

# The Audit Findings for Maidstone Borough Council

**Year ended 31 March 2022**

Updated version November 2023 –  
updates to the July 2023 version of  
this report are in [blue](#)



# Contents



## Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

### Sophia Brown

Key Audit Partner

T [020 7728 3179](tel:02077283179)

E [Sophia.Y.Brown@uk.gt.com](mailto:Sophia.Y.Brown@uk.gt.com)

### Trevor Greenlee

Audit Manager

T [01293 554130](tel:01293554130)

E [Trevor.Greenlee@uk.gt.com](mailto:Trevor.Greenlee@uk.gt.com)

### Joseph O'Connor

Audit in-charge

T [020 7728 2964](tel:02077282964)

E [Joseph.G.OConnor@uk.gt.com](mailto:Joseph.G.OConnor@uk.gt.com)

## Section

1. Headlines
2. Financial statements
3. Value for Money arrangements
4. Independence and ethics

## Appendices

- A. Action plan
- B. Follow up of prior year recommendations
- C. Audit adjustments
- D. Fees

## Page

- 3
- 6
- 23
- 25
  
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 40

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

This Audit Findings presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260. Its contents have been discussed with management.

Name: Sophia Brown  
For Grant Thornton UK LLP  
Date: **November 2023**

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

# 1. Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of Maidstone Borough Council (‘the Council’) and the preparation of the Council’s financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2022 for those charged with governance.

## Financial Statements

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice (‘the Code’), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the Council’s financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and its income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We are also required to report whether other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS), and the Narrative Report), is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

Our audit work is now substantially complete. The findings from our financial statements work are summarised in Section 2 of the report. [Our work is now complete, subject to closing procedures. We anticipate our audit report opinion will be unmodified.](#)

Our work to date has identified a number of misstatements above the level of which we are required to report – further details are included at Appendix C (‘Audit adjustments’).

The areas of outstanding work are:

- completing our work on PPE revaluations – we are currently unable to progress our work as, although some information has been received from the Council’s external valuer, other information requested from the valuer in March 2023 has not yet been received;

[The information requested from the external valuer was received in October 2023. The valuer’s responses identified that a number of changes were required to the valuations previously used to produce the 2021-22 financial statements. As these errors were not material either individually or in aggregate, or when considered with other unadjusted errors, management decided not to adjust the accounts for these errors. We concluded that there was no material issue for our opinion in relation to the unadjusted valuation errors. Further information is included at page 13 and Appendix C.](#)

[Temporary housing accommodation assets totalling £10.282m were last revalued in years prior to 2021-22. Our work indicated that the valuation included in the financial statements for these assets was potentially understated by a material amount. Management has decided to adjust the valuation for the temporary housing accommodation assets in the 2021-22 accounts. The impact was to increase the valuation of PPE land and buildings at 31 March 2022 by £4.887m. Further information is included at page 17 and Appendix C.](#)

- obtaining an updated report from the Council’s actuary detailing the impact of the triennial revaluation on the Council’s net pension liability disclosures as at 31 March 2022. If the impact on the financial statements is material then additional audit procedures will be required;

[A revised report was obtained by management in September 2023. The report clarified that the impact of the triennial valuation on the Council’s net pension liability was material, with an overall reduction of £7.472m in the Council’s net pension liability. Management has amended the 2021-22 financial statements in line with this change. Further information is included at page 19 and Appendix C.](#)

- reviewing management’s proposed approach for including the Council’s museum exhibits as heritage assets in the financial statements;

[Management has now performed further work on the heritage assets balance. The 2021-22 accounts have been amended to increase the valuation by £2.915m. A prior period adjustment to the 2020-21 balance is also required. We have considered management’s approach and concluded that there is no material issue for our opinion in relation to heritage assets. Further information is included at page 14 and Appendix C.](#)

# 1. Headlines

---

## Financial Statements

---

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the Council's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and its income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We are also required to report whether other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS), and the Narrative Report), is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

- resolving the remaining technical queries relating to the GT "hot review" of the financial statements;

All remaining queries have now been resolved. A number of adjustments have been agreed to disclosure notes, refer to Appendix C.

- final review and audit quality procedures;

Subject to the points below our work on the 2021-22 financial statements is now complete. Our audit opinion will be unmodified.

- reviewing a final version of the financial statements which contains all amendments, together with a final version of the Narrative Report;
- obtaining and reviewing the management letter of representation; and
- updating our post balance sheet events review to the date of signing our opinion.

We have raised recommendations for management as a result of our audit work in Appendix A. Our follow up of recommendations from the prior year's audit are detailed in Appendix B.

We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements is consistent with our knowledge of the Council and the financial statements we have audited.

Subject to the completion of the outstanding work as outlined above our anticipated audit report opinion will be unmodified.

---

# 1. Headlines

---

## Value for Money (VFM) arrangements

---

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Auditors are now required to report in more detail on the Council's overall arrangements, as well as key recommendations on any significant weaknesses in arrangements identified during the audit.

Auditors are required to report their commentary on the Council's arrangements under the following specified criteria:

- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness;
- Financial sustainability; and
- Governance

We are currently completing an assessment of the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources which covers both the 2021-22 and 2022-23 financial years.

Our work to date has not identified any risks of significant weakness in the Council's arrangements.

---

## Statutory duties

---

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') also requires us to:

- report to you if we have applied any of the additional powers and duties ascribed to us under the Act; and
- to certify the closure of the audit.

We have not exercised any of our additional statutory powers or duties.

We expect to certify the completion of the audit upon the completion of our work on the Council's VFM arrangements, which will be reported in our Annual Auditor's report.

---

## Significant matters

The Council originally published draft 2021-22 financial statements in July 2022. It was agreed that following amendments to the 2020-21 accounts and the findings of a GT technical "hot review" a revised version of accounts would be produced in January 2023. Our audit fieldwork has been performed on this revised version, and our audit findings report identifies adjustments between this revised version and the most recent amended version of the financial statements received in June 2023.

Our work on property revaluations is still in progress. We are currently unable to complete this work as, although some information has been received from the Council's external valuer, other information requested from the valuer in March 2023 has not yet been received;

The information requested from the external valuer was received in October 2023. The valuer's responses identified that a number of changes were required to the valuations previously used to produce the 2021-22 financial statements. As these errors were not material either individually or in aggregate, or when considered with other unadjusted errors, management decided not to adjust the accounts for these errors. We concluded that there was no material issue for our opinion in relation to the unadjusted valuation errors. Further information is included at page 13 and Appendix C.

