

APPENDIX 1

Date Complaint Received	Subject Member Capacity		Subject Matter of Complaint	Detail of the Complaint	Final Decision
	MBC Councillor	Parish			
Mar-23		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Compromising Integrity * Disrepute * Improperly confer an advantage 	The subject member made bullying and defamatory statements about the complainant.	<p>Complaint failed the following local assessment criteria:</p> <p>1.4(d) - The complaint is malicious, trivial, politically motivated or 'tit-for-tat'. 1.4(e) - The Complainant is unreasonably persistent, malicious and/or vexatious. 1.4(h) - The alleged misconduct took place so long ago that the complaint should not be pursued.</p>
Mar-23		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Disrepute * Improperly confer an advantage 	During a meeting, the subject member was heard making derogatory comments towards the complainant in relation to parish procedure.	<p>Complaint failed the following legal jurisdiction test:</p> <p>1.2(f) - The complaint is about dissatisfaction with the Borough or Parish Council's decisions, policies and priorities</p>
Apr-23		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Misuse of Council resources * Bullying * Compromising Integrity * Disclose confidential information * Disrepute 	Allegations made in relation to running of the Parish Council's social media	<p>Complaint failed the following local assessment criteria:</p> <p>1.4(c) - No or insufficient information/evidence to substantiate the complaint has been submitted by the complainant.</p>
Sep-23		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Bullying * Compromising Integrity * Disclose confidential information * Disrepute * Intimidation 	Allegation that an email sent by the Clerk was libellous.	<p>Complaint failed the following legal jurisdiction tests:</p> <p>1.2(c) – was the person complained of acting in an official capacity at the time of the alleged conduct; and 1.2(f) – the complaint is about dissatisfaction with the Parish Council's decisions, policies and priorities etc.</p> <p>The MO does not have the remit to consider complaints against council officers. Recommended that complaint be submitted to the Deputy Clerk , who should then consider the complaint in accordance with the Parish Council's complaints procedure.</p>
Dec-23		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Bullying * Disrepute 	Complainant alleged that subject member was rude to another councillor at a parish meeting.	<p>Complaint failed the following local assessment criteria:</p> <p>1.4(c) No or insufficient information/evidence to substantiate the complaint has been submitted by the Complainant; and 1.4(f) The complaint is relatively minor and dealing with the complaint would have a disproportionate effect on both public money and officers' and Members' time;</p>
Feb-24		4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Bullying * Compromising Integrity * Disrepute * Intimidation 	All four complaints concerned a particular meeting of the Council and various emails that followed.	<p>Complaint failed the following legal jurisdiction test:</p> <p>1.2(f) - The complaint is about dissatisfaction with the Borough or Parish Council's decisions, policies and priorities.</p> <p>Complaint also failed the following local assessment criteria:</p> <p>1.4(i) - The complaint is such that it is unlikely that an investigation will be able to come to a firm conclusion on the matter, e.g. where there is no firm evidence on the matter;</p>