MAIDSTONE BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISION OF THE CABINET MEMBER FOR

ENVIRONMENT

Decision Made: 28 November 2008

AWARD OF PARK AND RIDE BUS SERVICE CONTRACT

Issue for Decision

To consider the award of a contract for the operation of the Park and Ride bus service.

Decision Made

That, following the approval by the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services, of:-

- health and safety documentation
- insurance policies
- financial checks and references
- environmental / sustainability forms

from Arriva being satisfactory, the tender submitted by Arriva (Variant Option 3 with the 506 relief) be accepted.

Reasons for decision

On 23rd June 2008 I agreed the recommended procedure for tendering for the provision of Park and Ride bus services through a restricted tendering process following the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU) guidelines.

Pre-Qualification Process

Following advertisement of the tender, expressions of interest were received from fourteen organizations, all of whom were issued with Pre Qualification Questionnaire's (PQQ's). Ten companies returned PQQ's which were then evaluated against an agreed matrix, to ensure they were suitably qualified and experienced to operate the service/s. Out of a potential maximum of 100, the scores (in ascending order) were;-63, 64, 70, 70, 70, 72, 72, 75, 84 and 87. The two contractors scoring the lowest marks were not considered to have enough experience to meet the required standard and advised accordingly. The remaining eight were issued with Invitations to tender.

However, under the terms of the 1985 Transport Act, the Council is legally required to acknowledge commercial registrations such as the one made by one Tenderer. Legal advice has therefore been sought to ascertain what, if any, account the Council should take of this given that the Arriva proposal variant represents best value. (This advice is set out in Exempt Appendix B to the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.)

Introduction and General Description of the Service

Everyday 35,966 people commute into the Borough to work and in addition, there are a substantial number of people who come to shop, as Maidstone is the largest traditional shopping centre in Kent. These are the potential customers for using the Park and Ride Service. In order to provide adequate parking and reduce congestion,

the Council operates three park and ride sites listed below. Surveys show that the public are very satisfied with the service.

Site	<u>Spaces</u>
Sittingbourne Road Willington Road	438
London Road	400 518

In October 2006 Maidstone was named a Growth Point by the government. Over the next 20 years the Borough will grow by 10,080 houses. Ensuring that housing growth is balanced by employment growth is a key part of the Borough Council's aim to create sustainable communities and increase prosperity.

The Council is actively exploring the possibility of additional park and ride sites on all of the main roads into the town. Some of these sites could be provided in the short to medium term during the life of this contract.

Tender Process

An 'open day' was held to inform potential bidders. This was designed to ensure that responses were optimized by helping bidders be clear about our requirements and to ensure that the tender specification benefited from emerging practice, innovations and appreciated the drivers of cost and quality. It was also intended to stimulate the market and focus the responses. These aims were successfully achieved and the resultant bids were of higher quality as a result. Nine providers attended.

Due to the estimated value of this contract, it was subject to OJEU tendering regulations. A notice inviting expressions of interest to complete a Restricted Tender Process was submitted on 4^{th} July 2008 with responses from interested parties to be received by 13:00 on 8^{th} August 2008.

A short list of 8 companies were sent the Invitation To Tender Documentation. 6 tenders were returned before the deadline and were opened by me on the 9th October 2008. The results of the tenders are set out in Exempt Appendix A of the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.

In relation to the Arriva Variant 3 bid, this would operate on the basis of a dedicated Park and Ride, buses operating to all three sites during peak periods. In the off peak, including Saturday, dedicated Park and Ride buses would operate to Willington Street and Sittingbourne Road Park and Ride sites, but London Road would be served by the No. 71 bus from Snodland which would stop at the site.

The Arriva Variant 3 bid did not include a relief bus. The reason why a relief bus is operated in the peak period every day is because of loading times of the bus and possible delays caused by traffic congestion. The possible delays cause the service provision to become unstable. If the service were operated by a double decker bus, it would overcome any capacity issue but would not resolve delays caused by loading and congestion. For the reason of the quality of the service provision, I have accepted the Arriva Variant 3 bid with the 506 peak relief. This, whilst it is not the lowest bid, will still result in a considerable saving to the Council and provide a better quality service than just the Variant 3 bid.

The income to the Council is from the revenue of all on bus & off bus ticket sales. Therefore the cost to the Council is the tender price minus the income. In variant 2 from one Tenderer, they have proposed that they keep all of the revenue from ticket sales, which is why their tender price is low and there is no income figure shown in Table 1 in Exempt Appendix A to the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.

The proposal from one Tenderer only covered the 506 Service, this has been evaluated and included in Tables 2 and 3 in Exempt Appendix A of the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services. Their bid document indicated that they have registered to operate the other two routes (501 and 503) on a commercial basis from the 1st February 2009. Advice was sought from Counsel on this subject, as to how the proposal affected the future service provisions by the Council. Counsel's advice and a summary from the Legal Department is set out in Exempt Appendix B to the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.

Evaluation Process

An evaluation panel was formed consisting of Steve Trigg, Alasdair Robertson, Clive Cheeseman and Simon Logan to assess the tender responses and score them against pre-defined criteria.

Quality and Price were weighted at 40% and 60% respectively. The tender price was calculated using the following formula:

[(lowest cost to Council/tenderer's cost to Council)x0.6] \times 100. Where 0.6 is the percentage weighting for price.

The qualitative assessment considered their appreciation of issues related to the operation of the park and ride sites including routes and timetables, facilities provided, quality of buses, branding and promotion of the Council, access, CO2 emissions, reduction in car usage, impact on town centre, income and revenue, willingness to share revenue risk, service development, business continuity and track record. Two panel members assessed the quality aspects of each tender and two calculated the values for the price aspect with the resulting scores set out in Table 2 in Exempt Appendix A to the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.

Highest Scoring Company

The highest scoring company was Arriva with their Variant Option 3 which scored strongly on quality and very strongly on price.

Arithmetical Check

All submissions received were checked for arithmetical errors, and found to be correct.

Questionnaire Forms from Arriva

The Environmental Questionnaire has been passed to the Environmental Services Development Officer.

The Equalities Form has been approved.

The Health and Safety documents and completed questionnaire have been sent to the Health and Safety Officer for approval.

The Insurance Certificates have been passed to Corporate Finance for approval.

References

The Financial Reference has been passed to Corporate Finance for approval.

Three satisfactory trade references have been provided for Arriva.

Alternatives considered and why rejected

Background Papers

It would be possible to allow the existing contract to expire and cease the operation of Park and Ride. Such an approach would result in increased vehicular traffic in the Town Centre with a concomitant effect on congestion and pollution. It was therefore not recommended that this approach is taken.

It would be possible to accept an alternative Tender. Whilst the Arriva Variant 3 bid with the 506 relief bus achieves a lower score in Table 3 in terms of price than Variant 3, it scores more highly in terms of quality. It was therefore recommended that alternative bids are not pursued.

None	
These o	locuments are available at the Council offices
Signed:	Councillor Wooding Cabinet Member for Environment
Date:	25/11/2006

Should you be concerned about this decision and wish to call it in, please submit a call in form signed by any two Non-Executive Members to the Scrutiny Manager by: **5 December 2008**