SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ACTION AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SCRAIP)

Report Title: The Enforcement of Planning Conditions and Compliance with Section 106 Agreements

Report of Regeneration and Sustainable Communities Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date of Publication: 11 June 2009

Dates to report back to Committee:

Update	Date	Completed?	Note
1 st	11 Dec 2009		6 months after publication
2 nd	11 June 2010		12 months after publication
3 rd	11 Dec 2010		

Recommendation ¹	Cabinet Member ²	Response ³	Timetable	Lead Officer ⁵
 A. Planning Enforcement Training be improved to include the following: The extent of planning enforcement powers be clearly explained in the planning service information packs, as well as on the Council's website; Ward and Parish Councillors be consulted on the draft content of 	Regeneration	i. With Development Control and Enforcement being brought together joint information is key to ensuring that the services work effectively with each other. An element of this is the production of enforcement web-pages alongside the development control pages. These will provide quick and easy access to information regarding the enforcement process and to provide as much information as is allowed without breaching confidentiality.	End of October 2009	ROC
the planning service information packs;		ii. Ward and Parish Councillors will be consulted on the content of the Planning Service Information	End of October 2009	RJ
iii. Ensure the call-centre		Packs. iii. An audit on enforcement has	TBC in	

	staff are sufficiently	recently been carried out with the Audit	RJ
	trained and informed with	report due to be published before response	
	regard to planning	the end of June 2009. The report action plan	
	enforcement to ensure	will cover a number of facets of the	
	the public receive correct	enforcement service including	
	•	contact with complainants. We are	
	information when	also reviewing this internally with a	
	potential planning	view to shifting the initial contact	
	breaches are reported;	away from the contact centre back	
iv.	A rolling programme of	to planning officers. The benefits	
	training be delivered to	of this are two fold: reduction in	
	ensure that new staff	double-handling of complainants	
	joining the Council	and a planning professional being	
	receive training from	available at initial contact	
	legal services with regard	improving the service quality.	
	to enforcement and that	iv. All new staff, for Development Immediate	
	all relevant officers,	Control and Enforcement, will and	RJ
	Councillors, Parish	receive appropriate training on Ongoing	KJ
	Councillors and Clerks	Enforcement. Any important updates to enforcement	
		procedures and case law can be	
	are kept up to date with	linked to via the enforcement	
	enforcement procedures	webpages, once created.	
	and case law;	In addition, periodic updates of	
v.	Councillors and their	significant appeal decisions will be	
	respective Parish Councils	included in the Planning	
	be encouraged to	Committee papers.	
	undertake training	v. And vi. Pre-application discussions Immediate	
	together to undertake	are a key element in the delivery and	
	pre-application	of suitable schemes across the Ongoing	RJ
	discussions with a	Borough. To this end a KPI has	
	potential applicant and	been put in place for Development	
	Council Officer; and	Control on the % of major	
	•	schemes having pre-application	
vi.	The existing protocol for	discussions. In addition the	

using pre-application discussions be formally recognised as the preferred approach to deal with larger applications and that this preference be reflected and encouraged in Council planning literature.		Planning department has recently invested in additional preapplication software to allow better control and quality of preapplication advice, as well as making it more available via the website. Therefore training will be provided where required and preapplications will continue to be an important element of future planning literature.		
B. Planning Conditions and Section 106 Agreement Monitoring be improved to include the following: i. An officer be assigned to monitor and pursue the progress of all cases awaiting further enforcement action to prevent unnecessary	Regeneration	i. and iii. The audit report due to be published in June 2009 will consider and make recommendations on the controls of such areas such as the monitoring of case progress and reporting of breaches. The response to the audit report and action plan will be sent to Overview and Scrutiny for information on the planned actions in these areas.	TBC in Audit response action plan	RJ
delays; ii. The Section 106 Agreement's audit trail include a statement on the direct benefits to the Community of a Section 106 Agreement;		ii. The information for s106 agreements will include the areas on where the money was spent once received. This information can also be included on the website updates on s106 information. iii. See i.	Immediate and Ongoing	ROC
iii. The role of Parish Councils in giving the Council notice of		iv. The necessary resources to carry out the conditions compliance function are not available.	Immediate and Ongoing	ROC

	suspected breaches of planning control as early as possible be formalised; and iv. The Council pursue a proactive approach to monitoring planning conditions and Section 106 Agreements and that the time until the condition expires be used in prioritising what is monitored to ensure the opportunity to take action is not lost.		However, we will continue to prioritise compliance with conditions on major schemes. The post of s106 compliance officer was made permanent in the recent restructure which will allow proactive monitoring of s106 agreements.		
C.	Communication be improved to include the following: i. Quarterly updates be distributed to Ward and Parish Councillors from Planning Enforcement and Section 106 Officers on information regarding new Section 106s, information with regard to early stages of enforcement action and any changes to conditions. Reported omissions and amendments from the	Regeneration	i. S106 updates have recently been improved by providing the information on our website. This information is updated when relevant changes occur. The quality of quarterly enforcement updates to parishes and Councillors is undergoing changes following bringing the Enforcement IT system in line with Development Control. This allows easier tracking of all stages of a case through the enforcement process and enables the production of better quality information that is less resource intensive to produce. A letter has been written to parishes informing them of the	Immediate and Ongoing	ROC

