To:	Scr	utiny	Mar	nager
-----	-----	-------	-----	-------

CALL IN FORM

Ι	bluow	like	to	call in	the	decision	as	detailed	below:
_	Would	IIICC	LU	Cun m	LIIC	uccision	us	actanea	DCIOW.

			1.5		
Decision	making	body	or ind	ividual	
		~~~	01 1110	rraaar	

CABINET

**Decision** made

SEE ATTACHED

Date decision made

10th DECEMBER 2008

Reason for calling in the decision

SERIOUS CONCERNS ABOUT THE OF EVILONCE, BOTH FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL, TO SUPPORT THE SELECTED OPTION.

# Desired Outcome

R comprehensus rethank in which the quarkous passed	
and stolerants made in the Cabural report (Fir exas	alla
1.3.8 page 57) which have not been addressed are sobrefactorily answered. That the lack of connection	1
solvefectorily anguered. That the lack of cornection	İ
behind the comprehensive feasibility shidy of Morch 20	20g
and the cateinal report, particularly in terris of work	or prince
Desired Witnesses	

Leader of the Genard Chris Garland.
Cataired mentas Marian Ring
Which Brian Margan.

Members calling in decision Signed

1. MIKE FITZ GERANDS

1. MAMAS.

2. FRAN WILSON

2. fran Wilson

Overview and Scrutiny Committee responsible for examining this decision

. たさいらい。 Overview and Scrutiny Committee

" conditions, impact upon overall predicted cost of equipment not you decided upon and possible response from English Heidogs of Planning in relation to works to existing site are fully addressed.

# MAIDSTONE BOROUGH COUNCIL RECORD OF DECISION OF THE CABINET

Decision Made: 10 December 2008

# **CCTV - OPERATIONS APPRAISAL**

#### **Issue for Decision**

To consider the outcome of the appraisals for the location of the CCTV control room resulting from the Best Value Review of CCTV.

#### **Decision Made**

- 1. That the CCTV control room should remain at its current location, and
  - (i) that the recording and monitoring equipment should be replaced where appropriate and that refurbishment takes place to improve:
    - ventilation
    - fire suppression
    - facilities for staff
    - health and safety

and

- (ii) that a back up facility be provided at an appropriate location
- be funded from within the sum of £600,000 allocated in the capital programme.
- 2. That a camera replacement programme be instituted to the value of £100K.

#### Reasons for decision

On 14 November 2007 Cabinet received a report regarding an operations appraisal following Best Value Review of CCTV. A copy of the decision notice is attached at Appendix A of the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services.

The Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services was not intended to be a rerun of the best value review but to consider the costs arising from the actions taken from the Cabinet's decision on 14 November 2007.

The Best Value Study reported that the cost of rebuilding and extending the CCTV Control Room in its current location was £602,403. This figure included replacing the equipment in the Control Room. At the time of the Best Value Review, £750,000 was in the capital programme. It was recommended that the difference

between the cost of £602,403 and the budget of £750,000 was used for camera replacement. Subsequently a further £50,000 was added to the capital programme for camera replacement. There is at present £820,000 in the capital programme for the creation of a new centre, replacement equipment and camera replacement.

The External Scrutiny Committee considered the Best Value Study on the  $14^{th}$  November 2007 and requested that 'the current health and safety issues regarding the CCTV room be assessed and instigated'. A copy of the decision is attached at Appendix B to the Report of the Assistant Director of Development and Community Services. It was for this reason that a further feasibility study was carried out. This study, which was reported in March 2008, projected that the cost of rebuilding an extended control room was £683,340 which, when combined with the replacement equipment cost, gives a total of £1,033,340. This figure is beyond the total amount available in the capital programme, and does not take into account the cost of business continuity if, for whatever reason, the Centre was inoperable:

The estimated costs are:-

£

Building works	683,340
Monitoring and recording equipment	350,000
Business continuity	50,000
•	1,083,340

As the costs of extending the control room in its current location were in excess of the budget sum, a number of alternative locations have been explored with different organizations, but none of these have resulted in the positive identification of an alternative site.

