## SCRUTINY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ACTION AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SCRAIP)

**Committee: Regeneration & Economic Development Overview & Scrutiny Committee** 

Meeting Date: 23 July 2012

Minute Nº: 17, 18 & 19

## Topic:Core Strategy: Site Allocations & Public ParticipationDraft Integrated Transport Strategy

Recommendation <sup>i</sup>	Cabinet Member <sup>ii</sup>	Response <sup>iii</sup>	Timetable <sup>iv</sup>	Lead Officer <sup>v</sup>
Recommendation <sup>i</sup> Consultation with neighbouring districts is undertaken as per the legislation and that Cabinet put in place a methodology for public consultation. (Site allocations and ITS)		<ul> <li>Response<sup>iii</sup></li> <li>Officer engagement with neighbouring local authorities (duty to cooperate) commenced April 2012 and is ongoing.</li> <li>All Members to be advised of the focused public consultation events for strategic site allocations and the draft ITS. To include, for example: <ul> <li>public notice</li> <li>press release</li> <li>email/letter to all persons held on the LDF database, parish councils and statutory consultees</li> <li>engagement with specific groups, such as JPG and JTB</li> <li>staffed exhibition events with banner displays</li> <li>poster advertising material for parish councils and ward members</li> <li>documents and comments questionnaires to be available on the website, at the Gateway, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Timetable <sup>iv</sup> 19 <sup>th</sup> April 2012 onwards w/c 13 <sup>th</sup> August 2012	Lead Officer <sup>v</sup> Rob Jarman Rob Jarman/ Sue Whiteside
		<ul> <li>at all libraries</li> <li>hard copies of documents and background information placed in the Members' library and in a temporary library by the Spatial Policy team (officers available to</li> </ul>		

oproximate figures for jobs are rovided in the document alongside nployment square footage. (Site locations)	Cllr Paine	respond to Members' queries during the first two weeks of consultation at set times). Recommendation was not agreed by Cabinet - ref. decision 25 July 2012 Core Strategy Strategic Site Allocations report and Core Strategy Public Participation: Key Issues and Responses report item 1(i).	N/A	N/A
ne option to install a bus lane on ne A274 be re-assessed (ITS)	Cllr Paine	Recommendation was not agreed by Cabinet - ref. decision 25 July 2012 Core Strategy Strategic Site Allocations report and draft ITS report	N/A	N/A
hat recommendation 2 of the ublic participation report be mended to say: Reject Junction 8 of the M20 motorway as a Strategic Development Site location for industrial and warehouse development, together with premium office development and do not allocate land for development in the Core Strategy to be guided by an approved development brief.	Cllr Paine	Cabinet decision 25 July 2012: "That the strategic employment location identified around J8 of the M20 motorway is further considered by being included in the public consultation referred to in 1 above, to enable a more informed decision to be made on the allocation of strategic site(s) at this location and that the consultation should make clear the Council's requirement that any strategic sites already identified to the Council at this location, in response to the advertisement of 11 May 2012, and included in the Sustainability Appraisal, or further promoted as a result of this consultation, should be accompanied by development proposals that respond to the relevant planning considerations, particularly landscape, ecology and highways and include evidence of dialogue with the public and their response." Core Strategy Strategic Site Allocations	17 <sup>th</sup> August 2012	Sue Whiteside

<sup>i</sup> Report recommendations are listed as found in the report.

<sup>ii</sup> Insert in this box the Cabinet Member whose portfolio the recommendation falls within.

<sup>iii</sup> The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box either the acceptance or rejection of the recommendation.

- If the recommendation is rejected an explanation for its rejection should be provided. The 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes can be left blank
- **If the recommendation is accepted** an explanation of the action to be taken to implement the recommendation should be recorded in this box. Please also complete the 'timetable' and 'lead officer' boxes.

<sup>iv</sup> The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box when the action in indicated in the previous box will be implemented.

<sup>v</sup> The Officer/Cabinet Member responsible for responding to the recommendation should indicate in this box the Officer responsible for the implementation of the action highlighted in the 'response' box.