray and Mr Stephen Noble from Maidstone Borough Council. They informed me that they had been observing the Beluga Bar in Bank Street, Maidstone via CCTV for the duration of the evening due to concerns about possible overcrowding within those premises. PC Murray showed me a log they had been maintaining since 2119 hours during the evening. This log indicated that the premises contained a significant number of persons above the number for which it was licenced, the capacity being 1150 persons. A further review of their count at 2359 hours (BST) indicated that there were 1739 persons in the premises followed by an increase to 1768 persons at 0009 hrs (BST) on Sunday, 25th October, 2009. This

Signature:

police officers.

G Wyatt Clark
Typed by: G Wyatt

Signature witnessed by:

Page 1 of 4 File Name : P:\Maidstone\Operational\Beluga Bar.doc

Appendix A MG11 (Cont)

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Continuation page 2

URN

46

Continuation statement of:

Geoffrey Douglas Wyatt

was 618 persons (almost 54%) over capacity and caused me considerable concerns from both a public safety and public order perspective.

It was quite clear that action had to be taken and my options consisted of the following:

- 1) Continue evidence gathering but take no other action.
- 2) Prevent further entry to the premises by members of the public.
- 3) Reduce the number of persons in the premises to its licenced capacity or,
- 4) Close the premises immediately in a staged manner.

Following further consideration, we agreed to conduct an immediate visit of the premises in order to assess the safety and public order implications of any such decision. With that in mind, we attended the Beluga Bar and spoke to the owner, Mr Hamed Javardi who was stood outside the main entrance. We advised him that observations had been carried out on the premises during the course of the evening and our concerns about public safety and disorder. I then directed him to prevent any further persons entering the premises, which he readily agreed to. We then entered the premises and upon walking into the 1st floor entertainment space, was met by what can only be described as "a wall of people", with little or no visible spaces. I then began to walk across the room and had to push my way through on occasions in order to make any form of progress. The room was so crowded that I never saw the floor itself and people were clearly uncomfortable in such cramped conditions.

We eventually reached the door leading to the rear courtyard and that too was extremely crowded presenting an immediate danger should the premises need to be evacuated in an emergency. We then walked through the courtyard, which was also crowded before entering the ground floor entertainment space by another entrance/exit that was also blocked by persons. The ground floor

Signature

G Wyati

Chap

Signature witnessed by

Typed by: G Wyatt

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Continuation page 3

URN

46

Continuation statement of:

Geoffrey Douglas Wyatt

entertainment space was crowded to the same extent witnessed on the 1st floor and again

presented a danger to every person in the premises.

We then exited the premises and I spoke privately to PC Murray and Mr Noble. It was quite clear to

all concerned that the premises were exceeding its licenced capacity and presented an immediate

threat to public safety and disorder. I then reviewed the tactical options available to me and

decided that the premises had to be closed in a staged manner. This brought about other concerns

in my mind in relation to public disorder, as such a mass of people in the town centre could

potentially cause considerable public order problems. Despite this fear, I felt that there was no

other choice as the risk was so great to public safety. I then made plans for additional resources to

be drafted into the town centre resulting in twenty officers being specifically deployed to prevent

and deter public disorder as a result of this forced closure.

At 0050 hours (BST), we approached Mr Javardi and advised him about our observations and

views. He did not seek to dispute these, but claimed that he was unaware of the number of

persons inside the premises and was guided by his door staff controlling the numbers. Whilst I did

not challenge him on this view, I found it extremely disturbing. Any experienced manager/owner of

a licenced premises would have realised that the licenced capacity had been clearly exceeded and

presented an immediate danger to public safety. His views and actions were either grossly

negligible or deceitful.

I then advised Mr Javardi that I would seek an immediate closure of the premises on safety

grounds, unless he agreed to close them in a staged manner in just over an hour with the premises

being empty by 0100 hours (GMT). I would deploy a number of officers outside the exit to

maintain public order and this gave him just over an hour to close the premises in a safe manner.