# 2. Financial Statements

## Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice (‘the Code’). Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

## Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Council's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls; and
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks.

## Timeline for the 2021-22 audit

The Council originally published draft 2021-22 financial statements in July 2022.

Given the nature of the issues identified in the 2020-21 accounts our risk assessment framework required a detailed review (or “hot review”) of the 2021-22 draft accounts by our audit technical team. We shared the outcomes from this review with management in November 2022.

A series of amendments to the 2020-21 accounts agreed after July 2022 also had implications for the 2021-22 accounts. Given the issues identified by the hot review and the outcomes from our 2020-21 audit it was agreed that a revised draft of the 2021-22 accounts would be produced before the start of the 2021-22 audit.

The need to prioritise other finance team work meant that a revised draft was first made available on 11 January 2023. This initial draft excluded the Cash Flow Statement; a complete version of accounts including the Cash Flow Statement was made available on 20 February 2023. In February we identified that the January 2023 accounts did not properly reflect the analysis per the Council’s fixed asset register, and a further updated version of the accounts was produced to facilitate audit testing.

Our audit fieldwork has been performed on this January 2023 revised version of accounts, which contained a significant number of material changes to the version published in July 2022. This Audit Findings Report identifies adjustments between the January 2023 version of the financial statements and the most recent amended version of the financial statements received in June 2023. The report also takes into account a number of amendments to the June 2023 financial statements which have been agreed with management.

The overall volume of our audit work has increased in 2021-22, in part due the continuing impact of FRC requirements, changes to auditing and accounting standards, and developments in our audit approach. However, the most significant impact has arisen from the technical “hot review” process. The review has raised a series of technical issues which have required work by management to investigate the issues and provide updated working papers to explain the Council’s current or proposed approach. The technical capacity within the Council’s finance team is limited and given the competing demands on finance team time over this period it has not been possible to clear all of these queries promptly. Although an initial management assessment and agreement to responses in principle was completed by March 2023, the process of providing updated working papers for review by audit and making accounts amendments has continued through to June 2023. Progress in May and June has been limited due to management focus on 2022-23 accounts closedown and GT work on NHS accounts. Areas where technical queries are still in progress at the date of this report include issues relating to heritage assets and the accounting treatment for the acquisition of Maidstone House.

# 2. Financial Statements



## Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

In our audit plan we reported a materiality level of £1,950,000. Based on the total expenditure reported in the draft financial statements we updated our materiality to £2,049,000.

### Council amount (£) Qualitative factors considered

Materiality for the financial statements	2,049,000	This is approximately 2% of gross revenue expenditure.
Performance materiality	1,537,000	Calculated as 75% of headline materiality. This is a measure used in audit testing based upon our assessment of the likelihood of a material misstatement in the financial statements.
Trivial matters	102,000	This is 5% of materiality.
Materiality for disclosures relating to officer's remuneration and exit packages	100,000	Additional inherent sensitivity around such disclosures.



## 2. Financial statements – significant risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in our September 2022 Audit Plan.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary
<p><b>Management override of controls</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. We therefore identified management override of control as an area of significant risk. The related areas of risk include management estimates, use of journals and any significant transactions outside the Council's normal course of business.</p>	<p>To address this risk we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals;</li><li>• analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals;</li><li>• identified and tested unusual journals made during the year and the accounts production stage for appropriateness and corroboration; and</li><li>• gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied by management and considered their reasonableness.</li></ul> <p>Our audit work to date has not identified any issues in respect of this risk.</p> <p><a href="#">Our audit work is now complete. We have not identified any issues in respect of this risk.</a></p>
<p><b>Improper revenue recognition</b></p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p>	<p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;</li><li>• opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and</li><li>• the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable.</li></ul> <p>Our audit work has not identified any issues which would lead us to change our conclusion at the planning stage that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted.</p>

# 2. Financial statements – significant risks

## Risks identified in our Audit Plan

## Commentary

### Valuation of land and buildings

The Council revalues its land and buildings on a rolling five year cycle, with major assets revalued annually. This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the values involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.

We therefore identified the valuation of land and buildings as a significant risk.

To address this risk we:

- evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, including the instructions issued to the Council's external valuer and the scope of their work;
- evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the external valuer, and challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer;
- tested, on a sample basis, revaluations made during the year to ensure they had been input correctly into the Council's asset register; and
- evaluated how management concluded that the carrying value of assets not revalued was not materially misstated.

Our work in this area is still in progress. The conclusions from our work to date are reported at the section "Financial statements – key judgements and estimates".

[Our audit work is now complete. Our conclusions are reported at the section "Financial statements – key judgements and estimates".](#)

# 2. Financial statements – significant risks

## Risks identified in our Audit Plan

## Commentary

### Valuation of pension fund net liability

The Council's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements. The pension fund net liability is considered a significant estimate due to the values involved and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.

The methods applied in the calculation of the IAS 19 estimates are routine and commonly applied by all actuarial firms in line with the requirements set out in the Code of practice for local government accounting (the applicable financial reporting framework). We have therefore concluded that there is not a significant risk of material misstatement in the IAS 19 estimate due to the methods and models used in their calculation.

The source data used by the actuaries to produce the IAS 19 estimates is provided by administering authorities and employers. We do not consider this to be a significant risk as this is easily verifiable.

The actuarial assumptions used are the responsibility of the entity but should be set on the advice given by the actuary. A small change in the key assumptions (discount rate, inflation rate, salary increase and life expectancy) can have a significant impact on the estimated IAS 19 liability. We have therefore concluded that there is a significant risk of material misstatement in the IAS 19 estimate due to the assumptions used in their calculation, and have identified valuation of the Council's pension fund net liability as a significant risk.

To address this risk we:

- updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Council's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated, and evaluated the design of the associated controls;
- evaluated the instructions issued by management to the actuary as management's expert, and the scope of the actuary's work;
- assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary;
- assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Council to the actuary;
- tested the consistency of the pension fund disclosures in the financial statements with the actuary's report;
- confirmed the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and
- obtained assurances from the auditor of Kent Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data, contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements.

