

Appendix A - Scoping Template

<p>Name of Review:</p> <p>Transport in Maidstone Borough – alternatives to using a car</p>
<p>What are the objectives and desired outcomes of the review</p> <p>The review will initially be in three stages.</p> <p>Stage 1 Establishing the Scope - Objectives:</p> <p>Cycling and Walking (proposed date 22 July meeting):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify cycling/walking groups in the Borough• Establish what work has/is already been done regarding the promotion of walking and cycling to avoid duplication of effort• Identify and make recommendations on how MBC can work to increase the use of cycling and walking in the Borough to ease congestion in the town <p>Rail (date TBA):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify rail user groups in the Borough to avoid duplication of effort• Gain an insight into KCC and rail providers strategic plans for rail in the Borough• Establish MBC member links with KCC and rail service providers <p>Bus (date TBA):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve communication with the Quality Bus Partnership to enable Councillors to influence debate where they can• Identify bus user groups in the Borough to avoid duplication of effort• Identify existing bus service providers operating in the RSCs• Make recommendations how improvements can be made to bus service provision to the Rural Service Centres (RSC) <p>Stage 2 Review of scope and objectives:</p> <p>After consideration of the evidence gathered from Stage 1, under each area, the working group will recommend either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support what is already being worked on;• Continue with further evidence gathering with revised objectives;• Other – depending on what comes to light from evidence gathering. <p>Stage 3 – Final Report and Recommendations:</p> <p>Final report to include all three areas and recommendations.</p>
<p>What equality issues will need to be considered as part of the review – giving consideration to the 9 protected characteristics:</p> <p>Ensuring access to all</p>
<p>Which witnesses are required?</p> <p>Cycling and Walking: Walking group witness – to be identified James Gower – @maidstoneonbike suggestion via Twitter (FWP) Bartholomew Wren, Tunbridge Wells (Cycling schemes) Tunbridge Wells Cycle Friday scheme Tunbridge Wells BC – cycle strategy KCC Cycling Champion – Tay Arnold Cycling Transport Planner, KCC tay.arnold@kent.gov.uk tel: 03000411618</p> <p>Michael Murphy – MBC Tim Hapgood - MBC</p>

Rail:

Nina Peak, SouthEastern

Bus:

Robert Patterson, Arriva
Colin Evans, KCC Highways (Quality Bus Partnership)
Dan Bruce, KCC Highways Quality Bus Partnership)
Peter Rosevere - KCC
Kent Carriers
Nu-Venture

Other ways to seek evidence? E.g. site visits, involving members of the public, consultation.

To be agreed.

What information/training is needed?

To be agreed.

Suggested time for review and report completion date

To be agreed.

The proposal is to split the review into three initially and in this order:

- 1. Cycling and Walking** – invite witnesses to CLS&E OCS on 22 July 2014 to establish what work is already being done in the borough and other LA areas.
- 2. Bus** – meeting dates to be agreed at the meeting on 24 June 2014
- 3. Rail** - meeting dates to be agreed at the meeting on 24 June 2014

How does the review link to council priorities?**For Maidstone to have a growing economy**

- A transport network that supports the local economy

For Maidstone to be a decent place to live

- Continues to be a clear and attractive environment for people who live in and visit the Borough
- Residents are not disadvantaged because of where they live or who they are, vulnerable people are assisted and the level of deprivation is reduced

Corporate and Customer Excellence

- Services are customer focused and residents are satisfied with them
- Effective, cost efficient services are delivered across the Borough

How does this item deliver CfPS effective scrutiny principles?

- Provides 'critical friend' challenge to executive policy-makers and decision-makers
- Enables the voice and concerns of the public
- Is carried out by 'independent minded governors' who lead and own the scrutiny role
- Drives improvement in public services

Any co-optees or expert witnesses?