Signature

Signature witnessed by

Typed by: G Wyatt

Appendiacont)

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Continuation page 4

URN

46

Continuation statement of:

Geoffrey Douglas Wyatt

He agreed to this request and directed his door staff accordingly. He also stated that he would

count the number of persons leaving and PC Murray agreed to carry out another count as well.

Announcements were then made to members of the public inside the premises and to those still

waiting to gain entrance. Whilst it was clear as they left that some were annoyed, not one person

sought to challenge our decision. It was also clear that not many persons were under the influence

of excessive alcohol consumption and it is my belief that this was due to them being unable to gain

access to the bars due to the premises being overcrowded.

The Beluga Bar was eventually emptied by 0100 hours (GMT) and I then conducted a further

inspection of the premises with Mr Javardi to ensure that everyone had left. During this time he

continued to express his lack of knowledge about the overcrowding and blamed the counting

system used by his staff. I did not challenge that view whilst maintaining my own that it was one of

gross mis-management.

Fortunately we did not experience any significant public order situation as a result of closing the

Beluga Bar early, however overcrowding problems were then encountered in Gabriels Hill,

Maidstone as a result of those persons attending another licenced premises. This prolonged the

deployment of the police officers to prevent public disorder and I eventually stood them all down at

0300 hours (GMT).

I have since reflected upon the events of that night and my views remain the same on the

management of the Beluga Bar that evening. I have never encountered such a situation in over 26

years service as a police officer in which I have dealt with many public safety/order situations. This

was the biggest threat to such a large number of persons at one particular time that I have ever

encountered.

Signature

G Wyatt Chyald
Typed by: G Wyatt

Signature witnessed by

Page 4 of 4

File Name: P:\Maidstone\Operational\Beluga Bar.doc

| Date and time of incident | Reference number | Type of incident | Summary |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| 28/06/2009 0106 hours | Cad 0628 – 143 | Fight | From staff at the premises reporting an altercation between two groups of males, who had been ejected from the club. A fight then broke out in Bank Street. Police attended and dispersed the persons involved. |
| 08/08/2009 0048 hours | Cad 0808 – 145 | Drunk female | Report from SECAS (ambulance service) they were attending a very drunk female outside the premises who had to be taken to hospital. Ambulance personnel reported to police that she had been removed from the club to try and disassociate the incident from the club. |
| 09/08/2009 | Crime report CY/13231/09 | Fight | Two men were arrested for fighting inside the club and were charged with affray. |
| 13/08/2009 | Cad 0813 – 49 | Disturbance | Report of a disturbance between two groups of males and allegations by a female that she had been threatened with a knife. Police attended and searched male but no knife found. |
| 12/08/2009 | Cad 0812 - 1813 | Allegation of assault | Male alleged that the manager of the pub had assaulted him. |
| 23/08/2009 | Cad 0823 0 157 | Fight | SECAS called to two males with head injuries. Police attended but neither party would make any allegations. |
| 20/09/2009 | Cad 0920 – 124 Crime report CY/15572/09 | Assault | Report of a male assaulted in the courtyard. Person received cut to the head. Male arrested. |
| 12/10/2009 | Cad 1012 – 1363 | Disturbance | Report by a male that he had been assaulted by the head doorman. Following enquired no further action was taken. |
| 17/10/2009 2310 hours | Cad 1017 – 1814 | Disturbance | From CCTV reporting a male fighting outside. Police attended. One male was taken to hospital with facial injuries. This incident started inside the club. |

| 18/10/2009 0248 hours | Cad 1018 - 212 | Disturbance | From CCTV reporting staff at the club had detained a male following an assault on a female. Enquiries showed that there had been no assault but there had been a disturbance. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---|
| 08/11/2009 | Crime report CY/18398/09 | Assault | Report of a member of staff being assaulted. |