

Support / Object	Comments
Object	Obstacles in the Road already slow it down
Object	Because those that speed will continue to do so regardless, the sensible drivers will be penalised into crawling around. The cost to implement this could be put to much better use.
Object	I still do not understand this obsession to spend money in this way. It is a waste of time and money when you cannot enforce it. Besides, a lot of these roads by their very nature mean you can only do around 20mph so I see it as rather pointless.
Object	<p>This will only cause people to not care about the limit, harrietsham is already slow enough and common sense can be used. In addition, the roads are not policed so lowering the speed limit is pointless unless it is going to be enforced.</p> <p>Their is also some responsibility on pedestrians to understand roads and highway safety. If their is no pavement on a road & someone wishes to walk down it then they should wear visible clothing, slowing the road down will just cause more people to ignore the limit all together. Just look at Leeds and Langley.</p> <p>Maybe the council should look at adding more pavements as they are building more and more houses in the areas.</p>
Object	I do not beleive tat the reduction in limit will do anything but cause congestion. A 20 moh limiyt should only be for schools
Object	There are no details, evidence or statistics on view to the public to show how you have come to the decision to select certain roads. You broadly use the terms "safety" etc. to justify your aims and potentially mislead the public. Are these accident blackspots? How many complaints about these roads have you received? You don't say. Hollingbourne has a similar 20mph restriction to "safeguard children going to and from school" but it stays in force 24hrs a day every day. Why, when there are no schoolchildren after school hours? Your decision to restrict must be evidence based and restrictions must not just be blanket restrictions but targetted accordingly to the actual hazard timewise (such as yellow zig-zags outside schools, parking restrictions etc.)
Object	<p>I object to the speed restrictions it will cause more congestion this converts to more pollution, the air quality has been made worse with the 30mph limit I am COPD and have suffered more since then.</p> <p>Other problems safety problems should be addressed first</p> <p>This speed limits a cheap get out from building more footpaths where needed, Example church Rd which is access to GP surgery and village hall. The biggest Danger is cars parking on footpaths this make children Mothers with prams and most pedestrians walk out onto the roads and should be addressed immediately.</p>
Object	I drive over 150 miles a day. I have driven through Harrietsham for over 22 years. The pavements are adequate to keep pedestrians off the road. I would like to see the figures on the accident rate that must have risen significantly to warrant the 30 mile sped limit let alone the proposed 20 mile limit
Object	Ridiculous- I am wondering what safety / accidents have occurred on any of these roads in the last year to warrant a speed reduction. Having lived here for 25 years this proposal would have got my approval if it had been applied

	sensibility to those busier roads on the list rather than the extreme list presented.
Object	Will not be enforced not enough police
Object	I do support the speed reduction for some roads in Harrietsham. However, it would seem that little thought has been given to which roads actually need it? Instead, there has been a 'blanket approach' to reduction covering only two areas in the village? These include the 'Closes' and 'Places', where the restrictions are hardly warranted? Other roads in the village are not to be covered by the 20mph speed limit. East Street? Marley Road? Dickley Lane? Church Lane. Southfields Way and Buckingham Drive (where the Co-op is)? These, along with West Street are all areas where the current 30mph limit means that there are very real road safety issues. Agree with the idea, but it needs more thought? How about asking residents which roads they find would benefit from a 20mph restriction?
Object	there is no reason for this, there is next to zero accidents or deaths etc in the area, these changes are 100% being made due to the attack on the private ownership of vehicles and pure power tripping authority figures backing up the agenda, the only ones affected by all this is us poor peasants the rich who are behind it just go on living their lives without conscience, the time to make a stand is now! cars are our way of life, they give us freedom and enjoyment and they wish to take this from us, no more! they've taken enough, sorry for the rant but truth needs to be spoken aloud more now than ever.
Support	<p>Comment from Kent Police is as follows, -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All aspects of DfT Circular 01/2013 to be adhered to. Any limit introduced outside of this guidance may not only be ineffective but it may leave the Police with the task of carrying out enforcement, where previously an issue of excess speed did not exist. • Kent Police would seek that the legislation and advice from Traffic Signs Manual Chapters 3 and 5, and the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016, is complied with. <p>In line with the above comments, we would look for this limit to be appropriate and self-enforcing with use of traffic calming and signage. There will be no expectation on police to provide enforcement which reflects the current national position on 20mph limits and zones. Our ref 134/22</p>
Support	All roads in towns should be 20mph KCC have undertaken so many but have also excluded many. Best to do them all.
Support	Safety of pedestrians, disabled users and cyclists and to encourage and facilitate travel by foot and bicycle
Support	I fully support reduced speeds in residential areas
Support	As a local Harrietsham resident I am in favour of creating a safer space around the village
Support	For the safety of pedestrians and cyclists.
Support	Lower speeds in residential areas improves the safety of pedestrians and cyclist
Support	To reduce air pollution and traffic noise and make the roads safer for pedestrians and cyclists.

Support	<p>20mph limits reduce the likelihood of crashes and the severity of injury and damage to property when crashes occur.</p> <p>20mph limits encourage active travel choices by reducing road danger.</p> <p>The proposed area is residential in nature and includes the site of the local primary school. The safety of children should be a priority for all drivers.</p>
Support	Any speed above 20mph in most of the roads is unsafe as there are pedestrians and parked cars.
Support	My wife and I regularly walk some of these roads with our grandchildren and are amazed at the speed of some of the vehicles passing along West Street especially at school times. It is also used as a rat run when the A20 is congested.
Support	These are minor roads in a village location, people often walk in the road especially in West Street as paths are narrow or not the full length. There is also a school. Children ride bikes and scooters. People will not be overly inconvenienced by this as the are small areas of residential streets. It will keep pedestrians much safer. I've already been driving at 20 along West Street for many years.
Support	West Street is a busy road with the school at the western end, approach to the station, post office and shops. Cars are usually parked on both sides of the road. I believe a reduction in the speed limit to 20mph would make the road safer for all users - pedestrians, users of mobility scooters, cyclists and motorists.
Support	I think it will make Harrietsham safer. Some of the roads have no footpaths and therefore it can feel dangerous walking along them with a pram, children or dogs.
Support	This is well overdue, the roads around harrietsham have increased traffic and speed. The road along west street in particular is dangerous at times. Especially with the school and lack of paths. This road should also be reviewed as to remove the bus route, the majority of the houses now are now occupied along the A20, and buses have difficulty passing down west street at times. Please review this also.
Support	Witnessed too many drivers speeding down west street. It's a village with children and dog walkers.
Support	The lower speed limit will reduce noise and improve safety for residents, especially along West Street. Proper enforcement is needed however. The current speed limits are not adhered to and so setting a new limit alone will not achieve the desired outcome.
Support	Safety of residents especially children and parents.
Support	I live In hook lane and some traffic speed down it and part of the lane does not have a pavement so is dangerous
Support	Most roads have narrow footpaths or none at all forcing pedestrians to walk in the road. I would personally feel safer when pushing mu son in his buggy knowing the speed limit has been reduced to 20mph. This will naturally slow traffic down.
Support	The reduction in speed limits in various residential roads around Harrietsham will create a safer environment for residents and will reduce noise pollution.
Support	I think it will reduce risk in the village.