Appendix 1 – Risk Management – Mid Kent Waste Contract Award

Vulnerability/Risk	Trigger	Consequences	Current Rating
Contract Award	- One or more authorities do not agree the	Contract cannot be awarded	Likelihood: 2
	award	Reputational risk to the Council	Impact: 4
	- Bid is not compliant so cannot be awarded	Unable to deliver statutory duties	
	- Bidder withdraws from process	Legal costs	Rating:8
Deliverability	- Resource plan is not robust	Service failures	Likelihood: 3
	 Mobilisation plan not adequate 	Reputational risk to the Council	Impact: 4
	- Insufficient experience	Unable to deliver statutory duties	
	- Data provided is inaccurate		Rating: 12
	- Specification unclear or misinterpreted		
Vehicle availability	 Lead-times become prolonged 	Service failures	Likelihood: 2
	- Order books closed	Unable to deliver statutory duties	Impact: 4
	- Hire market limited	Reputational risk to the Council	
			Rating: 8
Financial	- TUPE information incorrect	Contract cost exceeds the budget within MTFS	Likelihood: 3
	 Indexation increases significantly 	Service reductions required to meet budget	Impact:3
		Savings required elsewhere to offset costs	
			Rating: 9
Challenge	- Contract extension	Delay to contract award	Likelihood: 2
	 Non-compliant bid accepted 	Contract cannot be awarded	Impact:3
	- Process unfair		
			Rating: 6

No.	Current Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
1	8	6	Contract Award			
Control in place	Adequacy	Required action/contro	Required action/control		Success Factors	Date for
	of controls					Review
Regular and ongoing	Good	Joint meeting of Cabinet /	Executive /	Jennifer Stevens	Contract Award	Weekly until
engagement with decision	engagement with decision Comm		Committee if decision is not agreed in		Decision taken by each	end Jan 2023
makers		December to consider options			authority	
Decision already taken by					Letter of Comfort issued	
Members to pursue this rou	te					

Maidstone has depot and				
resources available to offer				
alternative service if required				
Business continuity plans				
Extension option available				
with incumbent				

No.	Cu	rrent Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
2	12		8	Deliverability of Service			
Control in place		Adequacy	Required action/control		Responsible	Success Factors	Date for
		of controls			Officer		Review
Competitive Dialogue proce		Good	References followed up		Jennifer Stevens	Mobilisation Plan in place and	Monthly
to refine solution and issue			Regular mobilisation meeti			delivered	
clarifications			Risk register to be created	for mobilisation			
Consultant support to revie	w						
resource plans and							
submissions							
Bidder CVs and experience							
captured in submission							
Client officers reviewing							
resourcing and submission							
and seek clarification							
Contractual protections in							
place							

No.	Current Rati	g Target Rating	get Rating Risk				
3	8	6	Vehicle Availabilit	Vehicle Availability			
Control in place	Adequa	Adequacy Required action/control		Responsible	Success Factors	Date for	
	of contro	ls		Officer		Review	
Quotes obtained from vehic	cle Good	Regular mobilisation mee	etings	Jennifer Stevens	Vehicles delivered	Monthly	
manufacturers with lead-		Contingency plans develo	Contingency plans developed		Contract commencement		
times		Depot to be in place to ta	Depot to be in place to take delivery of				
		vehicles before contract s	start				

Contract commencement			
delayed enabling longer			
mobilisation period			
Further extensions of current			
contract available			
Vehicle specifications			
simplified where possible			
Vehicle hire arrangements in			
place			
Reassurance from Fleet			
Director			

No.	Cur	rent Rating	Target Rating	Risk			
4	9		6	Financial			
Control in place		Adequacy	Required action/contro	I	Responsible	Success Factors	Date for
		of controls			Officer		Review
Three-staged process has		Fair	Projections of indexation fa	actored into costs	Jennifer Stevens	Contract cost as of April 2024	Monthly
refined costs			Review of position on staff	pay rates to		is within MTFS budget	
Risks have been identified a	and		project increases				
eliminated from the pricing							
MTFS based on higher initia	al						
cost estimations							
Local Authority comparator	r						
used to ensure costs realist	ic						
Section 151 Officer							
engagement through the							
process							
Indexation matched to the							
actual cost profile of bidder	rs						

No.	Current Rating	Target Rating	Risk
5	6	3	Challenge

Control in place	Adequacy	Required action/control	Responsible	Success Factors	Date for
	of controls		Officer		Review
Contract extension within	Good	Extension to be advertised	Jennifer Stevens	Contract Awarded	Weekly until
50% of contract value		Ongoing dialogue with incumbent to manage		No challenge received	Feb 2023
Agreed early in process		process			
before final tender					
Legal advice sought					
throughout procurement					
Consultants advise sought					
throughout procurement					
Bid checked for compliance					
Procurement oversight					
throughout					