## Appendix 1 – Risk Management – Mid Kent Waste Contract Award

Vulnerability/Risk	Trigger	Consequences	Current Rating
Contract Award	- One or more authorities do not agree the	Contract cannot be awarded	Likelihood: 2
	award	Reputational risk to the Council	Impact: 4
	- Bid is not compliant so cannot be awarded	Unable to deliver statutory duties	
	- Bidder withdraws from process	Legal costs	Rating:8
Deliverability	- Resource plan is not robust	Service failures	Likelihood: 3
	<ul> <li>Mobilisation plan not adequate</li> </ul>	Reputational risk to the Council	Impact: 4
	- Insufficient experience	Unable to deliver statutory duties	
	- Data provided is inaccurate		Rating: 12
ı	<ul> <li>Specification unclear or misinterpreted</li> </ul>		
Vehicle availability	<ul> <li>Lead-times become prolonged</li> </ul>	Service failures	Likelihood: 2
	- Order books closed	Unable to deliver statutory duties	Impact: 4
	- Hire market limited	Reputational risk to the Council	
			Rating: 8
Financial	- TUPE information incorrect	Contract cost exceeds the budget within MTFS	Likelihood: 3
	<ul> <li>Indexation increases significantly</li> </ul>	Service reductions required to meet budget	Impact:3
		Savings required elsewhere to offset costs	
			Rating: 9
Challenge	- Contract extension	Delay to contract award	Likelihood: 2
	<ul> <li>Non-compliant bid accepted</li> </ul>	Contract cannot be awarded	Impact:3
	- Process unfair		
			Rating: 6

No.	<b>Current Rating</b>		Target Rating	Risk				
1	8		6	Contract Award				
Control in place	place Adequacy		Required action/control		Responsible	Success Factors	Date for	
of controls				Officer		Review		
Regular and ongoing	Goo	t	Joint meeting of Cabinet /	Joint meeting of Cabinet / Executive /		Contract Award	Weekly until	
engagement with decision			Committee if decision is no	ot agreed in		Decision taken by each	end Jan 2023	
makers			December to consider options			authority		
Decision already taken by						Letter of Comfort issued		
Members to pursue this rou	te							

Maidstone has depot and			
resources available to offer			
alternative service if required			
Business continuity plans			
Extension option available			
with incumbent			

No.	Cui	rrent Rating	Target Rating	Risk				
2	12		8	Deliverability of Service				
Control in place		Adequacy	Required action/control		Responsible	Success Factors	Date for	
		of controls			Officer		Review	
Competitive Dialogue proces to refine solution and issue clarifications Consultant support to review resource plans and submissions Bidder CVs and experience captured in submission Client officers reviewing resourcing and submission and seek clarification Contractual protections in place		Good	References followed up Regular mobilisation meeti Risk register to be created	•	Jennifer Stevens	Mobilisation Plan in place and delivered	Monthly	

No.	<b>Current Rating</b>	Target Rating	Risk			
3	8	6	Vehicle Availabilit	у		
Control in place	ntrol in place Adequacy		ol Responsible		Success Factors	Date for
	of controls			Officer		Review
Quotes obtained from vehic	le Good	Regular mobilisation meetings		Jennifer Stevens	Vehicles delivered	Monthly
manufacturers with lead-		Contingency plans developed			Contract commencement	
times		Depot to be in place to take delivery of				
		vehicles before contract sta	ehicles before contract start			

Contract commencement				
delayed enabling longer				
mobilisation period				
Further extensions of current				
contract available				
Vehicle specifications				
simplified where possible				
Vehicle hire arrangements in				
place				
Reassurance from Fleet				
Director				

No.	Cu	rrent Rating	Target Rating	Risk				
4	9		6	Financial				
Control in place		Adequacy	Required action/control		Responsible	Success Factors	Date for	
		of controls			Officer		Review	
Three-staged process has		Fair	Projections of indexation fa	actored into costs	Jennifer Stevens	Contract cost as of April 2024	Monthly	
refined costs			Review of position on staff	pay rates to		is within MTFS budget		
Risks have been identified a	ınd		project increases					
eliminated from the pricing								
MTFS based on higher initia	ıl							
cost estimations								
Local Authority comparator								
used to ensure costs realisti	ic							
Section 151 Officer	Section 151 Officer							
engagement through the								
process								
Indexation matched to the								
actual cost profile of bidder	s							

No.	<b>Current Rating</b>	Target Rating	Risk
5	6	3	Challenge

Control in place	Adequacy	Required action/control	Responsible	Success Factors	Date for
	of controls		Officer		Review
Contract extension within	Good	Extension to be advertised	Jennifer Stevens	Contract Awarded	Weekly until
50% of contract value		Ongoing dialogue with incumbent to manage		No challenge received	Feb 2023
Agreed early in process		process			
before final tender					
Legal advice sought					
throughout procurement					
Consultants advise sought					
throughout procurement					
Bid checked for compliance					
Procurement oversight					
throughout					