As part of the work on seeking alternative sites, a suitable site has been identified on the 1st floor of the new office building. Meetings have taken place with the Police Architectural Liaison Officer, who confirms that the area is suitable. The Procurement Section has appointed a Surveyor to provide a feasibility study of the suitability of this space. The additional protection measures have been costed. The feasibility study shows that the costs would be:-

£

Construction	620,000
Monitoring and recording equipment	350,000
Business Continuity	30,000
Signal transmission	100,000
g	1,100,000

This is marginally above the cost of extending the existing facility. To this figure needs to be added the cost of renting 110 sq.m. of floorspace.

The consideration of moving to Maidstone House would allow the opportunity of bringing together in one place, CCTV, community safety and a partnership office as a Public Safety Unit. This would support the Safer Maidstone Partnership but financial support from other agencies should be sought.

#### **Further Options**

The current site has been used since the original installation in 1995. There is not sufficient money available in the capital programme to either:-

- extend and refurbish the existing control room, or
- move the control room to Maidstone House.

There is, in addition, no other alternative site available.

There are two options available, which are to:-

- either increase the money available to carry out the works, or
- retain the control room unextended, in its current location.

In relation to increasing the money available, it would be possible to commute parts of the revenue budget. At present, in the budget 2008 / 2009 is:-

Equipment purchase	10,830
Maintenance	34,530
Professional Services (Staffing)	<u>15,080</u>
	<u>60,440</u>

If this was commuted over a 5 year period, and another year was added to the capital programme (£50K), it would provide £1,172 million. This approach is not without risk. Firstly the outcome of the staffing tender is unknown and secondly, only £20K per year would be available for the replacement and maintenance of equipment.

The option that remains is to:-

- replace the equipment in the present control room, without the extension proposed in the Best Value Study,
- carry out some refurbishment,
- improve ventilation and fire suppression equipment so as to improve the health and safety of staff.

£

If the Control Room were to remain in its current location, the costs would be contained within the current budget:-

Equipment Building Works, to include: Improved ventilation Fire suppression	350,000
New work stations Professional fees Business continuity	102,740 <u>25,000</u> 477,740 <u>55,000</u> <u>532,740</u>

The building works identified are to improve the health and safety of staff.

This approach would enable the continued collection of evidence grade images, and would improve the working conditions and safety of staff. In addition, it would enable the £100K identified for camera replacement, to be used for that purpose.

During the construction phase there may be some disruption to the facility, but Officers are exploring whether the facility could be operated from a temporary

 $\verb|\dem|\end{cab_CCTVO} perations Apprais al. doc$ 

location. In addition, for reasons of business continuity, it is necessary to provide a secondary monitoring and recording facility in the event of system failure or staff evacuation. The cost of the back-up facility is £50K. This issue was not considered as part of the Best Value Study.

#### Consultant Support

This is a specialist area where technology is evolving and it is considered essential that a suitably qualified and experienced consultant is appointed to advise on technical matters, particularly the detail of the equipment. This will support the Council through the whole process and can include writing specifications, general advice and tender evaluation. For the sake of clarity, the Council has no other Consultants working on this issue.

## Alternatives considered and why rejected

Alternative locations, both in the ownership of the Council and other bodies, have been examined and costed. There are two potential locations but the reality is that the Council cannot afford to provide an extended or new CCTV control room at these locations. The only financially realistic option that is available is to remain in the existing control room, carry out some refurbishment and replace the monitoring equipment. Whilst not ideal, it enables the provision of a highly regarded service at a cost that is budgeted for. It also enables a programme of camera replacement to take place.

## **Background Papers**

None

These documents are available at the Council offices.

Should you be concerned about this decision and wish to call it in, please submit a call in form signed by any two Non-Executive Members to the Scrutiny Manager by: **18 December 2008**.