| | _ | | _ | - C | _ | | -= | | _ | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|---|----|----|----|---|----|
| N | а | m | е | of | к | e١ | /1 | e١ | w | /: |

Capital Programme

The Corporate Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee set the 'Capital Programme' as a major review topic for the 2012-2013 municipal year. The Committee wish to incorporate the following, three areas, in its review of the Capital Programme:

- Asset Management;
- · Lottery funding; and
- · Recession Borrowing.

What are the objectives and desired outcomes of the review?

What equality issues will need to be considered as part of the review – giving consideration to the 9 protected characteristics?

Which witnesses are required?

Other ways to seek evidence? E.g. site visits, involving members of the public, consultation.

Dependant on Committee Member's experience of this topic prior to the review, evidence would be sought by including the following:

What information/training is needed?

Important dates?

Key documents?

Research?

Best practice?

Benchmarking?

Suggested time for review and report completion date

How does the review link to council priorities?

Appendix A

- For Maidstone to have a growing economy
- For Maidstone to be a decent place to live
- Corporate and Customer Excellence

How does this item deliver CfPS effective scrutiny principles?

- 1 Provides 'critical friend' challenge to executive policy-makers and decision-makers;
- 2 Enables the voice and concerns of the public;
- Is carried out by 'independent minded governors' who lead and own the scrutiny role; and
- 4 Drives improvement in public services.

Any co-optees or expert witnesses?

* What do you know about the equality groups and the make-up of the people using the service or in the area? Qualitative and quantitative information Think of the wider 'community' including people who possibly do not currently use the service but could or should.