

Sines Parks Luxury Living Limited C/O Pegasus Group F.A.O Mrs Krishna Mistry Pavilion Court Green Lane Garforth Leeds LS25 2AF

27 September 2019

## **PLANNING DECISION NOTICE**

APPLICANT:	Sines Parks Luxury Living Limited
DEVELOPMENT TYPE:	Large Major Other
APPLICATION REFERENCE:	19/502469/FULL
PROPOSAL:	Retrospective application (in part) for the change of use of land from a mixed use of holiday units (180 caravans) and residential (18 caravans) to a residential park home site (for full-time residential occupation) comprising the stationing of 248 caravans, including engineering works to create terracing, hardstanding, retaining walls, and the extension of the site along the south eastern boundary. This is as shown on drawing references: 24105se-01; 02; 03; 04; 05; 06; and 07; P18-2071-004B; 005C; 006C; 007B; 010; 011; Planning and Design and Access Statement; Transport Technical Note; Travel Plan; Transport Statement; FRA and Drainage Strategy (Aug 2019); Landscape and Visual Assessment; Arboricultural Impact Assessment; and Preliminary Ecological Appraisal.
ADDRESS:	Pilgrims Retreat Hogbarn Lane Harrietsham ME17 1NZ

The Council hereby **REFUSES** Planning Permission for the above for the following Reason(s):

(1) The development, by virtue of the site's extension and the level of engineering works undertaken to create terracing, hardstanding, and retaining walls within the southern section of the site; the loss (and further potential loss) of woodland and protected trees; the inadequate and inappropriate mitigation planting proposed; the addition of 50 more static caravans; and the increased light pollution resulting from more static caravans that

MKPS – Working in Partnership with: Maidstone Borough Council Please Note: All planning related correspondence for MBC should be sent to: Mid Kent Planning Support, Maidstone House, King Street, Maidstone ME15 6JQ Email: planningsupport@midkent.gov.uk Access planning services online at: www.maidstone.gov.uk; or submit an application via www.planningportal.gov.uk are occupied permanently, fails to conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, as well as the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside hereabouts. The adverse impact upon this nationally designated landscape of the highest value is contrary to policies SS1, SP17, DM1, DM3 and DM30 of the Maidstone Local Plan (2017); the Maidstone Landscape Character Assessment (March 2012 amended July 2013) and 2012 Supplement; the National Planning Policy Framework; and the Kent Downs AONB Management Plan (2014-19) and its Landscape Design Handbook.

- (2) The development is considered to be a major development in the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and there are no exceptional circumstances to permit this development, and it has not been demonstrated that the development is in the public interest. The development is therefore contrary to paragraph 172 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- (3) The development would authorise 230 residential units in an isolated location that would also have poor access to public transport and be remote from local services and facilities, resulting in occupants being reliant on the private motor vehicle to travel to settlements to access day to day needs. In the absence of any overriding justification or need for the development demonstrated in the application, this is contrary to the aims of sustainable development as set out in policies SS1, SP17 and DM1 of the Maidstone Local Plan (2017) and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).
- (4) The application has failed to demonstrate that the residual cumulative vehicle movements associated to 230 new residential homes on this site would not have a severe impact on the local road network. This is contrary to policies DM1, DM21, and DM30 of the Maidstone Local Plan (2017) and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).
- (5) The application has failed to demonstrate that the site can provide adequate provisions for foul and surface water disposal for 248 residential units, posing a health and safety risk to the occupants of the site. This is contrary to Local Plan policy ID1, and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).
- (6) In the absence of an appropriate legal mechanism to secure necessary contributions towards community infrastructure in the borough, the impact of the development would place unacceptable demands on local services and facilities. This would be contrary to Local Plan policies SS1, ID1, DM19, and DM20 of the Maidstone Local Plan (2017); and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).
- (7) In the absence of an appropriate legal mechanism to secure affordable housing provision, the development would fail to contribute to the proven significant need for affordable housing in the borough. This would be contrary to Local Plan policies SS1, SP20, and ID1 of the Maidstone Local Plan (2017); and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019).

## The Council's approach to this application:

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), February 2019 the Council takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals focused on solutions. We work with applicants/agents in a positive and creative way by offering a preapplication advice service, where possible, suggesting solutions to secure a successful outcome and as appropriate, updating applicants / agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application.

In this instance:

The application was considered to be fundamentally contrary to the provisions of the Development Plan and the NPPF, and these were not considered to be any solutions to resolve this conflict.

The application was considered by the Planning Committee where the applicant/agent had the opportunity to speak to the Committee and promote the application.

It is noted that the applicant/agent did not engage in any formal pre-application discussions.

R.LL. Jaman

Rob Jarman Head of Planning Services Maidstone Borough Council

**IMPORTANT:- YOUR ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO THE ATTACHED NOTES** 

## NOTIFICATION TO APPLICANT FOLLOWING REFUSAL OF PERMISSION OR GRANT OF PERMISSION SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS

This decision does not give approval or consent that may be required under any act, bylaw, order or regulation other than Section 57 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

## Appeals to the Secretary of State

If you are aggrieved by the decision of your local planning authority (LPA) to refuse permission for the proposed development, or to grant it subject to Conditions, then you can appeal to the Secretary of State (SoS) under Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Please see "Development Type" on page 1 of the decision notice to identify which type of appeal is relevant.

- If this is a decision on a planning application relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as is already the subject of an enforcement notice and if you want to appeal against the LPAs decision on your application, then you must do so within **28 days** of the date of this notice.
- If an enforcement notice is served relating to the same or substantially the same land and development as in your application and if you want to appeal against the LPA's decision on your application, then you must do so within **28 days** of the date of service of the enforcement notice, or within **6 months** [**12 weeks** in the case of a **householder** or **minor commercial** application decision] of the date of this notice, whichever period expires earlier.
- If this is a decision to refuse planning permission for a **Householder** application or a **Minor Commercial** application and you want to appeal the LPA's decision, or any of the conditions imposed, then you must do so within **12 weeks** of the date of this notice.
- In all other cases, you will need to submit your appeal against the LPA's decision, or any of the conditions imposed, within **6 months** of the date of this notice.

Appeals must be made using a form which you can get from the Secretary of State at Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6PN or online at <u>www.planningportal.gov.uk/pcs</u>.

The SoS can allow a longer period for giving notice of an appeal but will not normally be prepared to use this power unless there are special circumstances which excuse the delay in giving notice of appeal.

The SoS need not consider an appeal if it seems to the SoS that the LPA could not have granted planning permission for the proposed development or could not have granted it without the conditions they imposed, having regard to the statutory requirements, to the provisions of any development order and to any directions given under a development order.