Our audit work to date has not identified any issues in respect of this risk.

### Triennial revaluation of the Kent Pension Fund

The Council is a scheduled body to the Kent Pension Fund. The latest triennial valuation for the Fund, which is as at 31 March 2022, has recently been published. This valuation provides updated information for the net pension liability as at 31 March 2022, particularly in respect of membership data and demographic assumptions.

As a result we have asked management to obtain a revised report from the Council's actuary detailing the impact of this updated information on the Council's net pension liability disclosures. We anticipate that the updated information will have a material impact on these disclosures and will therefore require amendments to the Council's 2021-22 financial statements.

Where there is a material impact on the financial statements additional audit work will be required to:

- obtain assurance in respect of the updated membership data;
- consider the reasonableness of any revised assumptions and estimates; and
- check the accuracy of management's adjustments to the financial statements.

[A revised report was obtained by management in September 2023. The report clarified that the impact of the triennial valuation on the Council's net pension liability was material, with an overall reduction of £7,472,000 in the Council's net pension liability. Management have therefore amended the financial statements. Further information is included at slide 19 and Appendix C.](#)

---

# 2. Financial statements – other risks

---

## Risks identified in our Audit Plan

### Fraud in expenditure recognition

As most public bodies are net spending bodies, then the risk of material misstatement due to fraud related to expenditure recognition may be greater than the risk of fraud related to revenue recognition. There is a risk the Council may manipulate expenditure to budgets and set targets and we had regard to this when planning and performing our audit procedures.

Management could defer recognition of expenditure by under-accruing for expenses that have been incurred during the period but which were not paid until after the year-end or not record expenses accurately in order to improve the financial results.

## Commentary

To address this risk we:

- inspected transactions around the end of the financial year to assess whether they had been included in the correct accounting period;
- inspected a sample of accruals made at year end for expenditure not yet invoiced to assess whether the valuation of the accrual was consistent with the value billed after the year; and
- investigated post yearend and manual journals as part of our journal testing procedures and considered if there was evidence of fraud in expenditure recognition.

Our work to date has not identified any issues in respect of this risk.

[Our audit work is now complete. We have not identified any issues in respect of this risk.](#)

---

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

This section provides commentary on key estimates and judgements in line with the enhanced requirements for auditors.

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management’s approach	Audit conclusion	Assessment
<p><b>Valuations:</b>  <b>PPE Land and Buildings:</b>                      £129,257,000  <b>Investment property:</b>                      £43,391,000  <b>Heritage assets:</b>                      £10,433,000</p>	<p>Other land and buildings comprise specialised assets such as the leisure centre and theatre which are required to be valued at depreciated replacement cost (DRC) at year end, reflecting the cost of a modern equivalent asset necessary to deliver the same service provision. Land and buildings which are not specialised in nature are required to be valued at existing use in value (EUV) at year end.</p> <p>The Council has engaged Harrisons Chartered Surveyors to complete the valuation of properties as at 31 March 2022. Management have also considered if there has been a material change in carrying value for properties not revalued during the year. Management have concluded that there has been no material change in value for these properties during 2021-22.</p> <p><b>Engagement with the Council’s external valuer</b></p> <p>Our work on revaluations involves detailed testing of valuations for the following individual assets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 26 PPE assets</li> <li>- 20 investment property assets</li> </ul> <p>This required us to obtain information from the Council’s external valuer on the underlying calculations, assumptions and source data. We first requested information from the external valuer relating to the 26 PPE assets on 21.2.23, and for the 20 investment property assets on 8.3.23. We then met with the external valuer to discuss the queries relating to PPE assets on 14.3.23. It was agreed that the remaining responses to queries would be provided promptly. However, this did not happen, despite what we understand were repeated attempts by the Council’s finance team to engage with the valuer.</p> <p>Responses relating to the 26 PPE assets were eventually received on 21.6.23. An initial review indicates that there are 8 assets for which the responses are not complete. At the date of this report no responses have been received to the queries on the 20 investment property assets first raised in March 2023.</p> <p>We will be unable to complete our work on the valuation of land and buildings until the information requested from the external valuer has been received.</p>	<p>Our work is now complete.</p> <p>We have identified a number of errors above the level which we are required to report to those charged with governance – further details are included at Appendix C.</p>	<p><b>Light purple</b></p>

**Assessment**

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management’s approach	Audit conclusion	Assessment
<p><b>Valuations:</b>  PPE Land and Buildings:  £129,257,000  Investment property:  £43,391,000  Heritage assets:  £10,433,000</p>	<p>We obtained responses from the Council’s external valuer in October 2023. The valuer’s responses identified that a number of changes were required to the valuations previously used to produce the draft 2021-22 financial statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>for a number of investment property valuations the yield information used by the external valuer in calculations was either incorrect or not up to date. As a result, investment property assets were overstated by £0.761m.</li> <li>the value included in the fixed asset register and in the financial statements for Maidstone Museum differed from the external valuer’s report. As a result, PPE assets were overstated by £0.315m.</li> <li>for a number of valuations the asset life used in the external valuer’s calculations was incorrect. As a result, PPE assets were overstated by £0.179m.</li> </ul> <p>As these errors were not material either individually or in aggregate, or when considered with other unadjusted errors, management decided not to adjust the accounts for these errors. We concluded that there was no material issue for our opinion in relation to the unadjusted valuation errors.</p>		<p><b>Light purple</b></p>

## Assessment

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

## Significant judgement or estimate

### Summary of management’s approach

### Audit conclusion

### Assessment

#### Valuation of heritage assets

The draft financial statements included a balance of £10,433,000 for heritage assets. Of this balance £9,090,000 related to museum exhibits. The CIPFA Code states that "where heritage assets are measured at valuation, the carrying amount shall be reviewed with sufficient frequency to ensure the valuations remain current". In our 2020-21 Audit Findings Report we noted that the relevant exhibits had not been revalued for many years. It was therefore unclear how far the closing valuation used in the 2020-21 financial statements remained current.

Management have reviewed this balance and the relevant underlying museum records. They have concluded that there is no clear trail to support the historic valuations for many of the museum exhibits previously included on the balance sheet. A catalogue of assets held in the Council’s Collections Management System (Adlib) has around 10,000 items for which valuations are recorded; management believe that this record should more appropriately form the basis for disclosures in the financial statements. Using this listing the valuation to be included for museum exhibits would be £14,815,000.

