

SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ACTION AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SCRAIP)

Committee: Regeneration & Economic Development

Meeting Date: 28 June 2011

Minute No: 17

Topic: whole meeting

Recommendationⁱ	Chief Officer / Cabinet Memberⁱⁱ	Responseⁱⁱⁱ	Timetable^{iv}	Lead Officer^v
That Councillors Burton and Cuming join Councillors Paterson and Mortimer to form the Air Quality Working Group.	Christina Chemsí	A timetable of meetings will be sent to the Members by 11 July 2011.	11/7/11	Christina Chemsí
<p>a) the following outcomes be agreed for the review of transport and congestion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To identify how to unlock the congestion and capacity of traffic in Maidstone town, by using best practice techniques investigating Chelmsford and other local neighbouring towns; 2. To investigate the consideration given to new developments by the planning authority in relation to traffic volume and road maintenance; 3. To look at the future as modern technology using electric cars will not solve the traffic congestion; 4. To establish ways to educate the residents to promote car sharing and public transport; and 5. To make recommendations as appropriate. 	Christina Chemsí	agreed	Ongoing as part of the review.	Christina Chemsí

the Overview and Scrutiny Officer arranges A4 posters for public engagement;	Christina Chemsí	Agreed – will aim to get this to Parish Councils in courier run on 7 July	7/7/11	Christina Chemsí
that the Committee takes part in the following activities as part of the transport review: a) Visit to Medway Council via bus on Tuesday 12 July; b) A trip to Godstone traffic centre and Chelmsford City Council, returning to Maidstone on 20 July 2011.	Christina Chemsí	Agreed Godstone & Chelmsford scheduled for 23 Aug 2011.	20/7/11	Christina Chemsí

Notes on the completion of SCRAIP

ⁱ Report recommendations are listed as found in the report.

ⁱⁱ Insert in this box the Cabinet Member whose portfolio the recommendation falls within.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box either the acceptance or rejection of the recommendation.

If the recommendation is rejected an explanation for its rejection should be provided. The 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes can be left blank

If the recommendation is accepted an explanation of the action to be taken to implement the recommendation should be recorded in this box. Please also complete the 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes.

^{iv} The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box when the action in indicated in the previous box will be implemented.

^v The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box the Officer responsible for the implementation of the action highlighted in the 'response' box.