ii.	quarterly updates be investigated and included in future updates as necessary; Enforcement case information be detailed on the intranet in order that Members and officers may access this to receive and provide updates on activities; Following the success of implementation of the Committee's recommendation regarding Parish Council adoption of standing orders in order that confidential enforcement information can be circulated to the relevant Parish Councils, the remaining Parish Councils be encouraged and briefed on how to adopt standing orders at their meetings; A dedicated planning	changes and asking them for feedback on future information. ii. As there is no direct link between Apas (the planning IT system) and the intranet, the publishing of such information would be resource intensive and could lead to confusion and misinformation as the intranet would inevitably lag behind the dynamic database. There is a link between Apas and the internet, via the actions element of the database which is located on a web-server in order to enable mobile working. However, this element of the system is not active but the use of this functionality will be explored next year once the new IT system for Enforcement has bedded in. iii. A list of those parishes that had not signed up to receive part II information has been sent to the Chairman of the KALC in order for the necessary amendments for standing orders to be sent to them. The KALC can help any of the parish councils to sign up for part II information and this will be publicised to the parish councils with the next quarterly update (scheduled for start June 2009)	ROC
IV.	enforcement liaison officer be appointed and used as a single point of	(scheduled for start June 2009) iv. The audit report due to be TBC in published in June 2009 will Audit consider and make response	RJ

		_		
	contact for Ward and Parish Councillors to ensure consistency in information received;	recommendations on communications and reporting. The response and action plan will be circulated to the Scrutiny Committee once it is produced.	action plan	
V	Publicise successful finalised enforcement action, including using the Borough Update;		Immediate and Ongoing	RJ
Vi.	Relationship with developers be enhanced by expanding training opportunities for them and exploring possible best practice awards in Maidstone;	vi. The Developers' Forum is a recent initiative that has been successful at bringing Developers, Members and Planning Officers together. The issue of best practice awards and training requirements will be raised at the next forum to find if	7 October 2009	RJ
vii.	Parish Councils be consulted when considering the removal of conditions that had been initially requested by Parish Councils;	there is an appetite from developers for those opportunities. vii. Section 73 applications are required for the removal of conditions. Parish Councils are consulted on these applications.	Ongoing	
viii.	Join an organisation such as NAPE or SEOG to share best practice and receive the benefits of	NAPE and SEOG for our enforcement officers. ix. The audit report due to be published in June 2009 will	Immediate and Ongoing TBC in	RJ RJ
ix.	their training; and Ensure that the complainants of breaches of conditions or of non compliance of Section 106 Agreements are		Audit response action plan	

	regularly updated, with explanation for any delays, to improve customer service and avoid unnecessary phone calls.				
D.	The legal framework under which bonds can be used to procure compliance with planning obligations set out within a Section 106 Agreement with a land owner be explored to ensure S106 compliance;	Regeneration	The potential for using bonds will be investigated.	Oct'09	ROC
E.	A list of developers who have failed to comply with Section 106s or planning conditions be compiled to inform future monitoring requirements of Section 106s and planning conditions and serve as a possible justification of bond requirement;	Regeneration	The potential for doing this will be investigated with legal services.	Oct '09	ROC
F.	The Council undertake registration of a notice of non-compliance on the local land charges register as a form of enforcement action to ensure Section 106 compliance;	Regeneration	This process is already in place and consists of two stages: 1. A letter of non-compliance is sent to the developer, including a date to comply by. If compliance is not met then stage 2 is carried out. 2. The letter of non-compliance is placed on the land charges register.	Ongoing	

G.	Parish Councils elsewhere in the County be recommended to use Standing Orders as a possible solution to receiving appropriate confidential information;	Regeneration	The KALC can provide advice to Parish Councils on these issues.	N/A	
H.	The revisions to the prioritisation matrix include evolved policy such as landscaping and that all Councillors and Parish Councils be consulted on the revisions to the matrix to ensure support and that the prioritisation matrix be reviewed periodically to ensure its continued effectiveness; and	Regeneration	Landscaping is already considered in Matrix prioritisation under environmental considerations. The matrix will be reviewed annually and any changes to the matrix system agreed by Members will be consulted upon.	Annual	RJ
I.	Informatives be used to encourage developers to inform the Council of commencement dates and changes of developer.	Regeneration	Informatives to this effect can be added to permissions for major developments.	Immediate and Ongoing	RJ

Notes on the completion of SCRAIP

If the recommendation is rejected an explanation for its rejection should be provided. The 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes can be left blank

If the recommendation is accepted an explanation of the action to be taken to implement the recommendation should be recorded in this box. Please also complete the 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes.

¹ Report recommendations are listed as found in the report.

² Insert in this box the Cabinet Member whose portfolio the recommendation falls within.

³ The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box either the acceptance or rejection of the recommendation.

⁴ The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box when the action in indicated in the previous box will be implemented.

⁵ The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box the Officer responsible for the implementation of the action highlighted in the 'response' box.