Management has now performed a further analysis which identified that the total of £14.815m museum exhibits included items on loan to the Museum. Excluding items not owned by the Council, the updated total for museum exhibits to be included on the Council’s balance sheet is £12.006m – an increase of £2.915m on the £9.09m heritage assets balance included in the 2021-22 draft financial statements. We agreed with management that a prior period adjustment is required. The financial statements have been amended in line with this. We have considered management’s approach and concluded there is no material issue for our opinion in relation to heritage assets.

We noted that many of the valuations on the Adlib record also date from many years ago. Management propose a rolling programme of work over 3-5 years to revalue all items over £50,000. However, we are currently discussing with management how far the current records will support the inclusion of valuations in the 2021-22 financial statements. Any material changes using information which was available in previous years may also require a prior period adjustment.

The disclosure on heritage assets includes a number of categories other than museum exhibits. We agreed that additional assets with a value of £625,000 per the Council’s records should be included under these other headings.

Management decided not to adjust the accounts to include the assets with a value of £0.625m as the amount was not material. The issue is reported at Appendix C as an unadjusted misstatement.

Our work is now complete.  
We have identified the heritage assets adjustment detailed in Appendix C and a prior period adjustment to the 2020-21 heritage assets balance is required.

**Light purple**

#### Assessment

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management’s approach	Audit conclusion	Assessment
	<p><b>Componentisation of assets</b></p> <p>The CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting (paragraph 4.1.2.43) requires that each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost shall be depreciated separately (componentisation).</p> <p>The Council does not currently have an accounting policy on asset componentisation and does not apply componentisation when depreciating its assets. This is not consistent with the Code. Typically smaller component assets such as boilers have shorter asset lives, and therefore annual depreciation on the Council’s asset base is likely to be understated.</p> <p>The size of any misstatement cannot be easily quantified. However, we noted that the Council’s largest assets are revalued annually, and that accumulated depreciation on these assets will be written off at that point. Depreciation charges are also capital transactions which are subject to adjusting entries through the Movement in Reserves Statement, and therefore are transactions which will have no impact on the General Fund balance.</p> <p>We concluded that the issue was not material for our opinion. However, we recommend that management agree an accounting policy for asset componentisation and use this as the basis for depreciation calculations in future year.</p> <p><b>Asset lives for infrastructure assets</b></p> <p>Infrastructure assets are carried at cost on the balance sheet. The Council’s policy is to depreciate infrastructure assets over 20 years. We noted that at other authorities infrastructure assets are typically depreciated over 50 years. At 31 March 2022 the Council had infrastructure assets with a gross cost of £6,455,000 and a net book value of £2,313,000.</p> <p>We noted that the Council’s infrastructure assets include two steel footbridges with a gross cost of £3,382,000. These assets were almost fully depreciated at 31 March 2022.</p>		<p><b>Light purple</b></p>

## Assessment

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial Statements – key judgements and estimates

## Significant judgement or estimate

### Summary of management’s approach

### Audit conclusion

### Assessment

We queried if these assets had a significant remaining useful life. Management advised that there is an annual technical assessment of the bridges and that this had concluded they continued to be in good condition. However, this assessment did not provide an estimate of future useful life. Management advised that obtaining this information would potentially require input from an external expert at additional cost.

Given that these assets will soon be fully depreciated the Council will need to re-assess their useful asset life at that point. We recommend the Council investigate how best to arrive at an appropriate estimate to support its depreciation calculations.

Management has determined that the two footbridges should be depreciated over a period of 50 years. The 2012-22 financial statements have been amended. The impact has been to increase the total for infrastructure assets by £1.890m.

#### Acquisition of Maidstone House

In December 2021 the Council entered in to a 199-year lease agreement for Maidstone House. The agreement involved a one-off payment of £7,070,000 on completion. There are no future payments to the lessor.

In the Council’s accounts this acquisition was treated as a purchase of Property Plant and Equipment.

We have considered this arrangement and concluded that it meets the criteria to be classified as a finance lease. We are currently discussing with management the appropriate accounting treatment for the 2021-22 accounts. We have agreed that, irrespective of the accounting treatment, additional disclosure will be required to identify the property as a finance leased asset.

Additional disclosure has now been added at Note 33 to the accounts.

Refer to amendment detailed in Appendix C.

Light purple

## Assessment

- Dark purple We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- Blue We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- Grey We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- Light purple We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial Statements – key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management’s approach	Audit conclusion	Assessment
	<p><b>Assets not revalued – temporary housing accommodation properties</b></p> <p>The balance sheet included a total of £17.090m for PPE Land and Building assets which had last been revalued in years prior to 2021-22. The Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting requires that where the basis of valuation is current value “revaluations shall be made with sufficient regularity to ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from that which would be determined using the current value at the end of the reporting period”.</p> <p>Of the assets not revalued at 31 March 2022 a total of £10.282m related to temporary housing accommodation. We noted that these assets had all been revalued at 31 March 2023, with a total valuation of £16.070m. Allowing for market movements this indicated that the valuation included in the 2021-22 financial statements was potentially understated by a material amount.</p> <p>Management decided to adjust the valuation for the temporary housing accommodation assets in the 2021-22 accounts. Following consultation with the Council’s external valuer land registry indices, based on market sales information, were applied to the valuations at 31 March 2023 to provide valuations at 31 March 2022. The impact was to increase the valuation of PPE land and buildings in the 2021-22 financial statements by £4.887m.</p> <p>We considered the valuation approach adopted by management, including the use of indices. We identified that due to an error by the external valuer the total of £4,887,000 used to adjust the 2021-22 financial statements was understated by £0.339m. As this error was not material management decided not to adjust the accounts – this is recorded in unadjusted misstatements within Appendix C. We agreed that the Council’s approach would be disclosed as a critical judgement in applying an accounting policy.</p>	<p>We considered if the carrying amount for those other assets last revalued in years prior to 2021-22 was materially different from current value. We concluded that there was no material issue for our opinion.</p>	<p><b>Light purple</b></p>

