MAIDSTONE BOROUGH COUNCIL

COMMUNITY, ENVIRONMENT AND HOUSING OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Acting as the Crime and Disorder Overview and Scrutiny Committee

TUESDAY 14 OCTOBER 2014

REPORT OF THE HEAD OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Report prepared by Sarah Robson

1. ANNUAL STRATEGIC ASSESSMENT AND COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP PLAN

- 1.1 Issue for Consideration
- 1.1.1 To note the process by which the Safer Maidstone Partnership produces its annual Community Safety Partnership rolling plan, which is informed by an annual Strategic Assessment.
- 1.2 Recommendation of the Head of Housing and Community Services
- 1.2.1 That the Committee note the process and timetable by which the Safer Maidstone Partnership produces its annual Strategic Assessment and rolling plan and agree a date for the draft documentation to be presented to the Committee in March 2015.
- 1.3 Reasons for Recommendation
- 1.3.1 The Community Safety Partnership Plan 2013-2018 is refreshed annually and forms part of the Council's Policy Framework Documents.
- 1.3.2 The original Plan was endorsed by full Council last year and again, this year, will follow the appropriate authorisation channels, including presentations to Overview and Scrutiny, Corporate Leadership Team, Cabinet and finally full Council in April 2015.

1.4 Alternative Action and why not Recommended

1.4.1 The Borough Council could choose not to produce a Plan, however this is not recommended as the Community Safety Partnership Plan discharges the council's statutory requirement to produce a plan for community safety.

1.5 <u>Impact on Corporate Objectives</u>

1.5.1 The Community Safety Partnership Plan will contribute to the delivery of the Strategic Plan priorities; For Maidstone to be a decent place to live and Corporate and Customer Excellence. In addition, the Community Safety Partnership Plan supports the delivery of two out of three cross cutting objectives within the Borough Council's Community Development Strategy; Tackling Disadvantage and Building Stronger Communities.

1.6 Other Implications

1.6.1

1.6.1			
1.0.1	1.	Financial	X
	2.	Staffing	Х
	3.	Legal	X
	4.	Equality Impact Needs Assessment	Х
	5.	Environmental/Sustainable Development	^
	6.	Community Safety	X
	7.	Human Rights Act	
	8.	Procurement	
	9.	Asset Management	

1.6.2 Financial – From 2013/14, all Community Safety Grant funding is allocated directly to the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) who uses this money to target her identified priorities and support the ongoing delivery of the Crime Plans. However, the plans and strategies detailed within the plan cover a wide range of services provided by the Council and partner agencies with the majority of activity being either

- mainstream funded or funded via other grants or allocations not directly allocated to community safety.
- 1.6.3 Staffing The priorities within the Plan cross cut the agencies that make up the Safer Maidstone Partnership. Delivery against the priorities will be via mainstream activity and any grant funding that the borough is able to secure, including this year's Community Safety Grant allocation.
- 1.6.4 Legal Sections 5 to 7 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (the 1998 Act), headed "Crime and Disorder Strategies", require "responsible authorities" to comply with section 6 of the 1998 Act which states that "responsible authorities" shall formulate and implement;
 - a) A strategy for the reduction of crime and disorder in the area; and
 - b) A strategy for combating the misuse of drugs, alcohol and other substances in the area; and
 - c) A strategy for the reduction of re-offending in the area.

By virtue of section 5(1)(a) of the 1998 Act, the Council is the "responsible authority".

By completing an annual refresh of the Community Safety Plan based on the findings of a comprehensive Strategic Assessment, Maidstone is fulfilling its statutory requirement.

There are reputational, environmental, economical and legal risks to the Council for not pro-actively pursuing an improvement in crime and disorder levels. The recommendations in this report recognise the importance of constructive dialogue with the partner organisations comprising the Community Safety Partnership and also the importance of coordinated and collaborative working.

Risk	Description	Action to avoid or mitigate risk
National and local publicity.	Could affect the public perception of crime and therefore impact upon performance in these key areas.	Ensure that we take all available opportunities to publicise good news stories.
Decreased Agency "buy in".	Changes in leadership, staffing or resources could reduce the involvement of key agencies.	Ensure that agencies are aware of the impact of dis- engagement upon their own service delivery/performance. Ensure that strategic

		members of the Community Safety Partnership are made aware of any situation as it arises.
A wide range of CSP (Community Safety Partnership) objectives.	Means that the CSP may be spread too thin and not have the resources to deal with all aspects so there may be gaps in service.	Prioritisation based on Strategic Assessment.
Legislation	Government guidance could change focus for CSP.	CSP to maintain strong communication with LGA/Home Office in order anticipate changes.
Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) plans.	In order to develop their plans the PCC must consult the public, in particular victims. The plan must also be scrutinised by the police and crime panel before it is issued, although there is no set timetable for this. 31 March is the deadline for PCCs to issue their police and crime plans.	Continued engagement with the PCC.

- 1.6.5 Equality implications The benefits of delivery against the plan will apply across the Maidstone borough, although by adopting an evidence based approach more benefit should be felt in areas where identified problems are greatest.
- 1.6.6 Community Safety The Community Safety team has been brought under the reporting line of the Community Partnerships unit, with a reduced number of staff. The focus will be strongly on preventative work while continuing to be co-located and working closely in partnership with the police and other community safety related partners.

1.7 Relevant Documents

1.7.1 Appendices

Appendix 1: Community Safety Partnership Plan and Strategic

Assessment refresh

Appendix 2: Quarter 1 Crime Briefing

1.7.2 <u>Background Documents</u>

None

IS THIS A KEY DECISION REPO	THIS BOX MUST BE COMPLETED						
Yes	No						
If yes, this is a Key Decision because:							
Wards/Parishes affected: All wards and parishes							