Scoping Template

Name of Review:

What difference is the West Kent Health and Wellbeing Board making to the Maidstone Borough

What are the objectives and desired outcomes of the review

The Committee will know:

- Who is on the Board and the roles they play (is there any RSL representation)
- What the Board's key objectives are for the next three years
- What the Board sees as their role as far as Maidstone is concerned
- What provision the Board is making for adults and older people
- What recommendations should the Committee make to the Cabinet Member for Community and Leisure Services to support the work of the Board

What equality issues will need to be considered as part of the review – giving consideration to the 9 protected characteristics:

• Ensuring access to services by all.

Which witnesses are required?

- Dr Bob Bowes, Chairman of West Kent Health and Wellbeing Board
- Alison Broom, Chief Executive of MBC and member of the Board

Other ways to seek evidence? E.g. site visits, involving members of the public, consultation.

None at this stage.

What information/training is needed?

• None at this stage.

Suggested time for review and report completion date

- Interview witnesses at the Committee meeting of 12 August 2014
- Recommendations to be made to relevant agencies/people after the meeting by 22 August 2014
- Report and recommendations to be included in the report for the wider review of Overview of Healthcare in Maidstone Borough – draft report to come to Committee 13 January 2015

How does the review link to council priorities?

For Maidstone to be a decent place to live

- Continues to be a clear and attractive environment for people who live in and visit the Borough
- Residents are not disadvantaged because of where they live or who they are, vulnerable people are assisted and the level of deprivation is reduced

Corporate and Customer Excellence

- Services are customer focused and residents are satisfied with them
- Effective, cost efficient services are delivered across the Borough

How does this item deliver CfPS effective scrutiny principles?

- Provides 'critical friend' challenge to executive policy-makers and decision-makers
- Enables the voice and concerns of the public
- Is carried out by 'independent minded governors' who lead and own the scrutiny role
- Drives improvement in public services

Any co-optees or expert witnesses?

• None