## Assessment

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management’s approach	Audit comments	Assessment																																
Net pension liability – LGPS £85,362,000	<p>At 31 March 2022 the Council has a net pension liability of £85,362,000 relating to the Local Government Pension Scheme as administered by Kent County Council.</p> <p>The Council uses an external actuary, Barnett Waddingham, to provide an actuarial valuation of the Council’s assets and liabilities under the scheme. A full valuation is required every three years. A roll forward approach is used in intervening periods. The valuations are based on key assumptions such as life expectancy, discount rates, salary growth and investment return. Given the significant value of the net pension fund liability small changes in assumptions can result in significant valuation movements.</p> <p>For 2021-22 contribution rates for the LGPS scheme continue to be based on the triennial revaluation as at 31 March 2019. A full revaluation has now been performed as at 31 March 2022.</p>	<p>We engage an auditor’s actuary to assess the work of management’s actuary and the reasonableness of the approach used. The auditors’ actuary has provided us with indicative ranges for assumptions, which we report below.</p> <p>To date our work review of the Council’s net pension liability has not identified any issues. However, we have asked management to obtain an updated report from the Council’s actuary detailing the impact of the triennial revaluation on the Council’s net pension liability disclosures as at 31 March 2022. If the impact on the 2021-22 financial statements is material additional audit procedures will be required.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assumption</th> <th>Actuary Value</th> <th>PwC range</th> <th>Within range?</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Discount rate</td> <td>2.6%</td> <td>2.55 -2.60%</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pension increase rate</td> <td>3.2%</td> <td>3.05 -3.45%</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Salary growth</td> <td>4.2% 4.3%</td> <td>CPI + 1% CPI + 1%</td> <td>✓ ✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45</td> <td>23.0</td> <td>21.9 - 24.4</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>aged 65</td> <td>21.6</td> <td>20.5 – 23.1</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45</td> <td>25.1</td> <td>24.9 - 26.4</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>aged 65</td> <td>23.7</td> <td>23.4 – 25.0</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Within range?	Discount rate	2.6%	2.55 -2.60%	✓	Pension increase rate	3.2%	3.05 -3.45%	✓	Salary growth	4.2% 4.3%	CPI + 1% CPI + 1%	✓ ✓	Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45	23.0	21.9 - 24.4	✓	aged 65	21.6	20.5 – 23.1	✓	Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45	25.1	24.9 - 26.4	✓	aged 65	23.7	23.4 – 25.0	✓	Light purple
Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Within range?																																
Discount rate	2.6%	2.55 -2.60%	✓																																
Pension increase rate	3.2%	3.05 -3.45%	✓																																
Salary growth	4.2% 4.3%	CPI + 1% CPI + 1%	✓ ✓																																
Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45	23.0	21.9 - 24.4	✓																																
aged 65	21.6	20.5 – 23.1	✓																																
Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45	25.1	24.9 - 26.4	✓																																
aged 65	23.7	23.4 – 25.0	✓																																

## Assessment

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

# 2. Financial statements – key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Audit comments	Assessment
Net pension liability – LGPS £85,362,000	<p><b>Triennial revaluation of the Council’s net pension liability</b></p> <p>The latest triennial valuation for Kent Pension Fund was published in late 2022. This valuation, which is at 31 March 2022, provides updated information for the net pension liability on the Council’s balance sheet, particularly in respect of membership data and demographic assumptions.</p> <p>We considered that the triennial valuation would contain information better reflecting the conditions that existed as at 31 March 2022. We therefore requested management to obtain a revised report from the Council’s actuary, detailing what impact this updated information had on the Council’s net pension liability disclosures at 31 March 2022.</p> <p>A revised report was obtained by management in September 2023. The report clarified that the impact of the triennial valuation on the Council’s net pension liability was material, with the Council’s share of the Pension Fund assets increasing by £1.353m and its share of the Pension Fund liabilities decreasing by £6.119m, leading to an overall reduction of £7.472m in the Council’s net pension liability. Management has amended the 2021-22 financial statements in line with these changes.</p> <p>We have now completed our work to review the updated actuary’s report and the amendments to the accounts. We have concluded there are no material issues for our opinion in relation to the net pension liability.</p>	Light purple

**Assessment**

- **Dark purple** We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- **Blue** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- **Grey** We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management’s estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- **Light purple** We consider management’s process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

## 2. Financial statements – other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with management. We have not been made aware of any significant incidents in the period. No other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation has been requested from the Council.
Confirmation requests from third parties	We seek external confirmations from relevant banks and financial institutions to support our review of the Council's year-end cash and investment balances. For one balance we did not receive a response and used alternative procedures to obtain the assurance required for our opinion purposes. We received positive confirmation for all other balances.
Accounting practices	We have evaluated the appropriateness of the Council's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
Audit evidence and explanations/ significant difficulties	At the date of this report we have not obtained all of the information requested from the Council's external valuer. <a href="#">The information requested from the external valuer was received in October 2023.</a>

# 2. Financial Statements – other communication requirements



## Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK) 570).

Issue	Commentary
Going concern	<p>In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Council recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies. Practice Note 10 sets out the following key principles for the consideration of going concern for public sector entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• the use of the going concern basis of accounting is not a matter of significant focus of the auditor's time and resources because the applicable financial reporting frameworks envisage that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity's services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist, and so a straightforward and standardised approach for the consideration of going concern will often be appropriate for public sector entities; and</li><li>• for many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the reporting entity and the services it provides is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis of accounting. Our consideration of the Council's financial sustainability is addressed by our value for money work, which is covered elsewhere in this report.</li></ul> <p>Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the Council meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In doing so, we have considered and evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• the nature of the Council and the environment in which it operates;</li><li>• the Council's financial reporting framework;</li><li>• the Council's system of internal control for identifying events or conditions relevant to going concern; and</li><li>• management's going concern assessment.</li></ul> <p>On the basis of this work, we have obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to enable us to conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified; and</li><li>• management's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.</li></ul>

## 2. Financial statements – other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Other information	<p>We are required to give an opinion on whether the other information published together with the audited financial statements including the Narrative Report is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.</p> <p>Our work to date has not identified any inconsistencies. Subject to the completion of all outstanding work we plan to issue an unmodified opinion in this respect.</p>
Matters on which we report by exception	<p>We are required to report on a number of matters by exception in a number of areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• if the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with disclosure requirements set out in CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit;</li> <li>• if we have applied any of our statutory powers or duties; and/or</li> <li>• where we are not satisfied in respect of arrangements to secure value for money and have reported a significant weakness.</li> </ul> <p>Subject to the completion of our work on the Council’s arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources we have nothing to report on these matters.</p>
Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts	<p>We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions.</p> <p>We have confirmed that the Council does not exceed the thresholds specified by the National Audit Office for 2021-22. As such no detailed work will be required.</p>
Certification of the closure of the audit	<p>We intend to delay the certification of the closure of the 2021-22 audit as our VFM work is not yet complete.</p>



# 3. Value for Money arrangements

## Approach to Value for Money work for 2021-22

The National Audit Office issued its guidance for auditors in April 2020. The Code requires auditors to consider whether the body has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

When reporting on these arrangements, the Code requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under the three specified reporting criteria.



### Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Arrangements for improving the way the body delivers its services. This includes arrangements for understanding costs and delivering efficiencies and improving outcomes for service users.



### Financial Sustainability

Arrangements for ensuring the body can continue to deliver services. This includes planning resources to ensure adequate finances and maintain sustainable levels of spending over the medium term (3-5 years).



### Governance

Arrangements for ensuring that the body makes appropriate decisions in the right way. This includes arrangements for budget setting and management, risk management, and ensuring the body makes decisions based on appropriate information.

## Potential types of recommendations

A range of different recommendations could be made following the completion of work on the body's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, which are as follows:



### Statutory recommendation

Written recommendations to the body under Section 24 (Schedule 7) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. A recommendation under schedule 7 requires the body to discuss and respond publicly to the report.



### Key recommendation

The Code of Audit Practice requires that where auditors identify significant weaknesses in arrangements to secure value for money they should make recommendations setting out the actions that should be taken by the body. We have defined these recommendations as 'key recommendations'.



### Improvement recommendation

These recommendations, if implemented should improve the arrangements in place at the body, but are not made as a result of identifying significant weaknesses in the body's arrangements

---

# 3. VFM – our procedures and conclusions

We are currently completing our assessment of the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Our assessment covers both the 2021-22 and 2022-23 financial years. As we have not yet completed all of our VFM work we are not in a position to issue our Auditor's Annual Report.

As part of our work we consider whether there are any risks of significant weakness in the Council's arrangements. We have not identified any risks of significant weakness in the Council's arrangements from our work to date.

---

# 4. Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix D.

## Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see [Transparency report 2020 \(grantthornton.co.uk\)](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk).

# 4. Independence and ethics

## Audit and non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council. The following non-audit services were identified as well as the threats to our independence and safeguards that have been applied to mitigate these threats.

Service	Fees £	Threats identified	Safeguards	
<b>Audit related</b>				
Certification of Housing Benefit Subsidy Claim	Fees relating to financial year 2021-22	18,400	Self-interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is not considered a significant threat to independence as the planned fee for this work in 2021-22 is £18,400, and the total fees either relating to 2021-22 or invoiced in the audit period are £51,400, in comparison to the planned fee for the audit of £64,666 and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's overall turnover. Further, in each year the fee for this work is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level.  The self-review threat is mitigated by the materiality of the amounts involved relative to our opinion. We also note that the Council has informed management who will decide whether to amend the claim for our findings and who will agree the accuracy of the report we issue as the Reporting Accountant.
	Fees relating to 2020-21 invoiced in the audit period	25,000		
	Fees relating to 2022-23 invoiced in the audit period	8,000	Self-review (because GT provides audit services)	

# Appendices

# A. Action plan – Audit of financial statements

We have identified recommendations for the Council as a result of issues identified during the course of our audit. We have agreed our recommendations with management and we will report on progress on these recommendations during the course of the 2021-22 audit. The matters reported here are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the course of our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you in accordance with auditing standards.

Assessment	Issue and risk	Recommendations
High	The Council does not currently have an accounting policy on asset componentisation and does not apply componentisation when depreciating its assets.	<p>We recommend that management agree an accounting policy for asset componentisation and use this as the basis for depreciation calculations in future years.</p> <p><b>Management response</b> <i>Response to follow</i></p>
Medium	The Council's infrastructure assets include two steel footbridges which are almost fully depreciated as at 31 March 2022. We understand that the most recent technical assessment of the bridges has concluded that they continue to be in good condition, but did not provide an estimate of future useful life.	<p>As these assets will soon be fully depreciated the Council will need to re-assess their useful asset life at that point. We recommend the Council investigate how best to arrive at an appropriate estimate to support its depreciation calculations.</p> <p><b>Management response</b> Management have now decided that the two footbridges should be depreciated over a period of 50 years. The 2021-22 accounts have been amended. The impact has been to increase the total for infrastructure assets by £1.890m.</p>

## Controls

- High – Significant effect on financial statements
- Medium – Limited effect on financial statements
- Low – Best practice

# B. Follow up of prior year recommendations

We identified the following issues in the audit of the Council's 2020-21 financial statements, which resulted in recommendations being reported in our 2020-21 Audit Findings report. We record here our follow-up on the implementation of these recommendations.

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
✓	<p>The statutory accounting requirements per the CIPFA code include the use of a Capital Grants Unapplied Account. The Council does not include a Capital Grants Unapplied Account in its financial statements.</p> <p>We recommended that the value of transactions meeting the criteria to be accounted for as capital grants unapplied is assessed as part of closedown procedures in future years, Where transactions meeting the criteria are identified then these should be processed through a capital grants unapplied account.</p>	<p>Management performed an assessment to identify balances meeting the criteria for classification as capital grants unapplied as part of yearend closedown procedures. As the balance was not material the accounts were not adjusted.</p>
✓	<p>Management presented its draft financial statements to the 28 July 2021 Audit Governance and Standards Committee. In practice further work was required to finalise the accounting entries in respect of property, plant and equipment. A revised version of accounts with material changes relating to these disclosures was published on 29 July 2021.</p> <p>We recommend that the Council reviews its closedown timetable for 2021-22 to minimise the risk that the financial statements will need be re-published.</p>	<p>The Council published its draft accounts on 18 July 2022. The 2021-22 financial statements have not been re-published.</p>
✓	<p>The balance for heritage assets included £9,090,000 representing the value of museum exhibits which were last formally valued on 1 April 2011. The CIPFA Code states that "where heritage assets are measured at valuation, the carrying amount shall be reviewed with sufficient frequency to ensure the valuations remain current". It is unclear how far the valuation used in the 2020-21 financial statements remains current.</p> <p>We recommend that management should perform sufficient work to satisfy themselves that the valuation for the museum exhibits included in the financial statements remains current. This may also be relevant for insurance purposes.</p>	<p>Management have reviewed this balance and the relevant underlying museum records. They have concluded that there is no clear trail to support the historic valuations for many of the museum exhibits previously included on the balance sheet.</p> <p>Management now propose to update the figure in the financial statements using information from the Council's Collections Management System. However, many of the valuations recorded on this system also date from many years ago and therefore it is unclear if they are current. We are discussing with management how far the records per the Collections Management System will support the inclusion of valuations in the 2021-22 financial statements.</p> <p>Management have now updated the total for heritage assets in the financial statements, increasing the balance by £2,915,000. We have considered management's approach and concluded that there is no material issue for our opinion in relation to heritage assets.</p>

Assessment ✓ Action completed X Not yet addressed

# C. Audit adjustments

We are required to report all non-trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

## Impact of adjusted misstatements

All adjusted misstatements are set out in detail below along with the impact on the key statements and the reported net expenditure for the year ending 31 March 2022.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Balance Sheet £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000
Long term Debtors		(2,130)	
Short term Debtors		2,130	
A calculation to split debtors between short and long term was incorrect leading to an overstatement of the long-term debtor balance and an understatement of the short-term creditor balance by £2.13m.			
PPE		(1,109)	
Investment Property		1,109	
An amount of £1,109k relating to Springfield Mill had been classified as PPE Assets Under Construction but should have been classified under Investment Property.			
PPE		(106)	
Investment property		106	
Due to a posting error an amount of £106k had been classified as PPE Assets Under Construction but should have been classified under Investment Property.			
Carry forward total	0	0	0

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of adjusted misstatements (continued)

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Balance Sheet £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000
Total (b/f)	0	0	0
Heritage Assets		2,915	
Revaluation reserve		(2,915)	
Supporting trails could not be provided to support the balance for heritage assets included in the draft financial statements. Management have now updated the total valuation using information from the Maidstone Museum cataloguing system. The impact is to increase the balance for heritage assets by £2,195,000.	2,915		2,915
Infrastructure assets		1,890	
Capital adjustment Account		(1,890)	
It was agreed that two footbridges, previously depreciated over 20 years, would be more properly depreciated over 50 years. The impact was to increase the total for infrastructure assets by £1,890,000.	1,890		1,890
Capital Grants Received in Advance		(1,172)	
Capital Grants Unapplied		1,172	
We agreed that an amount of £1,172,000 which had been classified as Capital Grants Received in Advance should be accounted for as Capital Grants Unapplied.			
<b>Carry forward total</b>	<b>4,805</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,805</b>

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of adjusted misstatements (continued)

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Balance Sheet £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000
Total (b/f)	4,805	0	4,805
CIES: Cost of Services	1,259		
CIES: Taxation & Non-Specific Grant Income	(1,259)		
<p>Covid 19 grants totalling £1.259m had been accounted for as specific grants but should have been accounted for as non ring-fenced grants and therefore disclosed at the line for Taxation &amp; Non-Specific Grant Income.</p>			
PPE	4,887	4,887	4,887
Revaluation Reserve		(4,707)	
Capital Adjustment Account		(180)	
<p>Valuations for the Council's temporary housing properties had not been updated as at 31.3.22. It was agreed that the valuations for these assets should be updated. The impact was to increase the balance for PPE assets by £4,844,000.</p>			
Carry forward total	9,692	0	9,692

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of adjusted misstatements (continued)

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Balance Sheet £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000
<b>Total (b/f)</b>	9,692	0	9,692
Net pension liability		(7,472)	
Pensions Reserve		7,472	
	(7,472)		(7,472)
<p>Management obtained a revised report from the Council's actuary detailing the impact of the triennial revaluation of the Kent Pension Fund at 31.3.22 on the Council's net pension liability. The impact was to reduce the Council's net pension liability by £7,472,000.</p>			
<b>Total</b>	2,220	0	2,220

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of unadjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2021-22 audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit, Governance and Standards Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Statement of Financial Position £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000	Reason for not adjusting
PPE Revaluation Reserve	201	(201) 201	201	Management consider the issue is not material. Error corrected in 2022-23 GL
We identified 11 assets where the value included on the fixed asset register and in the financial statements differed from the external valuer's report. In aggregate PPE assets were overstated by £201,000.				
PPE Revaluation Reserve	315	(315) 315	315	Management consider the issue is not material
The value included on the fixed asset register and in the financial statements for Maidstone Museum differed from the external valuer's report. As a result PPE assets were overstated by £315,000.				
PPE Revaluation Reserve	179	(179) 179	179	Management consider the issue is not material
For a number of valuations the asset life used in the external valuer's calculations was incorrect. As a result PPE assets were overstated by £179,000.				
<b>Overall impact (c/f)</b>	<b>695</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>695</b>	

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of unadjusted misstatements (continued)

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2021-22 audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit, Governance and Standards Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Statement of Financial Position £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000	Reason for not adjusting
Overall impact (b/f)	695		695	
PPE Revaluation Reserve		339 (339)		Management consider the issue is not material.
	(339)		(339)	
Due to an error by the external valuer the valuation for temporary housing assets included in the financial statements was understated by £339,000.				
Investment Property Capital Adjustment account		(761) 761		Management consider the issue is not material
	761		761	
For a number of investment property valuations the yield information used by the external valuer in calculations was either incorrect or not up to date. As a result investment property assets were overstated by £761,000.				
Heritage assets Revaluation Reserve		625 (625)		Management consider the issue is not material
	(625)		(625)	
Valuations for four heritage assets were omitted from the financial statements. As a result heritage assets were understated by £625,000.				
Overall impact (c/f)	492	0	492	

# C. Audit adjustments

## Impact of unadjusted misstatements (continued)

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the 2021-22 audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit, Governance and Standards Committee is required to approve management's proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £000	Statement of Financial Position £000	Impact on total net expenditure £000	Reason for not adjusting
Overall impact (b/f)	492	0	492	
Capital Grants Receipts in Advance		391		
Grant Income	(391)			
Revenue Appropriation	391			
Capital Grants unapplied		(391)		Management consider the issue is not material. Error corrected in 2022-23 GL
The financial statements include a balance of £9,000,000 for Capital Grants Received in Advance. An amount of £391,000 included in this balance should have been classified as Capital Grants Unapplied.				
Overall impact	492	0	492	

## Impact of prior year unadjusted misstatements

The only prior period adjustment relates to a valuation error; the valuation for one investment property (Parkwood - Integra) was understated by £211,000. As this property has been revalued in 2021-22 there is no impact to be considered for our work on the 2021-22 financial statements.

# C. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non-trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

## Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure issue	Adjusted?
<b>Note 1 Expenditure &amp; Funding Analysis</b> The EFA provides a reconciliation between the financial outturn reported to members and the closing General Fund balance per the CIES. On the EFA the financial outturn reported to members is at the column "Net expenditure chargeable to General Fund". Although the total at this column was correct, the analysis in the column was incorrect, as total expenditure at the line for "Communities Housing and Environment" had been misstated by £1,687,000 with an off-setting misstatement at the line for "Other Income & Expenditure".	✓
<b>Note 1 Expenditure and income analysed by nature</b> This note includes totals for gross income and gross expenditure which should reconcile to the CIES. Although the net total ("surplus/deficit on the provision of services") did agree to the CIES, there were unreconciled differences at the level of gross income and gross expenditure of approximately £300,000.	X
<b>Note 15 Capital Grants received in advance</b> At note 15 the lines "Grants received" and "Funding used for Capital Expenditure" are understated by £137k. There was no net impact on the balance for capitals grants received in advance.	✓
<b>Note 16 Related Parties</b> The note disclosure stated that the amount payable from Maidstone Property Holdings at yearend was £359k. The correct value was £545k.	X
<b>Note 17 Property, Plant and Equipment</b> Upon reconciling the external valuers report to the Fixed Asset Register we identified one asset (Trinity Foyer) with a value of £1.4m which had been misclassified as operational land and buildings (OLB) as the valuer had deemed this asset still under construction (AUC) at yearend. No impact on final year-end closing Total PPE balance – adjustment to the OLB and AUC classification totals was required.	✓

# C. Audit Adjustments

Disclosure issue	Adjusted?
<b>Note 18 Investment properties</b> As series of adjustments were required to the analysis in the columns for “Investment Properties” and “Assets Under Construction”. In the column for “Investment Properties” an amount of £1,903,000 originally classified as Additions should have been disclosed under Transfers. In the column for “Assets Under Construction” the amounts disclosed at the lines for Additions and Transfers had both been misstated by £2,337,000.	✓
<b>Note 20 Financial Instruments</b> We identified that management had incorrectly used the ‘premature repayment rate’ when computing the fair value of long-term loans. The rate that should have been used was the ‘new loans rate’. As a result the fair value for loans disclosed at Note 20 was overstated by £1.4m.	✓
<b>Note 20 Financial Instruments and Note 23 Debtors</b>  At Note 23 Debtors the total at the line “Other entities and individuals” had been overstated by £1,339,000 and other lines understated by an equivalent amount.  This error also had an impact on Note 20 Financial Instruments, where the total for financial assets had been overstated by £1,339,000.	✓
<b>Note 25 Creditors</b>  Note 25 included a line for “Other local authorities” – this has been amended to “Other public sector bodies”. Amounts due to Kent CC had been included at the line “Other entities and individuals”. It was agreed these amounts would more properly be disclosed at the line for “Other public sector bodies”. The amounts involved are as follows:  “Other public sector bodies” Increase by c. £9m in PY and £14m in CY “Other entities and individuals” reduced by c. £9m in PY and £14m in CY	✓

# C. Audit Adjustments

Disclosure omission	Adjusted?
Note 26 Provisions In the draft accounts the total per the disclosure note for provisions did not agree to the balance sheet. Amendment agreed to the disclosure note increasing the total by £168k.	✓
The financial statements were first published in July 2022. Our audit work was performed on a revised set of accounts received in January 23. We noted that the following disclosures were not updated from previous versions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Note 31: Capital expenditure and capital financing</li><li>Note 35: Cash Flow Statement – Adjustments made to Net Surplus or deficit on the provision of services for non-cash movements.</li></ul>	✓
<b>Note 35 and 36 Cash Flow statement</b> At these notes the following prior year figures were incorrect; Note 35 - Derecognition of NCA – reported value = £3851k, correct value = 5805k Note 36 - Capital Grants credited to surplus - reported value = £5791k , correct value = £5051k Note 36 - Proceeds from sale of PPE - reported value = £1962k , correct value = £3551k	✓
Various minor changes to amounts and narrative at other disclosure notes	✓

# D. Fees

We set out below our fees charged for the audit and the provision of non-audit services.

<b>Audit fees</b>	<b>Proposed fee £</b>	<b>Final fee £</b>
Council audit	£64,666*	TBC
<b>Total audit fees (excluding VAT)</b>	<b>£64,666</b>	<b>TBC</b>

The proposed fee for the audit reconciles to Note 14 in the financial statements..

\*This original proposed fee excludes our work to assess the impact of the Kent Pension Fund triennial revaluation on the pensions disclosures in the financial statements. The additional fee associated with this work will be £6000.

Our final fee will be subject to agreement by Public Sector Audit Appointments.

<b>Non-audit fees for other services</b>	<b>Proposed fee</b>	<b>Final fee</b>
Housing benefit subsidy claim	£18,400	TBC
<b>Total non-audit fees (excluding VAT)</b>	<b>£18,400</b>	<b>TBC</b>

At Note 14 the total disclosed for “Fees payable for the certification of grant claims and returns during the year” is £25,000. This represents

- additional fees of £3,000 for work on the 2020-21 housing benefit subsidy claim which were not previously accrued.
- an accrual of £22,000 (management estimate of the final fee) for work on the 2021-22 housing benefit subsidy claim.

We also report other non-audit fees invoiced in the period April 2021 – November 2023, but which so not relate to the 2021-22 financial year:

Housing benefit subsidy claim 2020-21: Fee invoiced September 2022	£25,000
Housing benefit subsidy claim 2022-23: Fee instalment invoiced October 2023	£8,000



---

[grantthornton.co.uk](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk)

© 2023 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.